M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 662 Press ~~Mis.~~

669-620

Dated 27-4- 1860.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, for the month of April 1860.

No. 119. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 30th March 1860, No. 1,631:—

Read letter from the Collector of Malabar, dated 6th March 1860, No. 49, begging to be informed, for reasons given, whether the decisionof Government in the Iranganur chetrum case is final.

From P. GRANT, Esq., Collector of Malabar, dated Calicut, 6th March 1860, No. 49.

With reference to paragraph 8 in the Order of Government, dated February 15th, 1860, No. 241, of their Proceedings on the Iranganur chetrum case, I beg to be informed whether the decision of Government is final, and whether all interference in it will in future be avoided. I ask this question because the position of the ryots will be much benefited by being told at once that Government has given up all claim to the land.

In Consultation, 17th February 1860, *No.* 749. Submitted for the Orders of Government, with reference to paragraph 8 of their Order, dated 16th ultimo, No. 241, Revenue Department.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM, *Secretary.*

No. 120. ORDER THEREON, 27th April 1860, No. 662.

1. The Board of Revenue will inform the Collector of Malabar that the resoluton of Government in paragraph 8 of the Order 16th February last, No. 241, to "leave matters as "they are" is final. The lapse of time since the decease of the two Brahman proprietors, viz., thirty-eight years in the one case and thirty-two in the other, and the private interests which have since grown up, seem to Government conclusive against the assertion of a claim to escheat in these cases. The Collector will, therefore, apprize the tenants, as he proposes, that the Government have renounced their claim to the property.

2. The Government are of opinion, however, that the local Officers were guilty of neglect in not bringing these cases to notice at the time when they occurred; and the Board will direct the Collector not to fail to bring to notice any that may have occurred recently, or that may hereafter occur.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,

Secretary to Government.

No. 1,631.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 30th March 1860.

Read letter from the Collector of Malabar, dated 6th March 1860, No. 49, begging to be informed, for reasons given, whether the decision of Government in the Iranganur chetrum case is final.

(In Con. 12th March 1860, No. 1,131.)

In Con. 17th Feb. 1860, No. 749.

Submitted for the Orders of Government, with reference to paragraph 8 of their Order, dated 16th ultimo, No. 241, R. D.

(A true Extract.)

Secretary.

To J. D. BOURDILLON, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to Collector of Malabar.

Exd. J. Wright.

In Con. 12th March 1860, No. 1131.

From P. GRANT, Esq., Collector of MALABAR,

dated Calicut, 6th March 1860, No. 42.

With reference to paragraph 8 in the order of Government, dated February 15th, 1860, No. 241, of their Proceedings on the Iranganur chetram case, I beg to be informed, whether the decision of Government is final, and whether all interference in it will in future be avoided. I ask this question because the position of the ryots will be much benefitted by being told at once that Government has given up all claim to the land.

Exd. J. Tulloch.

Enclosing Bill of Costs
Notice of Appeal No. 2
Letter 30th November 1921
Clerk

Copy to

Robertson Glen
Solicitor for Defendant
Messrs Sanderson & Macara
No 49 Regent Quadrant
Glasgow

Memo

Saving the strange plea that a Warrant Sheriff cannot exclude, this seems a clear case of exclusion; and, as in other cases of exclusion in Lanarkshire, the Sheriff-Clerk would be justified in refusing to issue the Summons & the Tenants, if willing & bound, as no doubt they are.

2. With regard to the Summons writ; it is doubtful whether any will be needed in the case being as stated by

the Court, we clear; but at all events, one decree would be sufficient for all the cases, the circumstances being the same.

3. It seems to me an Reasonable to give the first right to keep them to [redacted]. The [redacted] in the present case have [redacted] in the Journals of right & the Journals and [redacted] of right & the Journals

4. If first Rule that the rights of the Public in the Lands should be enforced, the claim should be made, & if it is needful even we clear cases should be selected to try

the right. If the tenants do not resist the claim, a moderate price ought to be on the Journals, & it ought to be resisted by the tenants on the Historical Position ascertained.

5. If the claim is resisted & any right, an action may be brought; leaving to the Tenant to make the defence before referred to if he thinks proper. But if a Tenants present cannot extend to first if evidence cannot help I. a Tenant.

6. If the first

Revenue Dept.

No 662

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Under date the 27th April 1860.

Read the following

Proceedings of the Board of

Revenue.

Submitting for orders a letter from the Collector of Malabar requesting to be informed whether the decision of Government in the Tangannur Chetrom case is final and whether all interference in it will in future be avoided.

Here enter 5th March 1860 No 1051

The Board of Revenue will inform the Collector of Malabar that the resolution of Government in para 8 of their order 16th March last No 241, to "leave matters as they are" is final. The lapse of time since the decision of the two Brahmans proprietors eight-thirty eight years

resolve to take no further action in the matter, it is further to notify that notice the Company's claim to the property in question will be considered as having been abandoned.

2. In other words the Company's claim in this case, first brought forward in 1822, and the Private interests which have since grown up under it to be regarded as having at all events ceased in Fasli 1070.

3. Only a few weeks are therefore necessary to enable the Collector to conclude all the arrangements in Canara.

Fort St. George 16 May 1860

(signed) C. Trevelyan

Secretary to Government

To the Collector of Malabar

Dated 15th February 1860

Received 17th April 1860

No 1051

Laid before the Board of Revenue

Dated 27th April 1860

No 662

years in the one case and
thirty two in the other,
and the private interests
which have since grown
up, seem to Government
conclusive against the
assertion of a claim to
escheat in these cases. The
Collector will, therefore, ap-
prise the tenants, as he
proposes, that the Govern-
ment have renounced their
claim to the property.

2 The Government
are of opinion, however,
that the local Officers were
guilty of neglect in not
bringing these cases to
notice at the time when
they occurred; and the Board
will direct the Collector
not to fail to bring to
notice any that may
hereafter

have occurred recently
or that may hereafter
occur.

I enclose to the Secretary Rev. Circular 28

Revenue 1860 Dep.t

Pro: of Govt 30th April

No 662.

Pro: of Madras Govt.

dated 27th April 1860.

Board of Revenue to
inform the Collr of Tellicherry
that the resolution of Govt
in paras 8 of the Proceedings
of 16th February 1860 No 241
on the Pranganam Petition
case is final.

1219 & 120.