M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 589 Press
Mis.
66-67

Dated 18-4- 1860.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 66. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 26th March 1860, No. 1,531 :—

Read the following letter from W. M. CADELL, Esq., Collector of Tanjore, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort St. George, dated Vellum, 14th March 1860, No. 66 :—

I have the honor to submit the following Report on Government Petition No. 2,883 of 1859 :—

2. Petitioner, Nánuvaiyan, applies for permission to sue in the Civil Court for the recovery of certain Sarvamányam land alleged to have been purchased by him in the village of Sembagudi, Peralam táluq.

3. From the investigation instituted on the subject, it appears that about five velis of land in the above-mentioned village was granted by Sháhaji, Maharája of Tanjore, as Sarvamányam to nine individuals under a Sika Sanad, which is stated to have been destroyed by fire, but a Dafter Roka in support of this Inám is now forthcoming. There is, however, no reference to it in the Inám Register kept in this Office.

4. Petitioner states that out of the Inám above referred to, $\frac{1}{8}$th of a share was obtained by purchase from one Appá Sástri, a descendant of one of the original grantees, but as no certain conclusion can be drawn as to his relationship to any one of the original grantees, it is impossible to discover who was in possession of the same in 1802. He further states that one Rámu Sástri, the adopted son of Appá Sástri, declining to make over to him the land he purchased, he seeks now to recover it by means of a Civil action. The Defendant admits the Petitioner's statements, but declines making over the land on the ground that the purchase money, which was received from him, has been made over to the Petitioner by his adoptive father.

5. In the Paimash Account of Fasli 1238 and in the Anubogum Register of Fasli 1258 the land in dispute appears in the name of the foresaid Appá Sástri.

6. The extent and taraf assessment of the land are given below.

7. Under the above circumstances, and as the claim of the respective parties can in no way affect the interests of Government, I am of opinion that the request of the Petitioner may be granted.

Extent.			Assessment.			Kaval fees.			Difference.		
V.	M.	G.	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A	P.	Rs.	A.	P.
0	1	$77\frac{50}{64}$	2	8	3	0	1	3	2	7	0

Resolved that the above Report and the Petition No. 2,883 of 1859, be submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation that Petitioner may be permitted under Regulation IV of 1831 to bring a suit in the Civil Courts for the recovery of the Sarvamányam land which he claims.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM, *Secretary.*

No. 67. ORDER THEREON, 18th April 1860, No. 589.

The Government are pleased to grant the required permission to Nánuvaiyan, under the provisions of Section II Regulation IV of 1831, to sue in the Civil Courts for certain Sarvamányam land situated in Sembagudi Peralam Táluq of Tanjore.

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,

Deputy Secretary to Government.

Nº 588.

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Under date the 18th Apl. 1860.

Read the following letter from L. Pelly Esqr Member of the Board of Revenue.

Submitting for the orders of Govt a letter from the Collector of Tanjore requesting permission to proceed upon the present arrangement of the Talugs of this District till the commencement of the ensuing Fasli

(Ord under 27th March 1860 Nº 238.)

The Honorable the Governor in Council authorizes the Collector of Tanjore to defer the alteration of the Talugs till the beginning of the next revenue year viz the 1st July 1860.

2 It appears to Govt that the said

Nuisances would be best dealt with in connection with the Taluq establishment as it is believed they most commonly do reside belonging to Taluq rather than to village servants, but the arrangement reported in Para 2 of this letter may stand.

3 The suggestion in para 3 is approved and sanctioned. The Board should report to Govt for approval the arrangement finally made in each district serving the financial results as proposed by Mr Pelly.

4. Orders have already been passed on 11 April

No. 1,531.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 26th March 1860.

Read the following letter from W. M. CADELL, Esq., Collector of Tanjore, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort St. George; dated Vellum, 14th March 1860, No. 66.

I have the honor to submit the following Report on Government Petition No. 2883 of 1859:—

2. Petitioner, Nanuvaiyan, applies for permission to sue in the Civil Court for the recovery of certain Survamaniem land alleged to have been purchased by him in the village of Sembangudi, Peralam Taluq.

3. From the investigation instituted on the subject, it appears that about five velis of land in the above-mentioned village was granted by Shahaji, Maharaja of Tanjore, as Survamaniem to nine individuals under a Sika Sanad, which is stated to have been destroyed by fire, but a Dafter Roka in support of this Inam is now forthcoming. There is, however, no reference to it in the Inam Register kept in this Office.

4. Petitioner states that out of the Inam above referred to, $\frac{1}{9}$th of a share was obtained by purchase from one Appa Sastri, a descendant of one of the original grantees, but as no certain conclusion can be drawn as to his relationship to any one of the original grantees, it is impossible to discover who was in possession of the same in 1802. He further states that one Ramu Sastri, the adopted son of Appa Sastri, declining to make over to him the land he purchased, he seeks now to recover it by means of a Civil action. The Defendant admits the Petitioner's statements, but declines making over the land on the ground that the purchase money, which was received from him, has been made over to the Petitioner by his adoptive father.

5. In the Paimash Account of Fasli 1238 and in the Anubogum Register of Fasli 1258 the land in dispute appears in the name of the aforesaid Appa Sastri.

6. The extent and Taraf assessment of the land are given below.

7. Under the above circumstances, and as the claim of the respective parties can in no way affect the interests of Government, I am of opinion that the request of the Petitioner may be granted.

Extent.	Assessment.	Kaval fees.	Difference.
V. M. G.	Rs. A. P.	R. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
0 1 $77\frac{55}{64}$	2 8 3	0 1 3	2 7 0

Resolved that the above Report and the Petition No. 2,883 of 1859, be submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation that Petitioner may be permitted under Regulation IV of 1831 to bring a suit in the Civil Courts for the recovery of the Survamaniem land which he claims.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

J. D. Sim

Secretary.

To J. D. BOURDILLON, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to Collector of Tanjore.

Exd. J. Wright.

Department
Proceedings to 20th April
1860

No 115

Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue

Dated 26th
Dor. 30
Rec'd 31
C.t Petition

March 1860

No 1831.

Submitting a petition
from the Collector of Tanjore
on petition No 2883 of 1859 with
the Board's recommendation
that petitioner be permitted to
bring a suit in the Civil Court to
for the recovery of the arrears
mentioned and direct be claimed
with an Endt

No 2880 18th March

559

The Government are pleased
to grant the required permission to Ma
Venugopal under the provisions of Sec
II Regulation IV of 1831 to sue the
Civil Courts for certain Sarvamanyam
Land situated in Sembangudi
Peraloum Taluq of Tanjore.

Govt
18th April 1860

Govt

No 86 &c

II Copies to the Board of Rev
with Endt

Despd
19th