M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	382	Press ~~Mis.~~
31-32		
Dated	14 — 3 —	1860.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, for the month of March 1860.

No. 31. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 2nd February 1860, No. 572.

Read letter from the Collector of Krishna District, dated 28th December 1859, No. 10, submitting, with reference to paragraph 5 of the Board's Proceedings of the 22nd instant, No. 5364, certain observations regarding the boundaries of the new districts, Krishna and Godavery.

(In Con. 3rd January 1860, No. 23.)

Submitted to Government, with reference to the Board's Proceedings, dated 22nd December 1859, No. 5364.

2. The Board have considered the objections advanced by the Collector of the Krishna District against the transfer of Elúr to Godavery, as proposed in paragraph 5 of the above Proceedings, but do not perceive any valid reason for altering the opinion they have formed on the subject. The Board believe that it will be very difficult, if not impracticable, to effect a clear separation of the lands watered by the Godavery and Krishna rivers, respectively.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM, *Secretary.*

No. 32. ORDER THEREON, 14th March 1860, No. 382.

The Government agree with the Board of Revenue that no reason is shown by the Collector of Krishna District, for altering the arrangement which transfers the whole of the Elúr táluq to Godavery District.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,
Secretary to Government.

IN CON. 3RD JANUARY 1860, No. 23.

From W. KNOX, Esq., Collector of KRISHNA DISTRICT,
dated Masulipatam, 28th December 1859, No. 10.

In paragraph 5 of the Proceedings of the Board, dated 22nd instant, No. 5364, a change in the boundary of the divided taluq of Elur being contemplated, I have the honor to explain that my question was put before I had seen the Proceedings forwarded to Guntur, which I believe are the correct ones, in which the rule about the sub-division of villages is laid down. I now submit for the information of the Board, copy of a letter of the Acting District Engineer, Godavari division, dated 22nd instant. It appears from this evidently that the Krishna irrigation will extend to the large tank (inclusive) of Elur, the larger part of the Elur lands lie south and west of its eastern bund, and the whole of the wet land in the village will be irrigated by the Krishna channel; the town should, I think, naturally belong to the Krishna delta, and there will be no difficulty in applying to the Engineers for water or repairs, or in the preparation of irrigation accounts.

2. The tahsildar of Gollapalli taluq, now located at Elur, having parted with the distant villages appertaining to Chatrai Pargana, is nearly in the centre of his jurisdiction, except on one side. When Mr. Pelly's revision of taluqs is completed, the few Government villages and the Vasantavada muta belonging to the Vallur zemindar, which remain in the Krishna delta, can be transferred to the Gudivada tahsildar, a deputy being located at Elur vested with the powers of an Ameen. I may bring to the notice of the Board that Mr. Pelly has promised to support my proposition that the whole of the nuzid estate, comprising the five parganas noted in the margin, should be kept under a separate head of Police to be stationed in the centre of his division.

	Villages.	Area.
I. Gollapalli	65	49,283
II. Chatrai	16	25,764
III. Nuvastalam	95	1,53,694
IV. Weyar	46	68,352
V. Medur..	46	36,482
	268	3,33,575

3. I quite agree with the Board that the town of Elur is of great importance and for that very reason it should be, I think, in this district, as it is much nearer to the Magistrate at Masulipatam than to Cocanada or Rajamandri.

4. The transfer of the whole of Elur taluq to the Godavari district is, I would submit, of greater consequence than at first appears, inasmuch as it will altogether do away with one of the great benefits of the change of districts, the principal object of which was, I believe, to keep the accounts of the two annicuts distinct and allow the profits of each to appear in the same account and the whole of its system to be under one revenue and one executive head; we lose also the well defined boundary between the two districts chosen by the Government. I therefore beg the Board will give this point the benefit of their re-consideration.

5. The village of Jangampad belongs to Bhittarjhalli pargana, and consequently to the Krishna district; all the villages of the transferred pargana of Baharjhalli lie east of the said village and of the Upputer, which forms the natural boundary as it were between the said two parganas.

5. If Elur, is to remain in the Krishna district, the Koler lake and the islands are much nearer to it than to Gudivada or Gurja, the present and proposed stations respectively of the tahsildar of that taluq. I would therefore rather keep the lake under the charge of the officer at Elur, if the Board approve of it.

6. The three villages mentioned in the District Engineer's letter, and Chataparru and Jalepude lying east of them, belong to Elur and Pedapad mutas, and will have to be transferred to the Godavari district, if I am right in supposing that the great object to be considered is to keep distinct the lands watered by the Godavari from those watered by the Krishna.

ENC. 1.

ABSTRACT.—Copy of a letter from the Acting District Engineer, Godavari division, dated 22nd December 1859, No. 415.

Exd. J. Tulloch.

No. 572.

PROCEEDINGS of the BOARD of REVENUE, dated 2nd February 1860.

Read letter from the Collector of Krishna District, dated 28th December 1859, No. 10, submitting, with reference to paragraph 5 of the Board's Proceedings of the 22nd instant, No. 5364, certain observations regarding the boundaries of the new districts, Krishna and Godavari.

(In Con. 3rd January 1860, No. 23.)

Submitted to Government, with reference to the Board's Proceedings, dated 22nd December 1859, No. 5364.

2. The Board have considered the objections advanced by the Collector of the Krishna District against the transfer of Ellore to Godaveri as proposed in paragraph 5 of the above Proceedings, but do not perceive any valid reason for altering the opinion they have formed on the subject. The Board believe that it will be very difficult, if not impracticable, to effect a clear separation of the lands watered by the Godaveri and Krishna rivers, respectively.

(A true Extract.)

Secretary.

To T. PYCROFT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government.
Copy to Collector of Krishna District.

Exd. J. Wright.

Enclosure No. 1 in the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated 22nd February 1860 — No. 5372.

P. 282 &c.

Letter from the Collector of Krishna District to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 28th December 1859 No. 10 regarding the boundaries of the Krishna and Godaveri districts.

Pro: of Govt. 20 January 1860 N. 88-89.

Revenue 1860 Depart.
Pro: of Govt N° 20 March
N° 282

Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue.

Dated 2d
Desp 7th
Rec. 9th
} February 1860

E. I. with 1 Enc. Returned
N° 572.

Submitting with
the Board's observations
a letter from the Collector
of Krishna District
advancing certain objec-
tions against the trans-
fer of Eluru to Godavari.

N° 382

N° 382.

The Govt. agree with
the Bd of Revenue that
no reason is shown by the
Coll. of Kistna District,
for altering the arrangement
which transfers the whole
of the Eluru Taluq to
Godavari District.

Govt Sec. 14 March 1860

11 Copies Bd of Revenue with an Enclo
10 Copies Chief Engr
4 " C. Petty Engr

N° 31 & 32.

N° 382. 14th March
With an order

2d March 812