M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 35	Press ~~Mis.~~
71-72	
Dated 5 — 1 — 1860.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, for the month of January 1860.

No. 71. Read the following Petition from S. ROYAPPA, Inámdar of Konijadu in the district of Nellúr; to T. PYCROFT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government, dated 15th October 1859, No. 71.

I beg your honor will kindly transmit the triplicate copies of the memorial to the Secretary State of India in Council.

No. 72. ORDER THEREON, 5th January 1860, No. 35.

In the memorial to the Home Government which accompanied the above address, one Royappa prays for the restoration of certain inám land at Konijadu in the Ongole táluq of the Nellúr district, which had been made over by the district authorities to another party. The memorialist applied on a former occasion for permission to sue in the Courts for the recovery of the land, but that permission was then refused.

2. The Government have now reconsidered the memorialist's case. They find that the public interests are not at all concerned, the dispute being simply one of successors between private persons. They resolve therefore to grant petitioner permission under Section II. Regulation IV of 1831 to sue in the Courts of Adálut for the recovery of the land.

3. A copy of this resolution will be furnished to the memorialist, and his memorial will at the same time be returned to him.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,
Secretary to Government.

Inems. vs Rev. Pltf. 2164

The Petr. memorialises the House Jst. respecting an Inam Land in Vellore Dist. The District authorities awarded the land to another person; the Jst., on the Board's recommendation, declined to permit Petr. to take the matter into the Courts.

June 9/58.

2. The Interest of the Jst. is not in any way concerned; the dispute is entirely one of meerasi between private persons. The not unusually acted

5

on in such cases is that Govt. cannot
interfere under the Regs- attend to-
- unless any claimant of the re-
- ward which he may have in
the offering Courts if the Court
were not Imán - by this rule
permission & and might & have
been given in the former case,
will Govt. and give that per-
- mission, or will they uphold
the former refusal, & and the
unanimous Law with their adverse
opinion -

D. H. Dec. 26

He should, I think, be permitted
to sue Whitehouse 27 Dec 59

I do not objects the permission to sue being
given, though I doubt its advisability.

Ellc

No. 15 of 58 Nos. 1-2

Revenue 1859 Dept.
Pro: of Govt 10th Janry: 60.
No. 264.

From S. Rayappah
Inamdar of Conijadoo
in the Dist.t of Nellore.

Dated 15th October 1859
Rec.d 25th November —.

3. Encls: Returned

Submits a Memorial for transmission to the Home Government praying for the restoration of his Inámiyam land at Conijadoo in the Táluq of Bugole in Nellore.

No. 71.

Read 10th 35: 5th January 60.

L

C 24th Dec.r R 3 Jany 60.

To

J. Pycroft Esqr.
Chief Sy.y to Government.

Sir

I beg your honor will kindly transmit the Duplicate Copies of the Memorial to the Secretary to the State of India in Council.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient & humble Ser.t

15 October 59.

To

The Right Honorable Secretary
of State for India in Council
East India House
London.

The humble Memorial
of Sonjee Royappah Inam-
dar of Conjadoo of Ongole
Talook in the District of
Nellore.

Most Humbly Sheweth

That your humble Memo-
rialist having been unjustly dispossessed
of the Maniums land situated in Conn-
jadoo in the Talooke of Ongole bestowed
on him under duly executed Deed of Gift
by his sister he most respectfully solicits
to submit for the favorable consideration
of your Honor in Council the follow-
ing brief statement relative to the matter
in the earnest belief that justice will
be rendered him.

2 The Maniums in question
was for a long time in the enjoyment
of the family of the Original Grantee
and was latterly held and enjoyed by
the three widows.

viz

viz 1 Korince Krishna Vannumma Petitioners Sister
2 " Poallummah - - -
3 " Saraswatee Ummah.

3 Many years ago the above 3 widowes made a formal davision of the mannium executed the Deed of Partition on stamped paper on the 30th November 1835 and registered it in the Court.

4 Immediately on the davision taking place Memorialists sister made over her share to him and she lived under his protection up to the time of her decease.

5 On her death Memorialist being her only heir performed her funeral ceremonies and inherited all her property inclusive of the portion of Mannium belonging to her.

6 Whilst he was in the enjoyment of the Mannium the Sub Collector most unjustly dispossessed him of the Mannium and made it over to the grandson of Poallummah this that Officer did on the ground of Memorialist not belonging to the family of the original Grantee.

7 With reference to this transfer Memorialist begs most respectfully to submet -

1st That from a formal davision having taken place Pollummas Grandson can be entitled to his Grand mothers share only, and not to all the different shares of a devided family.

2d The alienation in favor of Memorialist was made by his sister long before 1848 in accordance with the Usage obtaining in the District.

3d Consequently many such alienations having taken place the Mannium are still in the enjoyment of the individuals to whom they were respectively assigned.

4th Memorialist as the only heir of his late sister performed her funeral ceremonies and still observe the anniversary of her death.

5th Agreeably to Hindoo Law and Curma Condo Praccarum Memorialist is fully entitled to succeed to his late sisters mannium land.

8 Notwithstanding all the above reasons the Local Government confirmed the unjust order of the subordinate authorities.

9 Under these circumstances Memorialist most humbly urges that he is entitled to the share of Mannium belonging

belonging to his late sister, and he therefore with the utmost deference solicits your Honor in Council to pass Orders directing the Local Government to obtain the opinion of the Pundits of the Sudr Court with regard to Memorialists claim and restore the Mannium in question to him —

And your Honors Memorialist as in duty bound —

Will ever pray.

15th October 1859.

[Signed] Royappa J. See

£87

Rev Dept

No 325

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 5th January 1860

Read the following
Memorial from S Roy-
appah Iramodar of
Conjeeveram in the District
of Nellore.

Submits a Memo-
rial for transmission to the
Home Government praying
for the restoration of his Mani-
yam land at Conjeeveram in the
Talug of Ongole in Nellore —

(Here enter 15th October 1859)

List —

In the Memo-
rial to the Home Govt
which accompanied
the above address, one
Royappa prays for the
restoration of certain
inam land at Konja-
-veram in the Ongole Taluq
of the Nellore District,
which had been made

over

over by the District Au-
thorities to another party.
The Memorialist applied
on a former occasion for
permission to sue in the
Courts for the recovery
of the land, but that
permission was then
refused.

2. The Govt have
now reconsidered the
Memorialist's case. They
find that the public
interests are not at
all concerned, the dis-
pute being simply one
of successors between
private persons. They
have resolved therefore
to grant Petitioner
permission under
Section II Reg IV of
1831 to sue in the
Courts of Adalut for

the recovery of the land.

3. A copy of this
resolution will be for-
warded to the Memo-
rialist, and his Me-
morial will at the
same time be returned
to him.

[signature]

4 Copies
To
The Memorialist
Dd 13th of Novr. Dispatch
To Royapah with Memd in Triplr.

Revenue 1860 Deptt.

Pro: of Govt 10th January

No 35.

Pro: of the Madras Govt.
Dd 5th January 60.

Petitioner Boy appears to
sue in the Courts for his
maniyam land in Nellore.

No 71 & 72.

L