M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 2398 Press ~~Mis.~~

924-225

Dated 14—12— 1869.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 14th December 1860.

No. 224. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 25th October 1860, No. 4,914:—

Read the following letter from G. S. FORBES, Esq., Collector of Ganjam, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort Saint George, dated Chetterpore, 21st September 1860, No. 254.

With reference to the Board's Endorsement on Petition No. 4,536 of 1859, I have the honor to report that the Petitioner was long since permitted to return to the Jarada Estate.

Ordered to be submitted for the information of Government, with reference to their Endorsement, dated 9th January 1860, on Petition No. 4,536 of 1859.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON, *Sub-Secretary.*

No. 225. ORDER THEREON, 14th December 1860, No. 2,398.

1. Chudámaninapako, an inhabitant of Jarada Fort, and who had been, according to his own account, manager on behalf of the Zamíndár of the Jarada Táluq, complained at the end of last year, that he had been kept five months in custody by the Collector of Ganjam at Chetterpore and other places, and requested to be released.

2. This petition was sent in January last to the Board for report. With their Proceedings, recorded above they forward a letter, dated 21st September, from Mr. Forbes, simply stating that the Petitioner was long since permitted to return to the Jarada Estate."

3. The Board will now desire the Collector to report at once the grounds of this man's detention, and for what period he was detained. They will at the same time inform Mr. Forbes that the mode in which he has dealt with this petition is wanting in due respect to the Government and must not be repeated.

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

No. 4,914.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 25th October 1860.

Read the following letter from G. S. FORBES, Esq., Collector of Ganjam, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort Saint George, dated Chetterpore, 21st September 1860, No. 254:—

With reference to the Board's Endorsement on Petition No. 4,536 of 1859, I have the honor to report that the Petitioner was long since permitted to return to the Jarada Estate.

Ordered to be submitted for the information of Government, with reference to their Endorsement, dated 9th January 1860, on Petition No. 4,536 of 1859.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Sub-Secretary.

To J. D. Bourdillon, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to G. S. Forbes, Esq., Collector of Ganjam.

Exd. J. Wright.

224-225

14-12-60

Rev. 1860 Department
Pro: of Govt for December
No. 2733

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Dated 25th October 1860
Desp.d 1st November
Reed 28th Do
Encl.s

No. 4914

Submitting for information a letter from the Collector of Ganjam &c. reporting that the Petitioner in No. 4536 of 1859 was long since permitted to return to the Jaradah Estate.

No 224.

6 Dec. R 7th

No. 2398. 14th December

1- Chuddamonee Patra, an inhabitant of the Vadavara Taluk, and who had been, according to his own account, manager on behalf of the Zamindar of the Jaradah Estate, complained at the end of last year that he had been kept five months in confinement by the Collector of Ganjam at Chatterpore and of the grounds regarding which he complained -

2. The petition was sent in January last to the Board for report. From the Proceedings recorded above it appears that a letter dated 21 Sept. from the Collector simply stated that "the Petitioner was long since permitted to return to the Jaradah Estate"

3. The Board will now desire the Collector to report the grounds of the petitioner's detention; and for what period he was detained. They will at the same time inform him further that the mode in which he should deal with the petition is

present Remembrancer also confirmed the application
of your pet.r took the necessary information of
the Ledger from him and ordered him to pay the
account as Direct.rs do for the minor who did
accordingly.

3. L.t A. Forbes Esq.r the Collector and Agent
summoned your pet.r on the 4.th July and issued
a warrant to him on the 12.th Idem to come to
him without mentioning the cause, agreeably to which
he came to Cuttackpore his station, under charge of
two Dalayets, and reported the circumstance, who
did not take this notice till some time and then
sent for and ordered them to be at Berhampore
and.th some time after at Chicacole. Your petitioner
was accordingly fined. Latterly when your pet.r
was at Chicacole he issued a warrant on the 17.th
ultimo to come to him, who accordingly came &
was waiting for his permission. The Collector has
not informed him the cause of his terrifying him
in the above manner and then sending for him.

4. Your pet.r presented Arzees on the 16.th
and 30.th July, 8.th 9.th Aug.t and 29.th September to
the Collector and Agent saying that they remained
to keep him under restraint, and that he com-
=mitted no fault by his being under surveil
it was great disgrace[illegible] to him, and requested
to relieve him, to which he gave no answer
for the 8.th Aug.t, wherein it was proposed for copies
of certain documents, upon which he endorsed that
the copies of certain papers proposed by the petitioner
would

should be given to him, and to which he answered
[illegible] for said documents when the
Collector took it from him and ordered him to
give him leave. The minor Remembrancer requested him
in future [illegible] Arzees dated 8 and 9th Augt
to give them to your pet.r upon which he said
that it is to do it on taking security, but by the whole
in the Cutcherry many of this transaction were pro-
=duced. Notwithstanding this the [illegible] of the
minor Remembrancer also addressed [illegible]
if Idem to the effect.

5. [illegible] by the Collector and Agent done as aforesaid towards your pet.r it was a great mortification to him.

6. These are the days of your pet.rs merchan-
=dize, the Collector and Agent by not permitting him
to go to his place his traffic is prevented and
proved a loss of Sicca [illegible] to your
pet.r being at Cuttackpore separate from his
family his harassings are much, and [illegible] of them
mostly employed to despatch their [illegible] especially the
detention of your pet.r occasioned to prevent his
business during the business of the mutiny.

7. The honesty and chastity of your pet.r will be
known on inquiry of the most respectable persons in
the Circuit.

Under the above circumstances your pet.r
humbly beseeches your Honour in Council to be pleased
to instruct the Collector to relieve him from
[illegible] to be ordered to [illegible]

place.

Chittoor -
17th Novr 1859.

Exam forage.

No 4536 of 1859

Referred to the Board of Revenue for report.—

By Order

Dy: Secy: to Govt

For Fort St George,
9th January 1860.

Mis Dept.

No 2398

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 14th December 1860.

Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue -

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Ganjam reporting that the Petitioner No 4536 of 1859 was (Here enter 25th Octr/60 No 4914) since permitted to go to the Jaroola Estate.

Will

Chittenapatto

Ella

patra, an inhabitant of Jaroola Fort, and who had been, according to his own account, a manager on behalf of the Zamindar of the Jaroola Taluq, complained at the end of last year that he had been kept five months in custody by the Collector of Ganjam at Chetterpore and

and other places and re-
quested to be released.

2 This petition
was sent in January
last to the Board for
report. With their Pro-
ceedings recorded above
they forward a letter d/d 21st
September, from Mr.
Forbes simply stating that
"the Petitioner was long
"since permitted to return
"to the Jarada State."

3 The Board
will now desire the
Collector to report the grounds
grounds of this man's
detention, and for what
period he was detained.
They will at the same
time inform Mr. Forbes
that the mode in which
he has dealt with this
petition in omitting in due
respect
to the Government and

must not be repeated.

Ejin

Ejin

IOL

Revenue 1860 Sept 6
Pro of Govt for December.

No 2398

Pro: of the Madras Govt.
Dt 14th December 1860
From B of Rev 21 January 61
No 207 - in Pro 1st Feb No 259

Board to desire the
Collector of Ganjam to
report at once the grounds
of the detention of the
Petitioner Chudamanina:
=pako and for what period
he was detained.

No 224 & 225.

(1)
Reading to Chudamanina:
on his release out of Jail