M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 2394 Press ~~Mis.~~

216-217

Dated 14 — 12 — 1869.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 14th December 1860.

No. 216. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 12th November 1860, No. 5,242:—

Read the following letter from G. THORNHILL, Esq., Acting Collector of Kistna District, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort Saint George, dated Masulipatam, 29th October 1860, No. 240:—

SIR,

1. With reference to the Board's Endorsement on the Petition No. 88 of 1860, herewith returned, I have the honor to report that one Kuchela* of Sarvadumbála Inám land was, about a century ago, granted to be enjoyed from generation to generation, to the Petitioner's grandfather by the then Zamíndár under the tank situated at the Bápetla village in the Bápetla Táluq.

* *32 acres.*

2. The Inám land has descended in right of succession to the two grandsons† of the grantee in whose names it is entered in the public accounts.

† *Venkatáchela Somayájulu and Sítáráma Somayájulu sons by the 1st wife of Lakshminarsimha Somayájulu, the grantee's adopted son.*

3. The Petitioners are the half brothers of the present Inámdárs, and during the investigation of the Deputy Collector of the Inám Commission, established their right to the Inám land in question, and succeeded in getting it registered in their names. They request that, as they are thus the recognised lawful heirs to the Inám land, they may be allowed to sue their half brothers in the Civil Court under Section 11, Regulation IV of 1831, for the recovery of the produce, &c., of the past three years, of which their brothers are in the enjoyment.

4. Sítáráma Somayájulu, one of the two brothers in whose names the Inám is entered, objects to go to the Court for fear of costs, and wishes to settle the matter by Panchayat, or amicably between the brothers, but as the division of the Inám into shares is a matter to be decided by the Courts, I see no objection to the Petitioners obtaining an order from the Chief Secretary to Government, permitting them to seek redress through the Civil Court.

---

1. Ordered that the above letter be submitted to Government, with reference to their Endorsement on Petition No. 673 of 1860.

2. The Board are of opinion that the permission sought may be granted without objection.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON, *Acting Secretary.*

No. 217. ORDER THEREON, 14th December 1860, No. 2,394.

1. Resolved with reference to the statement of the Collector of the Kistna District, as contained in paragraph 3 of his letter recorded in the foregoing Proceedings, to request the Inám Commissioner to report fully and without delay on the case in question, and to state the reasons which induced the Deputy Collector to make such a registry as that specified. The Governor in Council has already* pointed out to Mr. Taylor that the claims of persons not in possession cannot be entertained by the Officers employed in this investigation, which is intended simply to determine the tenure of the land, leaving any individual rights for the adjudication of the ordinary tribunals.

* *Proceedings, dated 20th October* 1860, *No.* 1,921, *Revenue Department.*

2. The Inám Commissioner will also state for the information of Government whether any other, and if so, how many, decisions of a similar nature have been recorded: and will notify to his subordinates that the procedure directed in No. XXV of the Inám Rules must not be departed from.

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,

Deputy Secretary to Government.

R. D.

No 2394.

Proceedings of the Madras Govern.t
Under date the 14th Dec.r 1860.
Read the following
Pro.s of the Bd. of Rev.e

Submitting with Bd's Recommendation a letter from the Actg Coll.r, Kistna Distr.t reporting on Govt. Petition No 5/3 of 1860 soliciting permission to sue in the Civil Court for the recovery of certain Riamland

Here enter 12th Nov.r 1860 No 5262

Resolved with reference to the statement of the Coll.r of the Kistna District as contained in para 3 of his letter recorded in the foregoing Proceedings to request the Inam Commissioner to report fully and without delay on

the case in question,
and to state the rea-
sons which induced
the Dr Coll[r] to make
such a registry as that
specified - The Gin[r]
has already pointed
out to Mr Taylor that
the claims of persons
not in possession can-
not be entertained by
the Officers employed
in this investigation,
which is intended
simply to determine
the tenure of the land,
leaving any indivi-
dual rights for the
adjudication

* Prod[n] 20 Oct/60
No 1901 W.D.

adjudication of the
ordinary tribunals.
2.— The Incm Com-
missioner will also
state for the informa-
tion of Govt whether
any other, and if so,
how many, decisions
of a similar nature
have been recorded:
and will notify to
his subordinates
that the procedure
directed in No XXV
of the Incm Rules
must not be depart-
ed from.

12 Copies
Board of Rev Disp 15
4 " Incm Com[r]s

Revenue 1860 Departt.
Pro: of Govt for December

№ 2394

Pro: of the Madras Govt
Dd 14th December 1860

From Inam Commissioner
Rd 11th June 61 № 1404 in
pro 13th Augt № 1694.

Inam Commissioner
to report respecting an
Inam land in the Kistna
District and to state the
reasons which induced the
Deputy Collector to make an
Entry as that
specified –

№ 216 & 217.