M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 2368 — Press / Mis.

164-165

Dated 11-12-1860

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 11th December 1860.

No. 164. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 5th November 1860, No. 5,117 :—

Read the following letter from W. M. CADELL, Esq., Collector of Tanjore, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort Saint George, dated Vellum, 27th October 1860, No. 348.

SIR,

1. I have the honor to report on Petition No. 2,324 of 1860, addressed to Government, by one Parasurámaiyan, applying for leave to sue for the recovery of one-sixteenth of a véli of Sarvamányam land in the village of Tribuvanam in the Combaconum Táluq.

2. From the Register made in this Office in 1817, of "Ináms held without grant," it appears that the village referred to was granted by Rája Tukkáji about 120 years ago on Sarvamányam tenure, to one Siva Ráu Peshavai, and at the time of the register, was in the enjoyment of 42 individuals, being descendants of the original grantee. It is further stated in that document that the Sikka Sanad under which the village was so granted, was lost in Hyder's invasion.

3. There is no connection whatever between the present Petitioner and any of the descendants of the original grantee. From inquiries made on the subject of this Petition, it however appears that one-eighth véli of land in the aforesaid village was acquired by Petitioner's forefathers (when not known) by way of gift, and that about 45 years hence, it was equally divided between Petitioner's father and his brother. The latter, and after his death, his widow Nágammál enjoyed their moiety of the land till about four years ago, when she also died without leaving any issue. Petitioner states, that while by Hindu law, he is entitled to inherit the land left by his uncle's widow, her brothers Mahálinga Deatchit and two others unlawfully took possession of the same, and have as unlawfully sold it about four months hence to one Swámi Aiyangár.

4. The Defendant Mahálinga Deatchit avers that his sister Nágammál sold her land to her father 14 years previous to her death, and that after the death of her father, which took place shortly afterwards, he (Defendant) and his two brothers in due course inherited the same and sold it this year to the individual Swámi Aiyangár abovementioned.

5. In the Paimáish account of Fasli 1238, the land in question is with other portions in the village entered in the name of one Nágaiyen and others. Who these parties were, cannot, however, be now traced. In the Anubhogam Register of Fasli 1258, the same appears in the name of the Defendant Mahálinga Deatchit. If assessed, this piece of land would be liable to the payment of Rupee 1-5-6; at present, however, only Anna 1-6 is levied thereon, on account of Kável fee.

6. Under the foregoing circumstances of the case, I am of opinion that Petitioner may have the permission solicited.

Ordered that the above letter be submitted to Government, with the Board's recommendation that the permission sought by Petitioner be granted.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON, *Sub-Secretary.*

No. 165. ORDER THEREON, 11th December 1860, No. 2,368.

Under Section 2, Regulation IV of 1831, the Governor in Council permits Parasurámaiyan to sue in the established Courts for the recovery of one-sixteenth of a véli of Sarvamányam land situated in the village of Tribuvanam in the Combaconum Táluq of the Tanjore District.

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,

Deputy Secretary to Government.

No. 5,117.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 5th November 1860.

Read the following letter from W. M. CADELL, Esq., Collector of Tanjore, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort Saint George, dated Vellum, 27th October 1860, No. 348 :—

1. I have the honor to report on Petition No. 2,324 of 1860, addressed to Government, by one Parasuramaiyan, applying for leave to sue for the recovery of one-sixteenth of a veli of Sarvamaniam land in the village of Tribuvanam in the Combaconum Taluk.

2. From the Register made in this Office in 1817, of "Inams held without grant," it appears that the village referred to was granted by Raja Tukkaji about 120 years ago on Sarvamaniam tenure, to one Seva Row Peshavai, and at the time of the register, was in the enjoyment of 42 individuals, being descendants of the original grantee. It is further stated in that document that the Sikka Sanad under which the village was so granted, was lost in Hyder's invasion.

3. There is no connection whatever between the present Petitioner and any of the descendants of the original grantee. From inquiries made on the subject of this Petition, it however appears that one-eighth veli of land in the aforesaid village was acquired by Petitioner's forefathers (when not known) by way of gift, and that about 45 years hence, it was equally divided between Petitioner's father and his brother. The latter, and after his death, his widow Nagammal enjoyed their moiety of the land till about four years ago, when she also died without leaving any issue. Petitioner states, that while by Hindu law, he is entitled to inherit the land left by his uncle's widow, her brothers Mahalinga Deatchit and two others unlawfully took possession of the same, and have as unlawfully sold it about four months hence to one Sami Aiyangar.

4. The Defendant Mahalinga Deatchit avers that his sister Nagammal sold her land to her father 14 years previous to her death, and that after the death of her father, which took place shortly afterwards, he (Defendant) and his two brothers in due course inherited the same and sold it this year to the individual Sami Aiyangar abovementioned.

5. In the Paimash account of Fasli 1238, the land in question is with other portions in the village entered in the name of one Nagaiyen and others. Who these parties were, cannot, however, be now traced. In the Anubogam Register of Fasli 1258, the same appears in the name of the Defendant Mahalinga Deatchit. If assessed, this piece of land would be liable to the payment of Rupee 1-5-6; at present, however, only Anna 1-6 is levied thereon, on account of Kavel fee.

6. Under the foregoing circumstances of the case, I am of opinion that Petitioner may have the permission solicited.

Ordered that the above letter be submitted to Government, with the Board's recommendation that the permission sought by Petitioner be granted.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Sub-Secretary.

To J. D. Bourdillon, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to W. M. Cadell, Esq., Collector of Tanjore.

Exd. J. Wright.

~~Rec. 7th Dec 1860 No 47 48~~

Rev: 1860 Department
Rec: of Govt for December (20)
No. 2582

Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue

Dated 5th }
Desp. 18 } November 1860
Proc. 13 }

Encs 10

No. 5117

Submitting with the Board's recommendation a letter from the Collector of Tanjore reporting on Government Ref. No. 2324 of 1860 applying for leave to sue for the recovery of certain Sirvamaniem lands.

No. 164 & 165.

No. 2368. 11th December
Within Order

C. L. Rec. No.

No. 2368

Under Sec II Reg IV of 18

the Governor in Council permits
U
Parasuramaiyan to sue in the
established Courts for the pos
of one sixteenth of a veli of Sar
maniyam land attached to
village of Tiruvanamur in t
Combaconum Taluq of the
Tanjore District.

for Sam Geo
17th December 1860

12 Copies
To the Board of Revenue with Cir. No. 22