M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 2245 Press ~~Mis.~~

455-456

Dated 27—11— 18 60.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
27th November 1860.

No. 455. Read the following letter from J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, to J. D. BOURDILLON, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated 31st October 1860, No. 5,012 :—

SIR,

1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of Government the accompanying correspondence* relating to the refund of Rupees 18-6-5 to Chenchu Náyudu, a writer on the Establishment of the Collector of Salem, being the amount of his pay for 23 days he overstayed his privilege leave.

* *From Collector of Salem, dated 20th in Cons., 21st July* 1860, *No.* 3,556.
From Civil Auditor, 7th, in Cons., 10th September 1860, *No.* 4,528.
From Collector of Salem, 11th, in Cons., 13th October 1860, *No.* 5,193.

2. Chenchu Náyudu was granted a month's privilege leave from 1st March last by Mr. Knox, the late Officiating Collector of the District, and having fallen sick within that period, he submitted a Medical Certificate and applied for a month's extension of the privilege leave, or, failing that concession, for a month's sick leave.

3. Mr. Knox it appears refused him leave on the ground that the Certificate was informal, and the applicant not returning to duty until the 24th April following, his pay for 23 days was deducted from the Pay Abstract by the Treasury Deputy Collector, and credited to Government.

4. Mr. Brett has since resumed charge of the District, and proposes refunding the stoppage, being of opinion that Chenchu Náyudu could, under the rules, have an extension of the privilege leave, as he applied for it before the expiration of the month first granted, and Mr. Knox was at liberty to comply with the request.

5. The Civil Auditor, however, reports that, as privilege leave cannot be granted retrospectively, Mr. Brett is precluded from reckoning the period overstayed by the writer as an extension of the original leave.

6. Mr. Knox's refusal of further privilege leave appearing to be the result rather of a misconception on his part of the rules, than of any public consideration; the Board are disposed to view the case as an exceptional one, and accordingly recommend Mr. Brett's proposal to the favorable consideration of Government.

No. 456. ORDER THEREON, 27th November 1860, No. 2,245.

1. The Governor in Council observes, in reply to this reference, that the Civil Auditor is certainly right according to the rules. Privilege leave cannot be granted in arrear, and Mr. Brett erred in extending that of Chenchu Náyudu. His Honor in Council sees nothing in the papers to support the Board's supposition in paragraph 6 as to Mr. Knox's reason for refusing the extension. The dates too of the application for this extension and of its receipt by the Acting Collector, are not given and the occurence is now seven months old.

2 The Government on the whole, must decline to acceed to the recommendation that Chenchu Náyudu should be considered as having been on privilege leave from the 1st to the 23rd April.

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Acting Secretary to Government.

Read the following letter

No. 5,012.

From J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue,

To J. D. BOURDILLON, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

SIR,

From Collector of Salem, dated 20th, in Cons., 21st July 1860, No. 3,556.
From Civil Auditor, 7th, in Cons., 10th, September 1860, No. 4,528.
From Collector of Salem, 11th, in Cons., 13th October 1860, No. 5,193.

1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of Government the accompanying correspondence* relating to the refund of Rupees 18-6-5 to Chenchú Naidú, a writer on the Establishment of the Collector of Salem, being the amount of his pay for 23 days he overstayed his privilege leave.

2. Chenchú Naidú was granted a month's privilege leave from 1st March last by Mr. Knox, the late Officiating Collector of the District, and having fallen sick within that period, he submitted a Medical certificate and applied for a month's extension of the privilege leave, or, failing that concession, for a month's sick leave.

3. Mr. Knox it appears refused him leave on the ground that the certificate was informal, and the applicant not returning to duty until the 24th April following, his pay for 23 days was deducted from the Pay Abstract by the Treasury Deputy Collector, and credited to Government.

4. Mr. Brett has since resumed charge of the District, and proposes refunding the stoppage, being of opinion that Chenchú Naidú could, under the rules, have an extension of the privilege leave, as he applied for it before the expiration of the month first granted, and Mr. Knox was at liberty to comply with the request.

5. The Civil Auditor, however, reports that, as privilege leave cannot be granted retrospectively, Mr. Brett is precluded from reckoning the period overstayed by the writer as an extension of the original leave.

6. Mr. Knox's refusal of further privilege leave appearing to be the result rather of a misconception on his part of the rules, than of any public consideration; the Board are disposed to view the case as an exceptional one, and accordingly recommend Mr. Brett's proposal to the favorable consideration of Government.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Secretary.

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE,
Fort St. George,
31st October 1860.

Copy to H. A. Brett, Esq., Officiating Collector of Salem.

Exd. J. Wright.

In Con. 13th October 1860, No. 5,193.

From H. A. BRETT, Esq., Collector of SALEM,

dated Usur, 11th October 1860, No. 264.

With reference to the Board's Proceedings of the 22nd ultimo, No. 4,399, forwarding for my information the copy of a letter from the Officiating Civil Auditor, dated the 7th idem, No. 991, in which the Civil Auditor objects to the extension of privilege leave granted by me retrospectively to Chinchoo Naidoo, a writer on my establishment, and requesting me to inform the Board whether I still wish my letter to them, dated the 20th July last, No. 202, should be submitted to Government, I have the honor to request that the Board will, with reference to the peculiar circumstances of the case, apply to the Government to sanction my refunding to the writer his pay for the 23 days.

2. From the copy of my letter to the Civil Auditor, the Board will observe that Chinchoo Naidoo's application for an extension of leave was made before the expiration of the one month's privilege leave granted to him under Section VII, and that Mr. Knox was at liberty to extend his leave under the same Section.

Exd. J. Tulloch.

No. 4,339.

INGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 22nd September 1860.

ter from W. BALMAIN, Esq., Officiating Civil Auditor, to J. D. SIM, Esq.,
oard of Revenue, Fort Saint George, dated 7th September 1860, No. 991 :—

o the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, No. 3,460 of 27th ultimo, the
tor has the honor to state that privilege leave cannot be granted retrospectively,
of the Collector is not regular. Under the circumstances mentioned Chenchoo
idered to have been absent on sick leave and would then be entitled to half salary;
st leave granted will have to be counted as sick leave, and he will forfeit half
also, and on the whole will be a loser.

---

1. Forwarded for the information of the Collector of Salem,
60, No. 3,556. with reference to his letter, No. 202, dated 20th July 1860.

or will inform the Board whether he still wishes that letter to be submitted to

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Sub-Secretary.

., Collector of Salem.

nain, Esq., Officiating Civil Auditor.

No. 3,460.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 27th July 1860.

Read the following letter from H. A. BRETT, Esq., Collector of Salem, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort Saint George; dated Ussur, 20th July 1860, No. 202:—

I have the honor to submit the copy of a letter which I have this day addressed to the Civil Auditor, regarding the privilege leave granted to Chenchoo Naidu, a Writer on my Establishment; and to request that, under the circumstances therein explained, the Board will be good enough to obtain the sanction of the Government, to my refunding to Chenchoo Naidu, Rupees 18-6-5, being his full pay for the 23 days he was absent from duty in April last.

2. It is explained in paragraph 4 of my letter to the Civil Auditor, that this sum was included in the audited abstract of my Office for April last, but was subsequently carried to the credit of Government by the Deputy Collector in charge of the Treasury. I now propose to refund the sum in question to Chenchoo Naidu, on the ground that he was entitled to privilege leave for the time he was absent in April.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

ABSTRACT.—Copy of a letter addressed to the Civil Auditor regarding the pay of Chenchoo Naidu, a Writer on his Establishment.

The Board request the Acting Civil Auditor to inform them whether the Rules allow of the leave being granted.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary.

To W. J. Raynor, Esq., Acting Civil Auditor.

Copy to H. A. Brett, Esq., Collector of Salem.

Exd. J. Wright.

Revenue Dept.

No. 2245.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Under date the 27th Novr 1860.

Read the following Proceeding of the Board of Revenue.

Submitting with the Board's recommendation correspondence with the Collector of Salem proposing to repay Rupees 18-6-5. to Chenchu Naidu a writer on his Establishment being the amount of his pay for 23 days he overstayed his privilege leave.

Here Entered 31st Oct 1860 No 5012

Nágpúr

The Governor in Council observes, in reply to this reference, that the Civil Auditor is certainly right according to the rules. Privilege leave cannot be granted in arrear, and Mr Butts erred in extending that of Chenchu Naidu ~~therefore wrong Jootkie~~. His Honor in Council sees nothing in the papers to support the Board's

1860 Despatches

Enclosure 1 in a letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue to the Secretary to Government Genl Dept. dated 31st October 1860 No 5012.

S.I. 02 2.12

Revenue Dept.

No 2245.

Letter from the Collr of Salem dated 20th July 1860 No 202

Board's Proceedings &c the Acting Civil Auditor dated 24th July 1860 No 3100 regarding the privilege leave.

Copie Nadendeyn

Boards ~~assumption~~ supposition in
Para 6 as to Mr Knox's
reasons for
~~refusing~~ the Extension.
The dates too of the appli-
cation for this extension
and of its receipt by the
Acting Collector, are not
given & the occurrence is now
seven months old.

2 The Government
on the whole, must
decline to accede to the re-
commendation that Chenchu
Naidu should be considered
as having been on privilege leave
from the 1st to the 23rd April
~~and be allowed his pay for~~
~~that period~~

J. D. S.

To The Board of R

12 Copies - Board of Revenue with an Encl. Disp: 28
3 " Cmb Collector. D

Chenchu Naidu's Br:
privilege leave.

Revenue 1860 Septr.
Pro: of Govt for Novr.

No 2245.

Pro: of the Madras Govt.
Dy 27th Novr 1860.

Declining to accede to the recommendation that Chenchu Nayudu a writer on the establishment of the Collr of Salem should be considered as having been on privilege leave from 1st to 23d April.

No 455 & 456.

L