M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 207 Press Mis.

282-283

Dated 16 — 1860.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 16th November 1860.

No. 282. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 19th October 1860, No. 4,793:—

Read the following letter from W. M. CADELL, Esq., Collector of Tanjore, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort Saint George, dated Vellum, 29th September 1860, No. 318:—

SIR,

1. I have the honor to report on Petition No. 301 of 1860, addressed to the Board by one Védárannia Aiyen and two others applying for leave to sue for certain Sarvamányam lands.

2. The lands referred to in this Petition are situated in the village of Náráyanapuram mentioned in my report* on Government Petition No. 1,176 of 1860, and are included among the 12¼ velis bestowed as gifts on thirteen Brahmins by the original grantee Jaganath Bhatgoswámi as therein stated.

* 28th September 1860, No. 311.

Petitioners.	Their holdings. V. M. G.	Portions alleged to have been encroached upon. V. M. G.	Names of alleged encroachers.
1. Védárannia Aiyen.....	0 8 24¼	0 2 0	1 Saminathier. 2 Kistna Aiyengar
2. Srrinivása Aiyengár.....	0 6 93 9/64	0 0 75	1 Sami Sastri. 2 Vencataramain.
3. Subbayen.....	0 8 87 7/64	0 0 50	1 Vencataramain. 2 Samien.
		0 3 25	

	R. A. P.
Assessment.....	4 7 3
Caval fees now levied.....	0 4 4
Difference.....	4 2 11

3. Of these 12¼ velis the portions marginally noted have been transmitted to Petitioner by sale through several steps, and the object of this application is to recover certain fractional parts thereof alleged to have been encroached upon by their neighbours, as shown also in the margin.

4. The parties who are alleged to have so encroached upon Petitioners' holdings, state that the matter in issue between Petitioners and themselves is merely a boundary dispute which can only be adjusted on a measurement of all the holdings in the village, and to which they (the Defendants) do not object. This latter measure, however, cannot be carried out from want of unity and co-operation on the part of some other landholders in the village.

5. In the Paimáish account of Fasli 1239, the share of the first of these Petitioners is entered in the name of one Panchapayesa Sástri, a descendant of one of the thirteen donees above mentioned; that of the second is entered in the name of his deceased father by name Aiyávaiengár, and that of the third in the name of his deceased brother one Rámaien. In the Anubógam Register of Fasli 1258, the share of the first Petitioner appears as in the Paimáish, that of the second in the name of another party who then held it temporarily on mortgage, and that of the third in his own name.

6. Under these circumstances, I am of opinion that the permission sought may be granted.

---

1. Ordered to be submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation, that under the circumstances stated by the Collector, the permission sought by Petitioner be granted.

2. The Collector's letter of 28th September 1860, quoted by him, was submitted to Government, with the Board's Proceedings, dated 8th October 1860, No. 4,586.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON, *Sub-Secretary.*

No. 283. ORDER THEREON, 16th November 1860, No. 2,157.

Under Section 2, Regulation IV of 1831, the Government permit Védárannia Aiyen, Srinivása Aiyengár and Subbayen, to sue in Court for certain Sarvamányam land situated in the village of Náráyanapuram, in the Manárgudi Táluq of the Tanjore District.

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,

Deputy Secretary to Government.

No. 4,793.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 19th October 1860.

Read the following letter from W. M. CADELL, Esq., Collector of Tanjore, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort Saint George, dated Vellum, 29th September 1860, No. 318:—

1. I have the honor to report on Petition No. 301 of 1860, addressed to the Board by one Vedarannia Aiyen and two others applying for leave to sue for certain Survamaniem lands.

2. The lands referred to in this Petition are situated in the village of Narayanapuram mentioned in my report* on Government Petition No. 1,176 of 1860, and are included among the $12\frac{1}{4}$ velis bestowed as gifts on thirteen Bramins by the original grantee Jaganath Bhatgoswami as therein stated.

* 28th September 1860, No. 311.

Petitioners.	Their holdings. V.	M.	G.	Portions alleged to have been encroached upon. V.	M.	G.	Names of alleged encroachers.
1 Vedarania Aiyen...	0	8	$24\frac{1}{4}$	0	2	0	1 Saminathier. 2 Kistna Aiyengar.
2 Srinevasa Aiyengar...	0	6	$93\frac{2}{64}$	0	0	75	1 Sami Sastri. 2 Vencataramain.
3 Subbayen.....	0	8	$87\frac{1}{64}$	0	0	50	1 Vencataramain. 2 Samien.
				0	3	25	

	R.	A.	P.
Assessment	4	7	3
Caval fees now levied.....	0	4	4
Difference.....	4	2	11

3. Of these $12\frac{1}{4}$ velis the portions marginally noted have been transmitted to Petitioner by sale through several steps, and the object of this application is to recover certain fractional parts thereof alleged to have been encroached upon by their neighbors, as shown also in the margin.

4. The parties who are alleged to have so encroached upon Petitioners' holdings, state that the matter in issue between Petitioners and themselves is merely a boundary dispute which can only be adjusted on a measurement of all the holdings in the village, and to which they (the Defendants) do not object. This latter measure, however, cannot be carried out from want of unity and co-operation on the part of some other landholders in the village.

5. In the Paimaish account of Fasli 1239, the share of the first of these Petitioners is entered in the name of one Panchapayesa Sastri, a descendant of one of the thirteen donees above mentioned; that of the second is entered in the name of his deceased father by name Aiyavaingar, and that of the third in the name of his deceased brother one Ramaien. In the Anubogam Register of Fasli 1258, the share of the first Petitioner appears as in the Paimaish, that of the second in the name of another party who then held it temporarily on mortgage, and that of the third in his own name.

6. Under these circumstances, I am of opinion that the permission sought may be granted.

---

1. Ordered to be submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation, that under the circumstances stated by the Collector, the permission sought by Petitioner be granted.

2. The Collector's letter of 28th September 1860, quoted by him, was submitted to Government, with the Board's Proceedings, dated 8th October 1860, No. 4,586.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Sub-Secretary.

To J. D. Bourdillon, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to W. M. Cadell, Esq., Collector of Tanjore.

Exd. J. Wright.

No 2157

Under Sec II Reg III of A 51, the Government permit Vedarama Aiyen, Srinivása Aiyengár and Subbayan, to sue in court for certain Sarvamaniyam land situated in the village of Náráyana-puram, in the Mannárgudi Táluq of the Tanjore District.

C Gillman Sd.

Rec'd 19th Dec 1860
Dispd 20th " "
Recd 27th " "
Encs 1 Doc

No 4793

Fort St. Geo.
16th Nov 1860.

Examined

12 Copies
To the Board of Revenue
with an Encl

Disp 17

No 2824

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Dated 19th Dec 1860
Dispd 20th " "
Recd 27th " "
Encs 1 Doc

No 4793.

Submitting with the Board's recommendation a letter from the Collector of Tanjore reporting on Petition No 301 of 1860 applying for leave to sue for certain Sarvamaniem lands.—

No 2167. 16th November
With an order