M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-23.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 2007 Press Mis.

507–508

Dated 31—12—1860.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 31st October 1860.

No. 507. Read the following Remarks of the Board of Revenue, dated 12th July 1860, No. 3,202, on the following Tabular Report of a lapsed Yaumia :—

No. 3,170, from the Acting Collector of Kurnool, dated 26th June 1860.

(In Cons., 29th June 1860.)

1. This Yaumia is one of those declared by Government in paragraph 9 of Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, dated 12th April 1849, No. 369, not to be of a character that should be renewed in perpetuity, but to be one which should be reported on when lapse occurred, with special reference to the facts of whether the service is kept up and importance attached to it by the community, as well as to the circumstances of the family of the last incumbent.

In Cons., 14*th May* 1849, *No.* 973.

2. As the Collector states that in this case the service has been regularly maintained, and that great importance is attached to it by the Mahomedan community, while the family of the deceased incumbent is in poor circumstances, the Board recommend that the payment of Rupees 20-5-0 be continued to Gharíb Sháh, the son of the last incumbent, who died on the 25th May 1850, subject to further report upon his demise.

(By Order.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM, *Secretary.*

No. 508. ORDER THEREON, 31st October 1860, No. 2,007.

This Yaumia of Rupees (20-5-0) Twenty and Annas five per annum being for Urs, is not of an hereditary character. But, under the circumstances of this case, the Government grant authority for its continuance to Gharíb Sháh son of the last incumbent, for his life only, to cease absolutely at his death.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,
Secretary to Government.

Second Mem.m on Rev. 2o. 1448

This is an Ord. allow-
ance: in the cases + the Ord.
+ Natives but w.h. have recently arisen. The in-
-crease of Protestant
expectation of such allowance.
They have before been declared
not to be of an hereditary
character. See also Sec.
- Dr. Balfour's letter applied to a special case - given 2 d. in Ord. Book. July 16.
& implies that these grants are
contingent where they affect the course-
-ry & where there is a Mosque Service.
Elder papers

2. When this Treaty was circulated

before, the question was asked whether any payment had been made since the death of the last registered holder in 1850. A memo was then prepared to that effect; but on examining the papers it was found (cor. between Jany 11, in first & Post Jany 25) that payment had been made to the last nominee in the last year.

3. The amount is Rs.

20-5 years - if absence it might be continued to the son grants stab, for his life; & also on his death.

This Schedule does not do away with the Pension Establishment under Bengal Regulation XI of 1825 or Act XXXVII of 1850

- I think these allowances ought to be continued where they have existed since our rule & when it is shown that the service is kept up & is valued by the community - See my remarks on Mr Balfour's letter in the margin of Pension. - They are not

advised by Jee

Grants

Memo re Rev. No. 1448.

The Secretary has been in Singapore 10 years - during this period

Treasury has been made to Treasury

This is another case of a yearly ceremony at the tomb of a Muslim saint - It has been declared that such allowances are not heritable and as to their dispensation the ceremony being much thought of in the locality, there will always be found persons to come forward & declare that they are so -

The present allowance is a pittance of 20 Rs 50 am

I concur in the Board's proposal

Ellis

allowances for tombs / tabernacles have been declared heritable but here there is a Dargah - the present minister is a stranger to the village - the allowance is a recent one - made by the Collector in his discretion - as a consideration for his services as custodian of the tomb?

Ellis

Hence a block - but I think under the circumstances also that it is a grant of Government for the endowment of the mosque or tomb - it should be a perpetual allowance - our Resolution is silent on

he so liberal about landed endowments under the Inam rules & hands about these long continued money grants? - The same principle seems to be applicable except in clearly personal grants,

Ellis

Note. The present case seems to be of having the same nature as that reported on by Dr. Wallace. There appears to be no mosque - In the order of April 12/49, it is expressly said (Vol 9) that these allowances are not to be hereditary.

Oct 15

a year; the original grantee died in 1850 leaving a widow & sons, but the case is not now reported. The allowance was granted by the habit of Kinmont, & is not of long standing.

The effect of such allowances is to keep men in idleness & poverty. The best course, as it seems to me, would be to continue the allowance to the widow for her life, or a maintenance, without condition of service; the allowance to cease absolutely at her death.

1

(1)

Memo.

I see no objection to the Crown Surrendering if the Public faith be not pledged to maintain the Grants, so long as the service is surrendered. If it be so, Permission in favour of the Widow falls to the ground, as she could not comply with the conditions.

A. G.

J. G.

I prefer the second

Third memo.

Recirculated with reference to Mr. Matthie's second memo; to the effect that the question of continuance of the allowance may be left open till the death of the present incumbent.

As this I would

why seems that it is
a choice between
measures that they
questions should be
settled at once, one
way or the other, when
they come up between
sessions. D. H. Dec 22.

I prefer

I really think it's
better to decide it
now - by having so the
question is set for
next test - then
one is kept on edge
when he goes for about
two months to vote
the present month
next day - Sunday
Else to the present term

In Con., 29th June 1860, No. 3,170.

From J. I. MINCHIN, Esq., Acting Collector of KURNOOL,

dated Kurnool, 26th June 1860, No. 91.

With reference to the Proceedings of the Madras Government under date the 25th January 1860, No. 128, communicated by the Board of Revenue in their Memorandum of the 4th February 1860, No. 652, I have the honor to forward the Tabular report showing the particulars of a Yeomiah of Rs. 20-5-0, granted to Gareeb Shah, Durgah, as therein directed.

Encl. J. Tulloch.

Enc. 1.

Tabular Report on the lapsed Yeomiah in the District of Kurnool.

Case Number.	Talug and village, and value of the entire Yeomiah.	When and by whom granted—name of the original grantee—sunnuds or other documents in support of the grant.	Nature of the grant, charity or service. If service nature of the duty prescribed by the grant.	Name of the last holder, date of his decease, and the relationship in which he stood to the grantee.	Share enjoyed by the deceased and that held by the other members.	Family left by the deceased and name and age of the parties, their circumstances, and the means of subsistence possessed by them.	Recommendation of the Collector, and the grounds on which it is made.	Recommendation of the Board of Revenue.	Decision of Government.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	Gadethamadoogoo of Nundicotcoor Taluq. Durgah Ooroos Rs. 20-5-0 per annum.	No sunnud. Nothing known concerning the origin of the grant, but the payment was found in existence on assuming the province, and has been continued to the death of the last holder who is supposed to have been the original grantee.	Service—performance of the Ooroos ceremony at the Durgah.	Kareemooddeen Shah (the original grantee) died on the 25th May 1850.	The deceased enjoyed the entire Yeomiah.	Zahoor Bee, widow of the deceased, aged 50 years. Gareeb Shah and Yacoob Saib, sons of the do., aged 30 years and 26 years. Thaher Saib, brother of the do., aged 50 years, his wife Khatum Bee, aged 45 years. Beebee Sab, daughter of the deceased, aged 18 years.	The allowance was granted for the performance of the Ooroos ceremony. It has been ascertained that the service was kept up till the demise of the last incumbent by himself, and since then, by his son, Gareeb Shah. The Mahomedan community attach great importance to this Durgah as it is the tomb of a renowned Fakeer who is looked upon now as a Saint. The family of the last incumbent is in poor circumstances, their chief means of subsistence appear to be the offerings made by votaries and others resorting to the Durgah.		

Kurnool, 26th June 1860.

(Signed) J. I. MINCHIN,
Acting Collector.

No. 3,202.

REMARKS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 12th July 1860, on the following Tabular Report of a lapsed Yeomiah.

No. 3,170, From the Acting Collector of Kurnool, dated 26th June 1860.

(In Cons., 29th June 1860.)

This Yeomiah is one of those declared by Government in In Cons., 14th May 1849, No. 973. paragraph 9 of Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, dated 12th April 1849, No. 369, not to be of a character that should be renewed in perpetuity, but to be one which should be reported on when lapse occurred, with special reference to the facts of whether the service is kept up and importance attached to it by the community, as well as to the circumstances of the family of the last incumbent.

2. As the Collector states that in this case the service has been regularly maintained, and that great importance is attached to it by the Mahomedan Community, while the family of the deceased incumbent is in poor circumstances, the Board recommend that the payment of Rupees 20-5-0 be continued to Gareeb Shah, the son of the last incumbent, who died on the 25th May 1850, subject to further report upon his demise.

(By Order.)

Secretary.

To J. D. Bourdillon, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to J. I. Minchin, Esq., Acting Collector of Kurnool.

Exd. J. Wright.

Rec.d 13th April 1849 No 64-65
" 8th Feby 1859 " 22-23
" 31st Janry 1860 " 58-59

1-5-15

No 507 & 508.

Rec.d 1860 Depart.t
Rec.d of Govt for October
No 14148

Remarks of the Board
of Revenue.

Dated 12th
Desp.d 16 } July 1860
Rec.d 17
Encl.s 1.

No 3202

Submitting the
Board's remarks on a
Tabular Report of a lapse
Yemiah received from the
Actg Collr of Kurnool and
recommending that the pa
=ment of Rs 20-5-0 be con
=tinued to Gareeb Shah the
Son of the last incumben

No 2007 - 31st October

With an order

No 2007

This Yaumia of Rupee
(20-5-) Twenty and Annas five
annum
being for life, is not of an hereditary character. But, under the circumstances of this case, the Governm grant authority for its continuance to Gharib Shah Son of the last incumbent for his life only, to cease abs lutely at his death.

Fort St. Geo.
31st October 1860

Rec.d 26 Nov.r
Regd. No. 184
Sent Genl
Encl.d

List -

Gen.l Jud.l Rec.d 23 October No.