M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 1756 Press
~~Mis.~~

11—12

Dated 1—10— 1860.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 11. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 18th August 1860, No. 3,794 :—

Read the following letter from V. H. LEVINGE, Esq., Collector of Madura, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort Saint George, dated 7th August 1860, No. 158 :—

SIR,

From Board, 27th February 1860.
Do. „ 10*th March* „
Do. Govt., 16*th* „ „

1. With reference to the marginal correspondence, I have the honor to submit for the Orders of the Board, translation of a Petition received with a letter from the Civil Judge of Madura, dated 17th ultimo, and presented on behalf of the Hakdárs of the Bárki Venkata Ráu's and Kali Náráyana Aiyan's Chuttrums, Appellants in Appeal Suits Nos. 296 of 1856 and 43 of 1857 on the file of the same Court; from which the Board will see that Petitioners request to be allowed to unite and construct a joint Chuttrum at Dindigul, instead of two separate ones as decreed by the Court, on the ground that the funds allotted for the purpose are too small to build two commodious Choultries.

2. Under the same reasons, Mr. Parker, the late Collector, seems to have been induced to make a similar proposal to the Board in his letter of the 6th December 1849, regarding the erection of the Chuttrums in question.

———

In Cons., 17*th March* 1860, *No.* 1,227.

1. Submitted for the information of Government with reference to their Order, dated 16th March 1860, No. 401, Revenue Department.

2. The Board consider the proposed arrangement advisable. The Collector will take care that full effect is given to the Civil Court's decree regarding these Chuttrums in Appeal Suits Nos. 295 of 1856 and 43 of 1857.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM, *Secretary.*

———

No. 12. ORDER THEREON, 1st October 1860, No. 1,756.

This is not a case on which the Government can pass orders. The Civil Judge of Madura decreed that two Chuttrums should be built, and it is for him to modify his decree if he thinks proper ; the Government and the Collector can do nothing but act under the decree till it is modified.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,
Secretary to Government.

Incm°

The circumstances
of this case are same
in the Letters in the Jour-
Proof of Evidence 16/60.

The Jurors of Londonderry
decreed that two chur-
-tows shd be built
out of certain funds,
arising from Collecting
Fees. It is now two-

-tored to club the
funds a trial ~~me~~
Chattanooga, or it was
was postponed by the
Colls long ago.

It appears to me
that it is for the Judge
to modify his decree
if he thinks better;
but that the first of the
Collector can do
nothing but act

under his decree
till it is so modified.
I don't see that they
have even a correct
an opinion on the
subject; & I don't
know why the Judge
sent the Petition to the
Coll. But his orders
in the case contain many
misstatements.

W. D. Sept. 21.

No. 3,794.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 18th August 1860.

Read the following letter from V. H. LEVINGE, Esq., Collector of Madura, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort Saint George; dated 7th August 1860, No. 158:—

From Board, 27th February 1860.
Do. „ 10th March „
Do. Govt., 16th „ „

1. With reference to the marginal correspondence, I have the honor to submit for the Orders of the Board, translation of a Petition received with a letter from the Civil Judge of Madura, dated 17th ultimo, and presented on behalf of the Huckdars of the Barki Venkat Row's and Kali Narayana Aiyan's Chuttrums, Appellants in Appeal Suits Nos. 296 of 1856 and 43 of 1857 on the file of the same Court; from which the Board will see that Petitioner's request to be allowed to unite and construct a joint Chuttrum at Dindigul, instead of two separate ones as decreed by the Court, on the ground that the funds allotted for the purpose are too small to build two commodious Choultries.

2. Under the same reasons, Mr. Parker, the late Collector, seems to have been induced to make a similar proposal to the Board in his letter of the 6th December 1849, regarding the erection of the Chuttrums in question.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

ABSTRACT.—A translation of a Petition from the Huckdars of Barki Venkat Row and Kali Narayana Aiyan's Chuttrums at Dindigul.

In Cons., 17th March 1860, No. 1,227.

1. Submitted for the information of Government with reference to their Order, dated 16th March 1860, No. 401, Revenue Department.

2. The Board consider the proposed arrangement advisable. The Collector will take care that full effect is given to the Civil Court's decree regarding these Chuttrums in Appeal Suits Nos. 295 of 1856 and 43 of 1857.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Secretary.

To J. D. Bourdillon, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to V. H. Levinge, Esq., Collector of Madura.

Exd. J. Wright.

Hakdars Ram's

5 Rec. 5th Feby/50 No. 40 to 42
14 " 17th Octr/54 " 25
4 " 13th April/55 " 1-2
20 " 20th Jany/57 " 36-37
16 " 20th March/60 " 71-72

Y.

From Bd of Rev 5th
Dated/60 No. 2897.

No 11 & 12.

Cr 21st September 1860

(13)

Rev 1869 Depart.t
Proc.s of Govt for October
1748.

Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue

Dated 18th
Desp.d 22 } August 1860.
Rec.d 22 } 23d Inst.o

Encl.s 1. Ol. Encl.
No. 1756. 1st Oct.r
No. 3794.

Submitting for information a letter from the Collector of Madura forwarding translation of a Petition received with a letter from the Civil Judge of Madura requesting to be allowed to unite and construct a joint Chuttrum at Dindigul.—

For order

With an order.

No. 1756

This is not a case on which the Government can pass orders. The Civil Judge of Madura decreed that two Chutrums should be built, and it is for him to modify his decree if he thinks proper. the Government and the Collector can do nothing but act under the decree till it is modified.

Fort St. Geo.e 1st Octr. 1860.

Copies to the Bd of Rev with an Extr Ltr of Madura Dist.t 3d

Lei — Son