M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22.

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

---

## Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 1466 Press ~~Mis.~~

367. 368

Dated 29-8- 1860.

***Not to be folded or roughly handled***

## *Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 29th August 1860.*

No. 367. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 3rd August 1860, No. 3,575 :—

Read letter from the Collector of Madras, dated 26th July 1860, No. 279.

(In Cons., 27th July 1860, No. 3,661.)

1. With this letter the Collector forwards a revised Statement of the financial result of the amalgamation of the Madras and Chingleput Districts.

2. The Statement is still incorrect in some particulars.

3. The Establishment of the Madras Salt Department which was not attached to the Huzúr Kacherí of either of the Districts, and as the Board pointed out should, therefore, be omitted, is still entered in both the old and new Districts.

4. The increase of salary proposed by Mr. Cunliffe to the Head Clerk under the Madras Deputy Collector, and the pay of the two extra Peons to be attached to the same Officer, are also exhibited in the Statement separately under the head "proposed." This entry should not be made in a Statement which is intended only to show the present actual saving. In his letters, dated 18th May and 2nd June 1860, the Collector stated that the services of the two extra Peons who were formerly attached to the Deputy Collector of the old Madras Treasury were retained to attend on the Deputy Collector for general duties and represented that their services were absolutely necessary ; and the Board allowed* their retention pending the revision of the Deputy Collectors' Establishments by Mr. Pelly. Their salaries should, therefore, properly be included in the new Establishment for the united Districts.

*In Cons., 19th May* 1860, *No.* 2,325.
*In Cons., 8th June* 1860, *No.* 2,718.

* *Board's Proceedings, 8th June* 1860, *No.* 2,737, *para.* 10.

5. The Collector also introduces into the Statement his proposal to abolish the Huzúr Treasury Establishment. This question was brought before the Board on two occasions and received their careful consideration after which they finally decided that it was expedient that the Huzúr Treasury should be retained. It was, therefore, improper on the part of the Collector to recur to the subject.

*Board's Procs., 8th June* 1860, *No.* 2,737.
*Do. 3rd July* 1860, *No.* 3,043.

6. In his letter dated 20th April 1860, Mr. Cunliffe requested that the Gumáshta on a salary of Rupees 10-8 per month who was formerly attached to the Cash-keeper in the Madras Treasury should be continued in order to assist the person entrusted with the sale of Postage Labels, and the Collector was accordingly permitted† to retain him, under the Stamp Vender, who is also to be charged with the duty of selling Postage Labels. This charge, however, does not appear in the Statement. It should be also included under the new Establishment.

*In Cons., 21st April* 1860, *No.* 1,795.

† *Board's Proceedings, 8th June* 1860, *No.* 2,737, *para.* 13.

7. The Board now resolve to submit to Government a Statement drawn up in their Office, showing the former Establishments and those now existing as called for in the Government Order, dated 5th June 1860. The actual saving at present effected by the amalgamation of Madras and Chingleput Districts amounts to Rupees 3,034-6-0.

8. It will be seen that the Quit-rent Establishment as at present retained exceeds the sanctioned Establishment by Rupees 10-8-0. The Collector explained that this sum is the pay of a Daffadár who, according to the sanctioned list belonged to the Collector's General Establishment, but was transferred to the Quit-rent Department to which no Daffadár was before attached, and showed that his services were absolutely necessary in the latter Department. The Board, therefore, authorized‡ his services being continued.

‡ *Board's Proceedings, dated 24th July* 1860.

| | | RS. | A. | P. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Yaumia | Department | 17 | 8 | 0 |
| Register's | do. | 235 | 12 | 0 |
| Quit-rent | do. | 795 | 8 | 0 |
| Stamping | do. | 103 | 4 | 0 |
| Abkari | do. | 1,149 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 Extra Peons for the Madras Deputy Collector. | | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 Gumáshta attached to the Stamp Vender at Madras. | | 10 | 8 | 0 |
| | | 2,325 | 8 | 0 |

9. The Board now request that the Government will be pleased to sanction the continuance of the Establishments noted in the margin as at present retained, pending their final revision by Mr. Pelly.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM, *Secretary.*

No. 368.

ORDER THEREON, 29th August 1860, No. 1,466.

1. The Government sanction conditionally, subject to Mr. Pelly's report, the continuance of the Establishments specified in the margin of paragraph 9 of the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue above recorded. But if Mr. Pelly recommends the retention of the four* extra servants referred to, the Government will expect full explanation of his reasons for considering their services to be necessary.

* *Two additional Peons on* 14 *Rupees a month for the Madras Deputy Collector.*
*A Gumáshta on* 10½ *Rupees a month to assist the Stamp Vendor in the sale of Postage labels.*
*A Daffadár on* 10½ *Rupees a month in the Quit-rent Department.*

2. The Board will be requested to forward, for transmission to the Government of India, a Statement in the prescribed form, showing in detail the former Establishments for Madras and Chingleput, and that now existing for the united Districts.

3. The Government agree with the Board of Revenue that a District Treasury ought to be maintained for the Madras Collectorate but they are of opinion that its transactions ought to be confined to such as are necessary, and that the Establishment need not be on the same scale as those of the Districts generally. It is believed that the remittances from the Northern Táluqs now go direct to the General Treasury. This practice might be extended to some other Táluqs, so that the remittances to the District Treasury shall be only to such an amount as shall suffice for the District disbursements. The Board of Revenue will make such an arrangement, in communication with the Collector, and report it for approval, with a statement of the Treasury Establishment which they may consider sufficient.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,
*Secretary to Government.*

IN CON., 21ST APRIL 1860, No. 1,795.

From B. CUNLIFFE, Esq., Collector of MADRAS,
dated Madras, 20th April 1860, No. 74.

I have but few remarks to offer on the Board's Proceedings of yesterday, No. 1,894.

2. The letter of the Accountant General is in effect the same as the demi-official communications to me of the Sub-Treasurer, when by order of His Excellency the Governor, I requested his opinion as to the feasibility of abolishing the Treasury in this office.

3. He forwarded to me at the same time the enclosed form of Cheques, of which, if the Board approve, I beg that they will direct a number to be printed, and sent to me before the 30th instant.

4. My collections are daily brought to my Treasury, and of this I apprised the Sub-Treasurer, who expressed his readiness to receive them in the same manner, provided they reached him before 3 P. M., and this can be arranged.

5. I think myself that the Treasury at Gindi might also be abolished: some of the Chingleput Taluqs remit, I believe, direct to the Government Treasury, and I see no reason why all should not do so. Remittances, instead of stopping at Gindi, might be carried on to the Fort, with little increase of trouble, and the abolition of the Treasury would involve that of the establishment and guards.

6. My account of payments and those of the Sub-Treasurer will often differ, for a payee receiving an order on the Treasury from me, may not cash it until the next or several days afterwards.

7. I understand also from the Accountant General's letter, paragraph 2, that the payment of pensions hitherto made in this office, will be transferred to some other quarter.

8. At present my cash-keeper is also vender of postage labels, wholesale, *i. e.*, not less than five Rupees worth, and the sales amount to about 5,000 Rupees monthly. He has a Gomastah on Rupees 10½ monthly to assist him in this duty, but receives no commission on the sale.

9. The Gomastah must be retained to afford aid to whatever officer is charged with the sale of the labels, but the selection of this officer will be difficult, as he must give security for the safe custody of the property committed to him. The stamp vender gives security as such, but if called upon to supervise the wholesale vend of labels, would require remuneration for his trouble.

10. If their sale is left with the Amildar, who is constantly absent on out-door duty, he will want a Gomastah of a superior grade and on higher allowances, into whose charge he might place labels sufficient for current expenditure, and this latter officer should also provide security for the right performance of his duties.

Exd. J. Tulloch.

No. 3,043.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 3rd July 1860.

Read letter from the Collector of Madras, dated 14th June 1860, No. 194.

(In Cons., 20th June 1860, No. 2,960.)

With this letter the Collector submits a Statement of the financial results attending the amalgamation of the Madras and Chingleput Districts, called for in the Board's Proceedings of 1st June 1860. The Board observe a difference between this and the one formerly sent by him, which has been submitted to Government with the Board's Proceedings of 5th April 1860. The former also differs from the Civil Auditor's printed Book of Establishment for 1858-59. The Collector is requested to explain the cause of the differences, the particulars of which are shown in the accompanying Memorandum. In the present Statement the amount of Salt Establishment is given as Rupees 583-8-0, while that of the existing one is Rupees 410. It is not apparent under what authority the latter sum has been increased by Rupees 173-8. The Collector will be good enough to give the particulars of this Establishment as compared with the existing one, and explain also the other items in the Memorandum which are marked with an asterisk. The Establishment for the Madras Deputy Collector is put down as Rupees 77 per mensem, while the sum, as sanctioned by Government, is only Rupees 64¾. Mr. Cunliffe has perhaps included in the amount in question, the pay of the two additional Peons he reported in his letter of 18th May 1860, having retained to attend on the Deputy Collector at Madras for general duties; if this is the case the amount should be shown separately. The Collector is requested to give the particulars also of the Treasury, Quit-rent, and Stamping Establishments as at present existing.

Board's Circular, 10th May 1859.

In Cons., 19th May 1860, No. 2,325.

2. Adverting to the remarks made by the Collector upon the objections taken by them to his proposition of abolishing the Chingleput Huzur Treasury, the Board adhere to the opinion, which after full consideration of the question, they have expressed in their Proceedings of 8th June 1860.

3. In paragraph 12 of his letter Mr. Cunliffe states that discrepancies between the Taluq, Huzur and Sub-Treasurer's accounts can be avoided by drawing no bills after the 20th of the month, as is now done in regard to other payments. From this it would seem that no payments are made in his District after the 20th of each month. The Board wish to know under what authority this rule was introduced into the District, and request the Collector to explain the matter more fully.

4. As proposed by the Collector the Postage Labels, as well as the Gumasta employed in connection with them, may be placed for the present under the Stamp Vender at Madras, and this subject will come under the consideration of Mr. Pelly when revising the Stamp Establishment.

5. With regard to the Yeomiah writer it should be explained where Yeomiah dues are paid. As the Treasury at Madras is to be abolished, and the Accountant General has made no arrangements for the payment of Pensions at the General Treasury by Cheques, it will probably be necessary to pay these charges at the Collector's Huzur Treasury; in which case the Board think one of the Huzur writers may be employed on the duty as in other Districts. Until the point is settled, the Collector can retain the services of the present writer.

6. Mr. Cunliffe is requested to send a Memorandum of the number and description of Pensioners paid from the old Madras and Chingleput Treasuries.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
*Secretary.*

To B. Cunliffe, Esq., Collector of Madras.

---

Memorandum showing the financial effect of the amalgamation of Madras and Chingleput Districts.

| Establishment at Madras. | As per Collector's Statement. | | | As per Board's Statement submitted to Government. | | | As per Civil Auditor's Book of Establishment. | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Rs. | A. | P. | Rs. | A. | P. | Rs. | A. | P. |
| Collector | 2,333 | 5 | 4 | 2,333 | 5 | 4 | 2,333 | 5 | 4 |
| Deputy Collector, Treasury | 250 | 0 | 0 | 250 | 0 | 0 | 250 | 0 | 0 |
| Salt Deputy Collector | 350 | 0 | 0 | 350 | 0 | 0 | 350 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2,933 | 5 | 4 | 2,933 | 5 | 4 | 2,933 | 5 | 4 |
| Manager | 175 | 0 | 0 | 175 | 0 | 0 | ... | ... | ... |
| Sheristadar's Department Gomastha | 17 | 8 | 0 | 17 | 8 | 0 | 17 | 8 | 0 |
| Native Accountant's Department. Munshi | 59 | 12 | 0 | 59 | 12 | 0 | 59 | 12 | 0 |
| Record do. | 109 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 0 |
| English do. | 35 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 |
| Cash do. | 338 | 4 | 0 | 338 | 4 | 0 | 338 | 4 | 0 |
| Peons do. including its guard* | 187 | 8 | 0 | 187 | 8 | 0 | 122 | 8 | 0 |
| Registrar's Department. Quit-Rent do.* | 139 | 2 | 0 | 149 | 12 | 0 | 163 | 10 | 0 |
| Yeomiah do.* | 235 | 12 | 0 | 235 | 12 | 0 | 235 | 12 | 0 |
| Stamping do.* | 795 | 8 | 0 | 785 | 0 | 0 | 834 | 0 | 0 |
| Salt Department | 17 | 8 | 0 | 17 | 8 | 0 | 17 | 8 | 0 |
| Abkarry, Huzur and District | 103 | 4 | 0 | 103 | 4 | 0 | 110 | 4 | 0 |
| | 410 | 0 | 0 | 410 | 0 | 0 | 410 | 0 | 0 |
| | 1,149 | 0 | 0 | 1,149 | 0 | 0 | 1,149 | 0 | 0 |
| | 3,772 | 2 | 0 | 3,772 | 4 | 0 | 3,602 | 2 | 0 |
| Total | 6,705 | 7 | 4 | 6,705 | 9 | 4 | 6,535 | 7 | 4 |
| **Establishment at Chingleput.** | | | | | | | | | |
| Collector, &c. | 3,816 | 10 | 8 | 3,816 | 10 | 8 | 3,231 | 2 | 8 |
| Sheristadar's Department* | 605 | 8 | 0 | 644 | 8 | 0 | 564 | 8 | 0 |
| English do.* | 454 | 0 | 0 | 528 | 0 | 0 | 528 | 0 | 0 |
| Munshi do.* | 265 | 8 | 0 | 279 | 8 | 0 | 279 | 8 | 0 |
| Record, &c. do.* | 688 | 12 | 0 | 688 | 12 | 0 | 688 | 12 | 0 |
| Cash do.* | 222 | 8 | 0 | 227 | 8 | 0 | 227 | 8 | 0 |
| Deputy Collector's Establishment | 76 | 12 | 0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Printing do.* | 77 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 |
| Salt do. | 115 | 8 | 0 | 115 | 8 | 0 | 115 | 8 | 0 |
| | 2,505 | 8 | 0 | 2,529 | 12 | 0 | 2,455 | 12 | 0 |
| Total | 6,322 | 2 | 8 | 6,346 | 6 | 8 | 5,686 | 14 | 8 |
| Grand Total | 13,027 | 10 | 0 | 13,052 | 0 | 0 | 12,222 | 6 | 0 |

Memorandum showing the financial effect of the amalgamation of Madras and Chingleput Districts.

| Establishment for the United Districts. | As per Collector's Statement. | | | As per Board's Statement submitted to Government. | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Rs. | A. | P. | Rs. | A. | P. |
| Collector | 2,333 | 5 | 4 | 2,333 | 5 | 4 |
| Sub-Collector | 1,166 | 10 | 8 | 1,166 | 10 | 8 |
| Head Assistant | ... | ... | ... | 733 | 5 | 4 |
| Deputy Collector, Treasury | 500 | 0 | 0 | 250 | 0 | 0 |
| Do. do. Salt | 350 | 0 | 0 | 350 | 0 | 0 |
| Do. do. Madras | 350 | 0 | 0 | ... | ... | ... |
| | 4,700 | 0 | 0 | 4,833 | 5 | 4 |
| Collector's Establishment | 2,173 | 0 | 0 | 2,118 | 0 | 0 |
| Sub-Collector's do. | 320 | 0 | 0 | 320 | 0 | 0 |
| Head Assistant's do. | ... | ... | ... | 144 | 0 | 0 |
| Madras Deputy Collector's Establishment.* | 77 | 0 | 0 | ... | ... | ... |
| Yeomiah | 17 | 8 | 0 | ... | ... | ... |
| Registrar's Department. | 235 | 12 | 0 | 235 | 12 | 0 |
| Quit-Rent do.* | 795 | 8 | 0 | 785 | 0 | 0 |
| Stamping do. | 103 | 4 | 0 | 103 | 4 | 0 |
| Abkarry do. | 1,149 | 0 | 0 | 913 | 0 | 0 |
| Toddy do. | ... | ... | ... | 236 | 0 | 0 |
| Salt do.* | 583 | 8 | 0 | 468 | 0 | 0 |
| | 5,454 | 8 | 0 | 5,323 | 0 | 0 |
| | 10,154 | 8 | 0 | 10,156 | 5 | 4 |

xd. J. Wright.

No. 2,737.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 8th June 1860.

Read letter from the Collector of Madras, dated 28th May 1860, No. 150.

(In Con., 1st June 1860, No. 2,590.)

Read also letter from the same Officer, dated 2nd June 1860, No. 90.

(In Con., 8th June 1860, No. 2,718.)

In Con., 21st April 1860, No. 1,795.

In his letter dated 20th April 1860, the Collector of Madras while acquiescing in the arrangements proposed by the Accountant General for the abolition of the *Madras* Treasury, expressed an opinion that the Guindy or *Chingleput* Huzur Treasury also might be done away with. The Board considered that this plan would be inconvenient, but desired Mr. Cunliffe to report more fully on the subject, and to state the nature of the payments made from the Guindy Treasury.

Dated 28th May, in Con., 1st June 1860, No. 2,590.

The Collector replies to these Proceedings in the first of the letters above recorded, submitting copy of one from the Deputy Collector in charge of the Treasury.

2. The Board have perused these papers and carefully considered the subject, and they cannot but come to the conclusion that the measure proposed by the Collector will be attended with many inconveniences if carried out. A Collector's Kacheri necessarily requires a Treasury attached to it. According to Mr. Cunliffe's plan a large sum of money is to be drawn at once from the General Treasury for Establishment and Contingent charges every month, but nothing is said as to the person to whom this money is to be entrusted, and by whom it is to be distributed from time to time. This plan, if carried out, would certainly render it necessary to employ some Shroffs in the Huzur with Gollahs. Mr. Jordon's proposition is that all payments should be made in the Taluq Treasury, and when the funds prove deficient, more should be drawn from the General Treasury. This plan would involve an additional expenditure of 33 Rupees according to Mr. Jordon's suggestions, although Mr. Cunliffe considers that a Gomastah on 15 Rupees is quite sufficient.

3. The Board think the plan proposed by Mr. Cunliffe open to grave objections, and under either of the schemes they consider that it would be necessary to have some hands in the Huzur for keeping and distributing the money. The Deputy Collector cannot certainly be entrusted with such work. Mr. Jordon asserts that there will be no confusion in the accounts, when the payments are all made by Cheques according to his proposition. The Board cannot agree in this view. If a cheque drawn upon the Taluq Treasury in one month is presented and disbursed in the following one, the Huzur and Taluq accounts of the month will not correspond with each other, because an item of charge entered in one account will not appear in the other. In course of time the accounts will fall into confusion. It is to avoid such a state of things in the accounts that cheques drawn by a District Engineer on a Taluq Treasury in one month are not now cashed if presented after that month; and in such cases they are cancelled and fresh cheques drawn. Such a system would not, however, answer for a Collector's Kacheri where different items of charges occur. Mr. Cunliffe himself admitted in paragraph 6 of his letter of 20th April 1860, that his accounts of payments and those of the Sub-Treasurer will often differ.

In Con., 21st April 1860, No. 1,795.

4. If the course proposed by the Deputy Collector is, however, carried out, the addition recommended by him to the Taluq Establishment will by no means be sufficient, as the work in the Taluq Treasury will be considerably increased. The duties hitherto performed by a Cashkeeper, a few Gumastahs and Shroffs will fall on the Taluq Treasury; and the new scale of Establishment which is framed for the enlarged Taluq, and suited to its wants, cannot certainly be equal to these heavy additional duties without further aid.

5. Mr. Cunliffe calculates the saving by the abolition of the Huzur Treasury to be 225 Rupees monthly; but this does not appear to be correct. Out of the sum of Rupees 240 allowed for the Treasury according to the new scale, the Collector deducts only 15 Rupees on account of the pay of a Gumastah whom he would attach to the Taluq Treasury, but he takes no account of the sum of Rupees 40 which he proposes to assign as the pay of the Stamp Darogah. According to Mr. Pelly's arrangements the Stamp Department is to be amalgamated with the Treasury; and the commission hitherto paid to the Stamp Darogah ceases to be a charge; and if that Department is to be retained under the Collector's plan, the sum of 40 Rupees becomes a new charge; and the saving will, therefore, be 185 Rupees according to the Collector's own proposition, and not 225 Rupees.

6. The Board are not satisfied that the Establishment proposed by the Collector is sufficient for the duties to be performed. They consider that the following is the least that would be necessary if the arrangement suggested by the Deputy Collector and concurred in by the Collector was carried into effect.

To be employed in the Taluq Treasury.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 2 Gumastahs at 15 Rupees | 30 | |
| 1 Shroff | 12 | |
| 1 Gollah | 7 | |
| | | — 49 |

To be employed in the Huzur.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1 Gumastah to write the daily and Cash books | 20 | |
| 1 Shroff to have charge of the money for distributing the pay of Public Servants and paying Contingent charges | 15 | |
| 1 Gollah | 7 | |
| 1 Stamp Darogah to have charge of the Stamps and Postage labels | 40 | |
| 1 Gumastah to assist him in the preparation of accounts, &c | 15 | |
| | | — 97 |
| | | 146 |

This sum being deducted from Rupees 240, the present Treasury Establishments, the saving will only be Rupees 94.

7. Under any circumstances the arrangement will be defective. It would interfere with the uniformity of system in the Presidency without material advantage. Parties hitherto receiving payment in the Huzur Treasury would be put to inconvenience, the accounts would fall into confusion, unnecessary labor would be thrown on the Taluq Establishments, and an objectionable system of drawing indefinite sums of money as advances from the Sub Treasurer would be introduced.

8. The object of Mr. Pelly in assigning 100 Rupees pay for the Cash-keepers of all the Districts, and making them custodians of Stamps and Postage labels in addition to cash is that all this valuable property should remain in charge of a respectable man receiving a liberal allowance. The internal management of the details, and the keeping up of the accounts connected with these papers are of a difficult nature and require the attention of a well-paid servant. A Stamp Darogah of 40 Rupees cannot be expected to perform these duties efficiently, nor can he be put in charge of a large quantity of these papers. There are also various deposits made by the renters of Abkari and other sources of Revenue. There are surplus Devastanum funds invested in Company's papers, the interest on which is to be periodically drawn. The Board do not understand in whose custody the Collector proposes to retain all this valuable property. It may perhaps be said that the Deputy Collector in charge of the Treasury might take charge of them and attend to all the details connected with them, but then the business hitherto done by the Cash-keeper will have to be performed by that Officer to the neglect of his other more important duties.

In Con., 19th May 1860, No. 2,325.

9. In his letter dated 18th May 1860, the Collector of Madras reported that he had dispensed with the services of certain servants in consequence of the amalgamation of the Districts of Chingleput and Madras, but that he had allowed the Treasury Department to continue, pending a reply to his

In Con., 21st April 1860, No. 1,795.

letter of the 20th April 1860, about the abolition of the Guindy Treasury. The Board in their Proceedings of 1st June 1860, called for information on certain points, and directed the immediate abolition of the Madras Treasury as the question of the Guindy Treasury had nothing to do with it. To

Dated 2nd in Con., 8th June 1860, No. 2,718.

these latter Proceedings the Collector replies in the second of the letters recorded at the head of these Proceedings.

10. In reference to the Board's inquiry about Peons, the Collector states that four of them had been discontinued under Orders of Government before the amalgamation, while 12 of them were dispensed with since then. The remaining two have been retained to attend upon the Deputy Collector, in addition to the two specially sanctioned for him. This point will be disposed of by Mr. Pelly, as the Establishments required for the Deputy Collectors generally are now under his consideration.

11. As regards the Yeomiah writer still continued in Madras, the Collector states that he must be retained till it is decided by whom these pensioners are to be paid in future. The Board are of opinion that as the Deputy Collector will be furnished with the authorised Establishment of this class when the Treasury is abolished, one of the Clerks attached to him might as a temperary measure attend to the duties of the Yeomiah Department. The present Yeomiah writer should at once be dispensed with.

12. The Collector promises to break up the Madras Treasury as soon as he has printed cheques for receipt and payment by the Sub-Treasurer. The forms of the cheques which he forwarded to the Board are missing, and the Collector is, therefore, requested to obtain copies from the Sub-Treasurer, and to have the requisite number printed at the Gazette Press, and to abolish the Madras Treasury without delay.

13. With reference to the concluding part of Mr. Cunliffe's letter, the Board direct that the receipt and sale of Postage labels be for the present entrusted to the Stamp vender. He will have the Gumastah on 10½ Rupees pay hitherto attached to the Cash-keeper to assist him in that part of the duty connected with the Postage labels. Permanent arrangements will be made by Mr. Pelly when he revises the whole Madras Establishment.

14. Mr. Cunliffe will be pleased to submit as soon as possible the Statement of the financial results of the amalgamation of the two Districts called for in paragraph 1 of the Board's Proceedings dated 1st June 1860. As the Government have recently expressed a wish to have such a Statement, the Board hope that no delay will take place in submitting it.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
*Secretary.*

To B. Cunliffe, Esq., Collector of Madras.

Exd. J Wright.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue to the Secretary to Govt. dated Fort St. George the 3rd August 1860 No. 2575.

Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue & the Collector of Madras dated 8th June 1860 No. 2937 Regarding Amalgamation of the Madras & Chingleput Districts.

Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue & the Collector of Madras dated 25th June 1860 No. 3172

Ex. J. Tulloch

---

In Con., 19th May 1860, No. 2,325.

From B. CUNLIFFE, Esq., Collector of MADRAS,
dated Madras, 18th May 1860, No. 88.

I have the honor to report that I have this day dispensed with the services of the public servants noted marginally, in consequence of the amalgamation of the districts of Chingleput and Madras into one Collectorate.

1. C. Mudukistnama Naidoo, Manager.
2. Ratna Pillay, Gomasta,
3. Sambasiva Mudali, Javabneviss and Translator.
4. Sami Mudali, Deputyy Javabneviss.
5. Strinivasa Varadachari, Assistant Javabneviss.
6. Yakambara Pillai, Vernacular Accountant.
7. Streenevassa Iyengar, do.
8. Bema Rao, do.
9. Mr. D'Vaz, Head C[illegible]
10. Bangaraiyah Naidoo, Deputy Clerk.
11. Annasami Pillai, Assistant Accountant.
12. Virasami Mudali, do.
13. Ponnasami Pillai, Record Keeper.
14. Mr. G. D'Vaz, Writer.
15. Sabapathi Mudali, do.
16. Vardapa Mudali, Moochee.
17. Narayanasami do.
18. Sivananda Pillai, Native Record Keeper.
19. Greniaji Rao, Jemadar of Peons.
20. Twelve Deloyets.

2. The Treasury Department is still continued pending reply to my letter of the 20th ultimo, No. 74, as it will be extremely inconvenient to forward my daily collections to the Treasury at Guindy..

3. I have retained two Peons to be in attendance on the Deputy Collector for general duties, until I receive Mr. Pelly's instructions on this head.

Exd. J. Tulloch.

No. 3,402.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 24th July 1860.

Read letter from the Collector of Madras dated 20th July 1860, No. 270.

(In Cons., 23rd July 1860, No. 3,576.)

The Collector replies to the Board's Proceedings of the 17th July 1860, regarding the Statement of financial effect of the amalgamation of Madras and Chingleput Districts, but has not forwarded the revised Statement called for.

2. Mr. Cunliffe considers the retention of the Duffadar in the Quit-rent Department necessary, and the Board authorise this pending the sanction of Government. His pay of Rupees 10-8 should certainly be included in the sum of Rupees 139-2, as it properly belongs to that item; and the sum of Rupees 795-8, for the Quit-rent Department should be altered to Rupees 785. This should be done under the head of the *old* Establishment of *Madras*, in order that the sums may correspond with [illegible], but the sum of Rupees 795-8, inclusive of the pay of the Duffadar, may be entered for the Quit-rent Department under the head of the Establishment for the *united* Districts.

3. The Collector is requested to submit at once a revised Statement of the financial results of the amalgamation of the two Districts, with reference to the alterations pointed out, as it is required urgently for Government, with reference to their call.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
*Secretary.*

To B. Cunliffe, Esq., Collector of Madras.

Exd. J. Wright.

Madras District,
Collector's Office.
Dated 26th July 1860.

No 279

From

P. Cunliffe Esq
Collector of Madras,

To

J. D. Sim Esq
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort Saint George

Sir,

1. I have the honor to forward the Revised Statement called for in Proceedings of the 24th Instant.

2. I suppose that the Board intend me to add the 10-8-0 Rs. A. P. on Duffadar's pay to the 139-2-0 Rs. A. P. and not "include" it, and it was the latter expression which puzzled me.

I have the honor to be &c &c

(Signed). P. Cunliffe
Collector.

(True Copy)

P. Cunliffe
Collector.

C. R. Davis
Head Clerk

Recd 28th July 1860

Copy on the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue to the Secretary to Govt. P.C. dated 28th August 1860 No 3575

Recd 28th July 1860

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue & the Collectors of Madras and Salt dated 24th July 1860 No 3502

Regarding the Revised Statement of the Establishments and Charges of the Collectorate of Chingleput.

## Statement shewing the financial effect of the Amalgamation of Madras and Chingleput Districts

| Ranks. | Salary per Mensem. | | Total. | | Grand Total. | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Rupees | As | Rupees | As | Rupees | As |
| **Establishment at Madras.** | | | | | | |
| Collector | 2333 | 5 4 | | | | |
| Deputy Collector Treasury | 750 | " " | | | | |
| Ditto Salt | 350 | " " | | | | |
| | | | 2933 | 5 4 | | |
| Manager | 175 | " " | | | | |
| Do. Goomastah | 17 | 8 " | | | | |
| Native Accountants Department | 59 | 12 " | | | | |
| Munshee Do. | 109 | " " | | | | |
| Record Do. | 35 | " " | | | | |
| English Do. | 338 | 4 " | | | | |
| Cash Department including its guard | 187 | 8 " | | | | |
| Peons | 149 | 10 " | | | | |
| Registers Department | 235 | 12 " | | | | |
| Quit Rent Do. | 785 | " " | | | | |
| Yeomiah Do. | 17 | 8 " | | | | |
| Stamping Do. | 103 | 4 " | | | | |
| Abkarri Huzur and District | 1149 | " " | | | | |
| | | | 3362 | 2 " | 6295 | 7 4 |
| **Establishment at Chingleput.** | | | | | | |
| Collector | 2333 | 5 4 | | | | |
| Head Assistant | 733 | 5 4 | | | | |
| Deputy Collector Treasury | 500 | " " | | | | |
| Do. Talug | 250 | " " | | | | |
| | | | 3816 | 10 8 | | |
| Sheristah Department | 605 | 8 " | | | | |
| English Do. | 454 | " " | | | | |
| Munsulee Do. | 265 | 8 " | | | | |
| Record &c. Do. | 688 | 12 " | | | | |
| Cash Do. | 222 | 8 " | | | | |
| Deputy Collectors Establishment | 76 | 12 " | | | | |
| Printing Press | 77 | " " | | | | |
| Salt Department | 765 | 8 " | 2505 | 8 " | 6322 | 2 8 |
| Total Establishment of Madras & Chingleput | | | | | 12617 | 10 " |

| Ranks. | Salary per Mensem. | | | Total. | | | Grand Total. | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Rupees | A | P | Rupees | A | P | Rupees | A | P |
| Brought over | | | | | | | 12,617 | 10 | " |
| Establishment for the United Districts. | | | | | | | | | |
| Collector | 2333 | 5 | 4 | | | | | | |
| Sub Collector | 1166 | 10 | 8 | | | | | | |
| Deputy Collector. Treasury | 500 | " | " | | | | | | |
| Do. Salt | 350 | " | " | | | | | | |
| Do. Madras | 350 | " | " | | | | | | |
| | | | | 4700 | " | " | | | |
| Collectors Establishment | 2173 | " | " | | | | | | |
| Sub Collectors Do. | 320 | " | " | | | | | | |
| Madras Deputy Collectors Do. | 64 | 12 | " | | | | | | |
| Yeomiah Department | 17 | 8 | " | | | | | | |
| Registers Do. | 235 | 12 | " | | | | | | |
| Quit Rent Do. | 795 | 8 | " | | | | | | |
| Stamping Do. | 103 | 4 | " | | | | | | |
| Abkarry Do. | 1149 | " | " | | | | | | |
| 2 Esctra Peons for the Madras Dy Collector | 14 | " | " | | | | | | |
| 1 Goomastah attached to the Stamp Vendor at Madras | 10 | 8 | " | | | | | | |
| | | | | 4883 | 4 | " | | | |
| | | | | | | | 9583 | 4 | " |
| Net Saving | | | | | | | 3034 | 6 | " |

Secretary.

Se Laurie

IN CON., 8TH JUNE 1860, No. 2,718.

From B. CUNLIFFE, Esq., Collector of MADRAS,
dated Madras, 2nd June 1860, No. 90.

I received this morning the Board's Proceedings of yesterday, No. 2,622.

2. The statement called for in the 1st paragraph shall be forwarded as soon as practicable, meanwhile I would wish to reply to the other observations of the Board.

3. Four Huzoor Delayets were discontinued under the correspondence noted marginally, and two have been retained in attendance upon the Deputy Collector as mentioned in paragraph 3 of my letter of the 18th ultimo, No. 88.

Government Orders, 12th January 1860, No. 45.
" " 6th February " No. 138.
To Government, 13th February 1860, No. 5.
Government Orders, 23rd do. No. 217.

4. Having heard nothing further upon the proposition of the Accountant General to remove from this office the payment of the pensions hitherto disbursed by it, the yeomiah writer has been continued, and I submit must be retained, as there is much business in the Department, until definite orders are received as to the quarter from whence these pensions are to be paid in future. It would be extremely inconvenient for the pensioners to receive payment from the head office, and even in that case, the writer must be transferred with the business which fully occupies the time of one person.

5. I certainly acquiesced in the propositions of the Accountant General and Sub-Treasurer, as to the measures in substitution of the Madras Huzoor Treasury, but I expected the Board's instructions as to its abolition. I beg now to state that I will break it up as soon as I have printed Cheques for receipt and payment by the Sub-Treasurer, the forms of which I requested might be returned to me by the Board.

6. The two peons I have retained for the Deputy Collector are in addition to those specially sanctioned for him, and I explained that such servants were necessary in consequence of the heavy nature of the general duties performed by the Deputy Collector and to carry his orders.

7. The Board have not provided for the receipt and sale of postage labels referred to in the 8th and following paragraphs of my letter.*

* 30th April 1860, No. 74.

---

Hd. J. Tulloch.

Letter to Govt
Dated 25th Oct. 1860
No. 722.

Encl. No 3 on the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue to the Secretary to Government Dated 3rd August 1860 No. 3575.

Rec'd 3rd Nov. 1860
No. 1592

Rev. Dept.

3

No. 1466.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.
Under date the 29th August 1860.
Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

Submitting with the Board's remarks a Statement prepared in their office shewing the financial result of the amalgamation of the Madras and Chingleput Districts.

(Here enter 3rd August 1860 No. 3575)

1. The Govt. sanction conditionally, subject to Mr Pelly's report, the continuance of the Establishments specified in the margin of para 9 of the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue above recorded. But if Mr Pelly recommends the retention of the four extra servants referred to, the Govt will expect full explanation of his

Pr. A

Ele

* Two additional Peons on 11 Rs a month for the Madras Deputy Collector
1 Gumáshta on 10½ Rs a month to assist the Stamp Vendor in the sale of Postage labels.
1 Jaffadár on 10½ Rs a month in the Quit Rent Dept

Letter from the Collr of Madras to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated 18th Sept. 1860 No. 1592 forwarding the Amalgamation of the Madras and Chingleput Districts.—

his reasons for considering their services to be necessary.

2. The Board will be requested to forward, for transmission to the Govt of India, a statement in the prescribed form, shewing in detail the former establishments for Madras and Chingleput, and that now existing for the united Districts.

3 The Government agree with the

I think we make a mistake as to reasons he is able to do in respect to his Duties as Treasurer - he is the responsible person

I do

- I think the Board are right & that there must be for the 2 united Districts a Hoozoor Cashkeeper to look after the miscellaneous cash transactions, Stamps &c - as the Taluk transactions can go direct to the General Treasury a small treasury establishment will suffice. I do

12 Copies
Board of Revenue with 2 Encls
3. Accountant General with 2 Encls
3. Civil Auditor
4. C. Petty Cagle

with the Board of Revenue that a District Treasury ought to be maintained for the Madras Collectorate but they are of opinion that its transactions ought to be confined to such as are necessary, and that the Establishment need not be on the same scale as those of the Districts generally - It is believed that the remittances from the Northern Talugs now go direct to the general Treasury - This practice might be extended to ~~other~~ some other Talugs, so that the remittances to the District Treasury

(3.)

Establishment for the new Madras district.—

Treasury shall be only to such an amount as shall suffice for the District disbursements. The Board of Revenue will make such an arrangement, in communication with the Collector and report it for approval, with a statement of the Treasury Establishment which they may consider sufficient.—

Read 3rd time & declared — Bill passed — w.o Prod.

Revenue 1860 Departt.
Pro: of Govt for August.

No 1466.

Pro: of the Madras Govt.
Dd 29th August 1860.

Copy in a Letter to Engr
Dd 27th Octr 1860 No 122.

17 D 70.

132-133

30-4-60

89

31-3-60

187

5-6-60

Sanctioning the Conv-
tinuance of certain establish-
ments in the Madras Col-
lectorate and agreeing with
the Board that a District
Treasury ought to be
maintained for that Collectorate.

No 367 & 368.