M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 1237 — Press / Mis.

303-304

Dated 25-7- 1860.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 303. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 10th July 1860, No. 3,180:—

Read the following Endorsement of the Collector of Nellore, dated 26th June 1860, on Petition No. 1,108, of 1860.

1. With reference to the Board's Endorsement on Petition No. 1,108 of 1860, from Sha Abdul Hussain Hussaini of Nellore, the Collector has the honor to report, that it appears from the Tahsíldár's report on the subject, that the Kandriga of Mír Baker Hussain Sahib, grandfather of the Petitioner, consists of eleven shares, of which three belong to the Petitioner's father and his two brothers Hussain and Muradi Sahibs.

2. The Petitioner deposes that he has a claim to the whole share of Hussain Sahib who died without issue leaving his share to the Petitioner's father, and to a half in each of his father and other uncle Muradi Sahib, who died mad leaving his share in the actual possession of Petitioner's father.

3. The Petitioner's brother Syed Sha Muhammad Sahib, contends that he has a right to the entire shares of his two uncles Hussain and Muradi Sahibs, the former by virtue of a Hibbanama or will executed to him by Hussain Sahib, and the latter in virtue of Muradi Sahib's daughter being married to him, as well as to a half share of his father's.

4. The Town Kazi's Arzi, dated 2nd May 1859, on the subject, declares that Muradi Sahib's share should go entirely to the Petitioner's brother, and the other two shares should be equally divided between them both.

5. As this is not a Mániyam given for the maintenance of any particular service, the Collector has the honor to recommend that the Petitioner be allowed to sue his opponent in the Civil Court, and settle the disputed right, as requested in this Petition.

Resolved that the above Endorsement be submitted to Government, with the Board's recommendation that under the circumstances stated by the Collector, Petitioner be permitted by Government to prosecute by Civil Suit his claim against his brother for a share in certain Inám lands in the latter's possession, without prejudice to any reversionary rights of Government.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON, *Sub-Secretary.*

No. 304. ORDER THEREON, 25th July 1860, No. 1,237.

The Board will be pleased to report the name of the village in which the Mániyam in question is situated, the extent of the Inám and whether charged with the payment of any quit-rent to Government.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,
Secretary to Government.

No. 3,180.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 10th July 1860.

Read the following Endorsement of the Collector of Nellore, dated 26th June 1860, on Petition No. 1,108 of 1860:—

With reference to the Board's Endorsement on Petition No. 1,108 of 1860, from Sha Abdul Hussain Hussaini of Nellore, the Collector has the honor to report, that it appears from the Tahsildar's report on the subject, that the Kandriga of Meer Baker Hussain Sahib, grandfather of the Petitioner, consists of eleven shares, of which three belong to the Petitioner's father and his two brothers Hussain and Muradi Sahibs.

2. The Petitioner deposes that he has a claim to the whole share of Hussain Sahib who died without issue leaving his share to the Petitioner's father, and to a half in each of his father and other uncle Muradi Sahib, who died mad leaving his share in the actual possession of Petitioner's father.

3. The Petitioner's brother Syed Sha Mahommed Sahib, contends that he has a right to the entire shares of his two uncles Hussain and Muradi Sahibs, the former by virtue of a Hibbanama or will executed to him by Hussain Sahib, and the latter in virtue of Muradi Sahib's daughter being married to him, as well as to a half share of his father's.

4. The Town Kazi's Arzi, dated 2nd May 1859, on the subject, declares that Muradi Sahib's share should go entirely to the Petitioner's brother, and the other two shares should be equally divided between them both.

5. As this is not a Maniyam given for the maintenance of any particular service, the Collector has the honor to recommend that the Petitioner be allowed to sue his opponent in the Civil Court, and settle the disputed right, as requested in this Petition.

Resolved that the above Endorsement be submitted to Government, with the Board's recommendation that under the circumstances stated by the Collector, Petitioner be permitted by Government to prosecute by Civil Suit his claim against his brother for a share in certain Inam lands in the latter's possession, without prejudice to any reversionary rights of Government.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Sub-Secretary.

To J. D. Bourdillon, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to J. W, B. Dykes, Esq., Collector of Nellore.

Exd. J. Wright.

Revenue 1860 Departt
Proc.s of Govt. for July.
No. 1485

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Dated 10th
Desp.s 14 } July 1860.
Rec.d 16

Encs. 1-0 No. 3180.

From Dr. Pyle 6th Augt 60
C. No. 1617

Submitting an Endorsement from the Collector of Nellore on Petition No. 1108 of 1860 and recommending that Petitioner be permitted by Government to prosecute by Civil Suit his claim against his brother for a share in certain Inam lands.

No 303 & 304.

Nellore Cutcherry
No. 1237. 25th July

No. 1237

The Board will be pleased to report the Revenue of the Village in which the Manigam in question is situated, the extent of the Inam and whether charged with the payment of any Quit rent to Government.

Fort St. George
25th July 1860.

I have the honor to be
Gentlemen
Your most obedient
Humble Servant
L

12 Copies
To
The Board of Revenue. with its Encls. Dispd. 25
with an Encl