M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 1115	Press ~~Mis.~~
63-64	
Dated 6-7- 1860.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 6th July 1860.

No. 63. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 25th June 1860, No. 2,950:—

Read Collcetor's Endorsement, dated 30th May 1860, on Board Petition No. 1,767 of 1859.

1. The Petitioner appeals against the order issued by the Collector directing about 2½ acres of Inám in the village of Múkulu in the Proprietary Estate of Virawara to be made over to his opponents, Mungipúdi Venkaya and Ramaswámi, and prays for the restoration of the same.

2. The circumstances of the case are as follow:—

3. The Inám in question was granted to the ancestors of the Petitioner by the Zamíndár of Peddápúr more than a century ago. Though the Inám is not entered in the permanent Bhubaud account of Fasli 1208, yet it was inserted in the accounts previous to that Fasli and in those of subsequent Faslis. The Inám has been in the enjoyment of the family.

4. The Petitioner complained in 1855, that the Inám in question was given to his uncle's widowed daughter-in-law for her maintenance on condition of enjoying the same during her life; that it was held by her till her death in 1846, when the Inám was, the Petitioner says, made over by him to her brother Mungipúdi Parubothi on condition of retaining it for a period of eight years, from Parábava (A. D. 1846-7) to Pramádícha (A. D. 1853-4) for the liquidation of the debt due to him by the deceased, and on the expiration of the term, the sons of the above Parubothi refused to restore the Inám to him.

5. The Petitioner's opponents say that the father of the Petitioner and his brothers divided their Ináms and enjoyed them separately; that out of the Inám lands of Venkappa, the Petitioner's uncle, one Visam in Múkulu, now under dispute, was made over to his daughter-in-law Lutchmídévi the sister of their father; that during her life the Inám was transferred in gift to their father as per Pattá executed by her in the year Subacrutu (A. D. 1842-3,) and the Inám was enjoyed by their father and on his death in 1854, they succeeded to it.

2½ acres.

6. The Petitioner says that his uncle Venkappa made over during his lifetime all his property to him in consequence of his two sons and wife having died; that on the death of his uncle he paid for some years a sum in ready money as maintenance for Lutchmídévi, and afterwards made over the Inám in Múkulu to her on condition of her enjoying it during her life and on her death restoring the same to him as above stated.

7. On inquiring into the circumstances of the case, the Collector issued orders directing the Inám to be continued in possession of the Petitioner's opponents, it appearing that the same was in the possession of M. Parubothi, the brother of the said Lutchmidévi, as entered in the accounts, and on his death in that of his sons up to the period of the Petitioner's laying his claim to the Inám.

8. Under the circumstances of the case, the Collector is not aware of any objection to the Petitioner being permitted to bring his claim to the Inám before the Civil tribunals, the Inám in whomsoever possession it may be will of course be subject to the operation of the Inám Commission.

Resolved, that the above Endorsement be submitted for the consideration of Government, with the Board's recommendation that under the circumstances stated, Petitioner may be furnished with an Endorsement, permitting him to prosecute his claim by Civil Suit in the Courts.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON, *Sub-Secretary.*

No. 64. ORDER THEREON, 6th July 1860, No. 1,115.

Under the provisions of Section 2. Regulation IV. of 1831, the Governor in Council grants permission to Jasyula Ráma Jóganna to sue in the Courts for about 2½ acres of Inám situated in the village of Múkulu in the Proprietary Estate of Virawara in the Godavery District.

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

No. 2,950.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 25th June 1860.

Read Collector's Endorsement, dated 30th May 1860, on Board Petition No. 1,767 of ~~1860~~ 59.

The Petitioner appeals against the order issued by the Collector directing about 2½ acres of Inam in the village of Mukulu in the Proprietary Estate of Virawara to be made over to his opponents, Mungipudi Venkia and Ramaswami, and prays for the restoration of the same.

2. The circumstances of the case are as follow:—

3. The Inam in question was granted to the ancestors of the Petitioner by the former Zemindar of Peddapur more than a century ago. Though the Inam is not entered in the permanent Bhubaud account of Fasli 1208, yet it was inserted in the accounts previous to that Fasli and in those of subsequent Faslis. The Inam has been in the enjoyment of the family.

4. The Petitioner complained in 1855, that the Inam in question was given to his uncle's widowed daughter-in-law for her maintenance on condition of enjoying the same during her life; that it was held by her till her death in 1846, when the Inam was, the Petitioner says, made over by him to her brother Mungipudi Parubothi on condition of retaining it for a period of eight years, from Parabava (A. D. 1846-47) to Pramadicha (A. D. 1853-54) for the liquidation of the debt due to him by the deceased, and on the expiration of the term, the sons of the above Parubothi refused to restore the Inam to him.

5. The Petitioner's opponents say that the father of the Petitioner and his brothers divided their Inams and enjoyed them separately; that out of the Inam lands of Venkapa, the Petitioner's uncle, one Visam in Mukalu, now under dispute, was made over to his daughter-in-law Lutchmedevi the sister of their father; that during her life the Inam was transferred in gift to their father as per Putta executed by her in the year Subacrutu (A. D. 1842-43), and the Inam was enjoyed by their father and on his death in 1854, they succeeded to it.

2½ acres.

6. The Petitioner says that his uncle Venkapa made over during his lifetime all his property to him in consequence of his two sons and wife having died; that on the death of his uncle he paid for some years a sum in ready money as maintenance for Lutchmedavi, and afterwards made over the Inam in Mukolu to her on condition of her enjoying it during her life and on her death restoring the same to him as above stated.

7. On inquiring into the circumstances of the case, the Collector issued orders directing the Inam to be continued in possession of the Petitioner's opponents, it appearing that the same was in the possession of M. Parubothi, the brother of the said Lutchmedavi as entered in the accounts, and on his death in that of his sons up to the period of the Petitioner's laying his claim to the Inam.

6. Under the circumstances of the case, the Collector is not aware of any objection to the Petitioner being permitted to bring his claim to the Inam before the Civil tribunals, the Inam in whomsoever possession it may be will of course be subject to the operation of the Inam Commission.

Resolved that the above Endorsement be submitted for the consideration of Government, with the Board's recommendation that under the circumstances stated, Petitioner may be furnished with an Endorsement, permitting him to prosecute his claim by Civil Suit in the Courts.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Sub-Secretary.

To J. D. Bourdillon, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to A. Purvis, Esq., Collector of Godavery District.

Exd. J. Wright.

Revenue 1860. Department
Cro: of Govt. for July
No. 1329.

Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue

Dated 25th
Desp. 29th } June 1860.
Rec. 30th
2-1-0
4 Encls. No. 2950.

Submitting for consideration an Endorsement from the Collector of the Godavery District on Petition No. 1787 of 1859 and recommending that Petitioner may be furnished with an Endorsement permitting him to prosecute his claim by Civil Suit in the Courts.

No. 63 + 64.

Written an order
No. 1115. 6th July

No. 1115

Under the provisions of Sect. II Reg. IV of 1831, the Governor in Council grants permission to Gopyala Rama Jogana to sue in the Courts for about 2½ acres of Inam situated in the villages of Mankeeler in the Pro-prietary estate of Peravaram in the Godavery district.

Fort St. Geo.
6th July 1860.

11 Copies to the Board of Rev: with 4 Encls.
Desp. 7

Encl. 3 Jul 7