M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No.	570	Press Mts.
	17-18	
Dated	2 - 4 -	1869.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 17. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 16th March 1860, No. 1,355 :—

Read the following letter from A. HALL, Esq., Collector of South Arcot, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort Saint George, dated Cuddalore, 16th November 1859, No. 268 :—

In returning the Petitions of Kuppana Chári, Nos. 1,898 and 2,214 of 1859, received with Proceedings of the Board, dated 15th October 1859, No. 4,187, I have the honor to state, that the Inám in question consists of Kánis 7 Annas 7 of land, partly wet and partly dry, and that no Sanad appears to have been ever issued for it. It falls under the class of Inámsreferred to in the Board's Circular dated 27th July 1848. It is termed a Swatantram Inám, from which it may be inferred that it was granted in lieu of certain grain fees. The Inám is entered in the Paimáish Accounts of the District, but no mention of it is to be found in any previous document.

2. In the Paimish Accounts it is entered as Swatantram Inám, in the name of Chika Chári, father to one Kuppá Chári and grand-father to Venkataráma Chri; the name of Chika Chári has been retained up to this time, and no renewal of the grant has taken place.

3. The Petitioners state that the entire land of the Inám was up to Fasli 1266 cultivated by Tenants and the proceeds apportioned among the family in six equal shares, of which the Defendant (Stríniváса Chári) had one. That from Fasli 1267, Stríniváса Chári has unjustly usurped possession of the whole land. The Defendant (Stríniváса Chári) on the other hand declares, that he has had sole possession of the Inám and its proceeds for 50 years; that it came into his enjoyment upon a division being made among the members of the family of the original grantee whose great uncle was Defendant's father. No documentary evidence is forthcoming on either side, to support the claims set up by each respectively or to prove the number or extent of each share; but it appears from the statements of the village Officers that a dispute has been going on for the last four years about the right of enjoyment.

4. There does not appear to me to be any objection to the Petitioners being authorized to file a Civil Suit for the recovery of such shares as they may consider that they have a right to.

Kuppá Chári and Venkataráma Chári.

5. The Inám Commissioner will doubtless include this Inám in the category of those to be enquired into by him.

Resolved that the above letter be submitted to Government, with the Board's recommendation that the Petitioners in Board Petitions Nos. 1,898 and 2,214 of 1859, and 261 of 1860, be permitted by Endorsement to prosecute by Civil Suit their claim to certain Mányam lands now in possession of their relative Stríniváса Chári.

2. The origin of the Inm is obscure, but there is no doubt that it is of long standing being entered as such as far back as it can be traced in the records of the District, and as the rights reserved by Government under the new rules for regulating Ináms will not be affected by the proposed suit, the Board concur in opinion with the Collector that no objection exists to its institution.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM, *Secretary.*

No. 18. ORDER THEREON, 2nd April 1860, No. 510.

Under the provisions of Section 2 Regulation IV of 1831 the Government are pleased to permit the Petitioners Kuppá Chári, Venkataráma Chri, &c. to resort to the Civil Courts for prosecution of their claim to certain Mányam land situated in the village of Semmód, Tiruvádi Táluq, in the District of South Arcot. The rights of Government are not to be affected by this permission.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,

Secretary to Government.

No. 1355.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 16th March 1860.

Read the following letter from A. HALL, Esq., Collector of South Arcot, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort Saint George; dated Cuddalore, 16th November 1859, No. 268.

In returning the Petitions of Koopana Charry, Nos. 1898 and 2214 of 1859, received with Proceedings of the Board, dated 15th October 1859, No. 4187, I have the honor to state, that the Inam in question consists of Kanis 7 Annas 7 of land, partly wet and partly dry, and that no Sanad appears to have been ever issued for it. It falls under the class of Inams referred to in the Board's Circular dated 27th July 1848. It is termed a Swatantram Inam, from which it may be inferred that it was granted in lieu of certain grain fees. The Inam is entered in the Paimaish Accounts of the District, but no mention of it is to be found in any previous document.

2. In the Paimaish Accounts it is entered as Swatantram Inam in the name of Chika Charry, father to one Kupa Charry and grand-father to Venkatrama Charry; the name of Chika Charry has been retained up to this time, and no renewal of the grant has taken place.

3. The Petitioners state that the entire land of the Inam was up to Fasli 1266 cultivated by Tenants and the proceeds apportioned among the family in six equal shares, of which the Defendant (Strinivasa Charry) had one. That from Fasli 1267, Strinivasa Charry has unjustly usurped possession of the whole land. The Defendant (Strinivasa Charry) on the other hand declares, that he has had sole possession of the Inam and its proceeds for 50 years; that it came into his enjoyment upon a division being made among the members of the family of the original grantee whose great uncle was Defendant's father. No documentary evidence is forthcoming on either side, to support the claims set up by each respectively or to prove the number or extent of each share; but it appears from the statements of the village Officers that a dispute has been going on for the last four years about the right of enjoyment.

Kuppacharry and Venkatrama Charry.

4. There does not appear to me to be any objection to the Petitioners being authorized to file a Civil Suit for the recovery of such shares as they may consider that they have a right to.

5. The Inam Commissioner will doubtless include this Inam in the category of those to be enquired into by him.

Resolved that the above letter be submitted to Government, with the Board's recommendation that the Petitioners in Board Petitions Nos. 1898 and 2214 of 1859, and 261 of 1860, be permitted by Endorsement to prosecute by Civil Suit their claim to certain Manium lands now in possession of their relative Strinivasa Charry.

2. The origin of the Inam is obscure, but there is no doubt that it is of long standing being entered as such as far back as it can be traced in the records of the District, and as the rights reserved by Government under the new rules for regulating Inams will not be affected by the proposed suit, the Board concur in opinion with the Collector that no objection exists to its institution.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Secretary.

To

J. D. BOURDILLON, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to the Collector of South Arcot.

Exd. J. Wright.

Revenue 1860 Depart.
Cop: of Govt. 10th April
No 640

Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue
Dated 16th
Desp.d 23d } March 1860.
Rec.d 28th
E. 3 - Petitions
No 1353.

Submitting with the Board's recommendation a letter from the Coll.r of South Arcot, reporting on Board's Petitions Nos. 1898 and 2214 of 1859 and 261 of 1860 seeking permission to prosecute by Civil Suit their to certain maniums lands now in possession of their relative Shinivasa Chary.

With an Order.

No 7 & 18.

No 370.

under the provisions of Sect. II. Reg. IV of 1831 the Government are pleased to permit the Petitioners Kappa Chéri, Venkata ramánma Chéri &c. to resort to the Civil Courts for prosecution of their Claim to certain mániyam land situated in the village of Semmód, Tirúvádi Táluq, in the District of South Arcot - The rights of Government are not to be affected by this permission.

Fort St. Geo.e
2d April 1860

H Cossitt Moodliar Boundary Reg.r with 3 Encls
Disp.d 3 -