

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue

DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1909

Press
Mis.

322-323

Dated

19-10-

1869.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
19th October 1860.*

No. 322. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 2nd October 1860, No. 4,485 :—

Read the following letter from B. CUNLIFFE, Esq., Collector of Madras, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort Saint George, dated Saidapet, 18th September 1860, No. 374 :—

SIR,

1. I have the honor to report on the accompanying
* Received 18th September 1858. Petition* No. 2,444 of 1858, from Mangapathi Naidoo and
Returned 18th September 1860. others of Madipakum and Kottivakum, Shrotriem villages in
the Saidapet Taluq.

2. With the view of establishing their right to the Shrotriem, the Petitioners request to be furnished with copies of correspondence which passed between this Office and the Board, relative to the restoration of the Shrotriems in question to the female members of their late cousin, Rama Chendra Naidoo, to the prejudice of their claims.

3. In the inquiry held on the subject, the Petitioners state that the Poligar Shrotriem in question was inherited by their grandfather, Mangapathi Naidoo, who relinquished it in favor of Rama Chendra Naidoo, the grandson of the uncle of the said Mangapathi Naidoo, on his executing a document to pay a portion of the income of the Shrotriem for the maintenance of the parties concerned. This statement is borne out by the son of the other half shareholder of the Shrotriem, as well as by the Curnum and certain other villagers. The Petitioners have produced extract of a Takid issued by the late Collector, Mr. Cook, in October 1819, from which it would appear that he directed Ramasami Naidoo, son of Rama Chendra Naidoo, to pay the usual allowance due to the other members of the family, according to the document executed by his late father.

4. The Petitioners' grandfather's name is not entered in the Registers of this Office. But a reference to Mr. Dighton's report for the year 1785, shows that Mangapathi Naidoo, the grandfather of the Petitioners, and Tirumala Naidoo, the other half shareholder of the Shrotriem, are entered as being the Shrotriemdars of the four villages marginally noted.

Madipakam. Kottivakum. In 1802 the Mahnkaval privileges having been resumed by Govern-
Parumbakum. Medavakum. ment, and certain villages granted in lieu on rent free tenure, two of these villages must have been resumed at that time.

5. It must be observed here that in 1802, a Sannad was granted to Rama Chendra Naidoo, as being the proper person to inherit the Shrotriem; for Mangapathi, as stated above, had relinquished the village to him.

6. The reasons which led to the half share of the Shrotriem in question being conferred on Rama Chendra Naidoo's two surviving daughters, and the son of another deceased daughter, being fully explained in the Minutes Consultation, dated 16th April 1855, No. 429, communicated by the Board in Extract from their Proceedings, dated 26th idem, No. 1,014, I am of opinion that the Petitioners' claim does not seem to be deserving of consideration. Under these circumstances, I do not think it expedient to furnish the Petitioners with copies of the correspondence solicited by them, as the case has been settled.

1. Ordered to be submitted to Government, with reference to their Endorsement on Petition No. 2,444 of 1858, praying for copies of certain official documents and correspondence to enable them to assert a claim to certain Shrotriem lands.

2. It appears from the Collector's letter that sometime between 1785 and 1802, Petitioners' grandfather resigned his share of the Shrotriem to his cousin, the direct ancestor of the present incumbents, on condition of his making certain allowances to the other members of the family, which allowances for anything that appears to the contrary, are still continued.

3. It is this arrangement which Petitioners desire to upset, and to facilitate their doing so, they solicit the copies in question.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
19th October 1860.

4. The Board do not recommend that any such facility be afforded to a litigious suit, but that it be left to the Court to call for such documents as it may require after Petitioners shall have, with the leave of Government, instituted their suit in the Courts.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON, Sub-Secretary.

No. 323.

ORDER THEREON, 19th October 1860, No. 1,909.

1. The Board of Revenue forward a letter from the Collector of Madras, reporting on a Petition from Mangapathi Naidoo and others who pray for copies of certain official documents relative to the restoration of Madipakum and Kottivakum Shrotriems to the female members of their late cousin, with a view to assert their right therein. The Board concur in the opinion of the Collector that it is not expedient to furnish the Petitioners with copies of the paper in question.

2. The Government see no sufficient reason for refusing compliance with the prayer of the Petitioners, and they accordingly desire that the copies applied for may be given.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,

Secretary to Government.

1991. L. 13
13 July 1860

The Members of the Board
of Revenue
Most Respected Sirs
Mangapathi Naidoo &
Others of Madipakum and Kottivakum
Shrotriems Villages in the Sydapett
Talook of the Chingleput District
humbly beg to bring to your notice
that the Shrotriems Villages alluded to
which should have been properly in-
herited by them having been entrusted
to the enjoyment of persons that have
no right to them they like to put
forth their claims to them for which
they request that the Collector
may be ordered to give extracts of
Correspondence

Correspondence that transpired
between his predecessors and the
Government.

We beg to remain
Most respected Sirs
Your most obedient & humble
Servants

Madras
13th July 1858

Copies of correspondence
cannot be furnished without
the sanction of
Government.

Revenue Office
Fort St George
16 July 1858

By order
[Signature]
Sub Secretary

at their claims
to the Shastriam
Village now in the
enjoyment of parties
who have no right
in it.

13
The Members of the
Board of Revenue
16/7/58
(Signed)
Resd 4995

N^o 1991 of 1858
From Mungapathy
Naidos to the Shastriam
Naidos & others of the
Paw-kunt Catteram
Shastriam Villages in
Sydapet Talook in
the Zillah of Chingleput
Dated 13th July 1858
No 2444 of 1858

Praying that the
Collector may be in-
structed to fur-
nish them with
extracts of certain
Correspondence to
enable them to

To The Right Hon^{ble} the Governor in Council
Respected Sir

Mungapathy Naidos and others who
husbands of Madi Pantham, and Catteram of the
Sydapet Talook of the Chingleput District respect-
fully beg to represent to your Lordship in Council
that the above Villages granted on "Poligar" Shastriam
to their great grandfather in lieu of resumed
privileges had been after his demise registered in
the name of Ramachandra Naidos, a Cousin to your
Petitioners. He having been at the time, the eldest
and the most intelligent member of the family,
after his death and that male issue the Shastriams
in question have been awarded by the Collector
to the enjoyment of his daughters to the prejudice
of the surviving male members (Petitioners) of the
family. Thus the Shastriams which were granted
for

to represent their claims
fully to the villages in
question.

(Entered)

Right Honorable the Governor
in Council

Fort St. George

No 2444 of 1858

From Mungaputty

Naidoo and others of
Madapawken and
Shrotrium villages in Sydapet
Taluk Chingleput

With 1 enclosure

Dated August 1858

DEC
Per 3/8 P 2219/60.

Enclose Board's en-
dorsement and pray for
the issue of an order to
the Collector to furnish
them with copies of a
correspondence which has
passed between him and
the Government regarding
their Shrotrium villages
that they may be enabled

No 2444 of 1858

Referred to the Collector
of Chingleput for his opinion

Fort St. George By Order
13 Sept 1858

W. Hornum
Secy to Govt

Petition

No 2444 of 1858

From Mungaputty
Naidoo and others of
Madapawken, Shrotrium
villages in Sydapet
Taluk Chingleput.

Enclose Board's
endorsement and pray for
the issue of an order to the
Collector to furnish them
with copies of a correspon-
dence which has passed
between him and the
Government regarding
their Shrotrium villages
that they may be enabled
to represent their claims
fully to the villages in
question.

Fort Saint George
19th October 1860.

The Petitioners are
informed that orders have
been issued to the Collector
of Madras to furnish
them with the copies of
the documents applied
for by them.

CJW
Dy. Secy. to Govt.

No. 1909

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 19th Oct. 1860

Read the following Proceedings
of the Board of Revenue.

Submitting the report of
the Collr. of Madras on Govt. Petition
No. 2414 of 1858 & agreeing with
the Collr. that the request of the
Petitioner for copies of certain of-
ficial document should not be
complied with as affording
facility to a litigious suit. (Here enter 2nd Oct. 1860 to 1485)

The Board of Revenue
forward a letter from the
Collector of Madras, reporting
on a Petition from Mangapath
Naidoo and others who pray
for copies of certain official
documents relative to the re-
stitution of Madipakum
and Kottivakum Shrotrium
to the female members of their
late cousin, with a view to
assert their right therein. The

Board

Dated 19th October 1860

Board concur in the opinion
of the collector that it is not
expedient to furnish the Peti-
tioners with copies of the paper
in question.

2. The Government see
no sufficient reason for re-
fusing compliance with the
prayer of the Petitioners, and
they accordingly ^{desire} ~~direct~~ that the
copies applied for ^{may} ~~shall~~ be given.

56-55-
19-12-84
38-39
17-4-85-
19-20
28-9-85-

[Signature]

12 Cps to the Ad. Genl.

Copied

1 in Genl. Dep't.
with Genl. Secy. Recd.