

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	1812	Press Mis.
	122-123	
Dated	6-10	1860.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
6th October 1860.*

No. 122. Read the following letter from CLEMENT DALE, Esq., the Receiver of the Carnatic Property, to J. D. BOURDILLON, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Madras, 2nd October 1860, No. 174:—

Letter from the Receiver of the Carnatic Property, to Chief Secretary to Government, 5th April 1860, No. 69, paragraphs 1 to 5.
Procs. of Government, 2nd May 1860, No. 704, Rev. Dept.

Letter from the Receiver of the Carnatic Property, to Chief Secretary to Government, 12th May 1860, No. 101, paras. 1 and 4.
Procs. of Government, 28th May 1860, No. 863, Rev. Dept.

Do. 17th Sept. 1860, No. 1,624, Rev. Dept.
Letter from the Receiver of the Carnatic Property, to Secretary to Government, 18th September 1860, No. 165. Flag L

SIR,

1. With reference to the correspondence and the Orders of Government noted in the margin, I have the honor to solicit that 30 Certificates may be issued to me for the land, &c., comprised in the enclosed Catalogue of sale, with the exception of Lot No. 8, regarding which I will speak hereafter.

2. In asking for 30 Certificates I have provided that the whole of the land described in Lot No. 3 (including the 1st and 2nd patches) should be included in one Certificate; as also that only one Certificate should be granted for "Fatah Ali Khan's Garden" at Cara, comprised in Lots Nos. 14 and 15.

3. The Lots in this Catalogue are arranged in a different order from that observed in the Statement forwarded to Government by the Acting Collector of North Arcot. The quantities in the several Lots also differ; those inserted in the Catalogue are taken from lists forwarded to me by the Dewan of the Carnatic Durbar. In some instances I have had the lands measured, and they have been found to correspond very closely with the quantities shown in the lists furnished by the Dewan. The difference in quantity on the whole of the Lots is about 2 cawnies and a half.

4. The boundaries in the Catalogue agree with those stated by the Acting Collector with two exceptions, viz., the Lots Nos. 2 and 12. The corresponding Nos. in the Collector's Statement are 5 and 28.

5. If however you will compare the description of the boundaries given by the Collector in Nos. 5 and 7, you will see the two agree almost word for word. I am told that the boundaries given in No. 7 are the boundaries of "the Nabob Baug;" consequently the boundaries mentioned opposite No. 5 are erroneous, while the boundaries of those Premises are correctly shown in the Catalogue when describing the Premises comprized in Lot 2. Again, on comparing the boundaries of "the Munaver Baug" in the Collector's Statement No. 15, with the boundaries of "the Amir Baug" No. 28, you will find the boundaries almost the same word for word; I am informed that the boundaries of the Munaver Baug are correctly stated in No. 15; consequently the description of the boundaries of the Amir Baug in No. 28 are erroneous; and the boundaries of those Premises are correctly shown in the Catalogue, when describing those Premises which are comprised in Lot No. 12.

6. If Government see no objection, I should wish that the quantities and boundaries to be inserted in the Certificates, should be taken from the Catalogue. The quantities given by the Collector are taken, I observe, from the Pymash accounts of Fasli 1215 (A.D. 1806.)

STATEMENT.

Collector's Statement.	Numbers in Catalogue.	Quantities in Collector's Statement.			Quantities in Catalogue.			Observations.
		Caws.	As.	Ch.	Caws.	As.	Ch.	
2	Lot	9	5	6	8	5	7	0
3	Lots 14 & 15	11	0	8	10	11	12	
4	Lot	30	20	1	12	26	3	12
5	Lot	2	11	12	12	6	4	
6	Lot 1st and 2nd Patch	3	9	15	8	9	0	12
7	Lot	1	30	11	0	28	1	4
8	Lot	4	6	10	0	6	14	8
9	Lot	5	27	0	0	29	10	12
10	Lot	6	52	13	0	47	13	8
11	Lot	16	29	7	12	16	3	0
12	Lot	17	2	6	12	7	3	0
13	Lot	18	2	1	5	13	6	8
14	Lot	20	2	0	4	2	0	0
15	Lot	7	1	0	7	0	7	4
16	Lot	19	0	1	8	4	5	0
17	Lot	13	4	3	8	2	2	12
18	Lot	24	2	5	7	6	15	0
19	Lot	11	5	7	4	5	13	0
20	Lot	25	34	6	8	8	7	8
21	Lot	26	0	14	8	3	0	0
22	Lot	27	0	11	0	13	0	0
23	Lot	28	0	12	0	4	9	0
24	Lot	29	0	6	8	0	4	12
25	Lot	31	0	8	4	4	3	8
26	Lot	10	0	10	0	0	0	0
27	Lot	21	0	6	8	1	7	12
28	Lot	22	0	1	12	0	10	4
	Lot	23	0	4	0	0	5	0
	Lot	8	46	8	4	147	10	4
	Lot	12	110	14	0	11	14	12
	Lot		11	2	0			

ORDER THEREON, 6th October 1860, No. 1,812.

No. 123.

Resolved that Title Deeds be issued for the lands specified in the Catalogue which accompanied the foregoing letter, with the exception of Nos. 2 and 12 of which the boundaries as given in the Catalogue, do not correspond with those given in the list furnished by the Collector of North Arcot. A copy of the present letter together with its enclosures will be forwarded to the Collector for early report on this point; and on the receipt of exact information as to the correct boundaries, Title Deeds will be issued for those two properties also.

2. With regard to the Garden No. 8, the circumstances represented in paragraph 9 of Mr. Dale's letter, form no reason in the opinion of Government for refusing to give a free-hold title for it, even admitting the claims against the late Nabob's Estate to be made good. The same title will therefore be given for that as for the others.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,
Secretary to Government.

points on the receipt of the exact information as to the

7. With the view of facilitating the issue of the Certificates, I have made out a Table, showing the numbers corresponding between the Collector's Statement, and the Catalogue, and the quantities shown in each. I have also marked in the Catalogue the number in the Collector's Statement which corresponds with each lot; and I have noted in the Catalogue alterations in spelling the names of Villages, which have been suggested on comparing the Catalogue with the Collector's Statement.

8. In addition to the Certificates now applied for I shall have occasion to apply shortly more, I would therefore suggest the propriety of printing a number of blank Certificates in the same form as that issued for the Ninelack.

9. I now revert to Lot No. 8, the Official Assignee of the Court for the Relief of Insolvent Debtors, as the Assignee of the Estate of one Gholam Mahomed Niamud Khan, claims to be entitled to the Premises comprised in that Lot, under an alleged deed of gift from the late Nabob. The subject was before Government in 1855 (vide Minutes of Consultation, 27th November 1855, No. 474, Political Department), I have made various inquiries on the subject, and have also done myself the honor of addressing the Government Agent under date the 17th September last, soliciting some further information, and I am awaiting his reply before I again place myself in communication with the Official Assignee. For some time I have been in communication with the Official Assignee, and if the creditors of his Insolvent persist in claiming the property, it has been arranged that the question of their title shall be adjusted by submitting a special case to the Supreme Court, I entertain no doubt, if the information afforded to me be correct, that neither Gholam Mahomed, or his Assignee, or any other party claiming under him, can establish any title to the property referred to.

10. The question, however, which I would submit for the consideration of the Honorable the Governor in Council, is whether a Certificate for that Lot should be now granted to me, it is certain that a better price will be obtained if the property be sold as freehold, and at the same time as the other Lots. If the Official Assignee and those claiming under Gholam Mahomed abandon the claim put forward, or fail if the matter should be brought before the Supreme Court, the Estate of the Nabob will benefit to that extent; should however the Official Assignee succeed, any advantage from such a mode of sale will fall to the Insolvent's Estate; I however understand that prior to the Treaty of 1781, the Premises in question formed part of the territorial possessions of the Nabobs. That in 1801, on the death of Oomrut-Ool-Omrah, these Premises with all other property belonging to the Nobob were seized by the East India Company; but that in June 1802 (after signing the Treaty of 1801) these Premises with some others were restored to Azmi-Ood-Dowlah by order of Government, (the Minutes of Consultation ordering the restoration I have not seen) and that from 1802 to 1845, these Premises were held by the successive Nabobs without payment of Kist.

11. If Government under such circumstances would give a Certificate to the Official Assignee, should he succeed in establishing his right to hold the property under the alleged deed of gift, I would submit, for the consideration of His Excellency in Council, that a Certificate may be now granted, which would enable me to effect a better sale.

12. Should His Excellency in Council consider that the best course to pursue, 31 Certificates would be required by me.

Revenue Dept.

00-21-4-22

No 1812

Proceedings of the Madras Government
under date 6th October 1860

Read the following letter from
the Receiver of the Carnatic Property

(Here enter 2nd October 1860
No 174)

These little seeds may
be for the late Nabob's
estate -

Resolved that a single Si:
the seeds be issued for each of the
lands specified
gardens mentioned in the Catalogue
logue which accompanied the
foregoing letter, with the excep:
tion of Nos 2 & 12 of which the
boundaries as given by the As:
Catalogue do
tating Collector of N. Arcot do
not correspond with those
list furnished by the
given in the Catalogue. A
Copy of North Arcot C. & H.
copy of the letter of the Receiver
of the Carnatic Property together
with its enclosure will be
forwarded to the Collector
report of the Receiver
for early explanation on this
point, and the receipt of which
the exact information as to the

Are these the N.
in the Catalogue?
Yes

Catalogue

undaries, title
deadly will be issued
the Certificate for those two properties
also

With regard to the garden
no 8, the circumstances repre-
sented in para: 9 of Mr. Dale's
letter, form no reason in the opi-
nion of Government for refusing
to give a free-hold title for it,
even admitting the claims of the
late Nabob's Estate to be made
good. The same title will there-
fore be given for that as for the
others.

[Signature]

To the Collr of R. Mool
with 3 Encls. Copy 6
" Receiver of the Carnatic Property
with 29 Certificates -
" Ad of Rev. with Copy of the letter from
" Poll. Copy. do do

1812
1813
1814
1815
1816
1817
1818
1819
1820
1821
1822

12-1-18 1812
Title Sect
Nabob's Garden
8/5/12

12-1-18 1812

12-1-18 1812

210 of the Blackboard
26th October 1812

From the Revenue of the Criminals
30 October 1812 2449. in 1812
4 Nov 1812 2025
From the Revenue of the Criminals
30 October 1812 2449. in 1812

Recalling that the
Deeds be issued for the late
Nabob's Garden at 1812
recalling the 2 and 12 in
the College submitted by
the Receiver of the Criminals
Property