

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1806

Press
Mis.

110-111

Dated

6-10-1860.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
6th October 1860.*

No. 110. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 26th September 1860, No. 4,381 :—

Read letter from the Superintendent of Stamps, dated 25th July 1860, No. 41, forwarding copy of a letter from the Collector of Salem, explaining the nature of the charges comprising the items therein mentioned.

(In Cons., 26th July 1860, No. 3,642.)

1. It appears from the Memorandum appended to the letter from the Deputy Collector in charge of the Treasury at Salem, that the sum of Rupees 37-14-8 credited in the Stamp accounts as Stamp extra revenue (on which information was called for in paragraph 14 of the Board's Proceedings, dated 11th February 1860), is simply the recovery of Law Charges incurred by the Collector in certain suits.

2. This sum was properly carried to the credit of Law Charges in the Collector's accounts, but the Board do not understand why it was shown as a receipt under Stamp extra revenue in the accounts furnished by the Superintendent of Stamps for Fasli 1268. This amount forms no part of the Stamp receipts, and therefore ought not to have been included under the head of Stamp extra revenue.

3. Resolved that the foregoing Proceedings be submitted to Government, with reference to paragraph 4 of their Proceedings, dated 25th April 1860, No. 632, Revenue Department.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM, *Secretary.*

No. 111.

ORDER THEREON, 6th October 1860, No. 1,806.
Ordered to be recorded.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,
Secretary to Government.

No 41 of July 1270

Fort Saint George
General Stamp Office
25th July 1860.

From, C. F. Harrison Esq^r
Superintendent of Stamps

To, J. D. Sim Esq^r
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

Sir,

With reference to the Proceedings of
the Board of Revenue dated 22nd May last,
No. 2475, I have the honor to forward copy
of a communication received from the
Deputy Collector of Salem, explaining
the nature of the charges comprising the two
Items amounting to Rupees 37 11, I alluded
to in the Proceedings above quoted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant,

C. F. Harrison

cc.

Mr. D. R. S.

Superintendent of Stamps.

8th 48

Salem Deputy Collectors Office
18th July 1860

From,

W. Boalith Esq^r
Deputy Collector in charge of the
Treasury Salem

To,

W. J. Raynor Esq^r
Acting Superintendent of Stamps
Fort St George

Sir,

With reference to your letter
marginally shewn, addressed to the Collector,
8th May 1860. requesting certain information called for
June " by the Board of Revenue in their Proceedings
dated the 22nd May last for the 2 items
of Stamp Charges amounting to Rupees
37-14-8 I have the honor to subjoin a
Memorandum of the particulars.

Salem Collrs Office
18th July 1860

I have &c
Signed W. Boalith
D^y Collr in charge of Treasury

Sub. only Ab. Memo 2
 In appeal Suit No 154 of 1858 of the Civil Court, a Stamp Paper of the value of Rupees 16 was presented, in the first instance, by the Collector (applt), preferring an appeal against the Sub Courts decision in C. S. No 9 of 1855, the above sum of Rupees 16 was debited to "Law Charges" in the Treasury Account for June 1855. Only this suit was withdrawn by the Collector (applt) - Under Act 17 of 1848 the sum of Rupees 16 was therefore adjusted by crediting the same to the head of "Law Charges" and debiting to "Refunds of Stamp Revenue" in the Treasury Account for September 1858.

Applt.
 Collector
 Respondent
 Tru Katararamappa
 Mootadar of
 Todanally.

In Original Suit No 5 of 1853 of the Sub Court, Stamp papers of the value of Rupees 10 were presented, in the first instance, by the Collector (the Dft) and the above amount was debited the "Law Charges" in the Journals of September and October 1854 and October 1855. The Sub Courts decree awarded Rupees 19-10-0 including other charges, in favor of the Collector - The above sum which was

Plaintiff
 Papce Reddy
 Mootadar of
 Yellagherry
 Dft
 Collector &
 28 others.

was recovered by the Sub Court, was credited to "Law Charges" in the Treasury Account for December 1858.

In Original Suit No 22 of 1853 of the Sub Court, Stamp paper of the value of Rupees 2 was presented by the Collector (the Dft) in the first instance, and the amount was debited to "Law Charges" in the Journal of March 1856. The decree of the Sub Court, in favor of the Collector, awarded Rupees 2-4-8, and the said sum of Rupees 2-4-8 was recovered by the Sub Court and credited to "Law Charges" in the Treasury Account of December 1858.

Plaintiff
 Papce Reddy
 Mootadar of
 Yellagherry
 Dft
 Collector and
 30 others

Signed W. Boulth
 Ds Collector in charge
 of the Treasury.

(True Copy)

[Signature]
 Supr. of Stamp

[Signature]

Read the following

No. 4,381.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 26th September 1860.

Read letter from the Superintendent of Stamps, dated 25th July 1860, No. 41, forwarding copy of a letter from the Collector of Salem, explaining the nature of the charges comprising the items therein mentioned.

(In Cons., 26th July 1860, No. 3,642.)

1. It appears from the Memorandum appended to the letter from the Deputy Collector in charge of the Treasury at Salem, that the sum of Rupees 37-14-8 credited in the Stamp accounts as Stamp extra revenue (on which information was called for in paragraph 14 of the Board's Proceedings dated 11th February 1860), is simply the recovery of Law Charges incurred by the Collector in certain suits.

2. This sum was properly carried to the credit of Law Charges in the Collector's accounts, but the Board do not understand why it was shown as a receipt under Stamp extra revenue in the accounts furnished by the Superintendent of Stamps for Fasli 1268. This amount forms no part of the Stamp receipts, and therefore ought not to have been included under the head of Stamp extra revenue.

3. Resolved that the foregoing Proceedings be submitted to Government, with reference to paragraph 4 of their Proceedings, dated 25th April 1860, No. 632, In Cons., 26th April 1860, No. 1,905. Revenue Department.

(A true Extract.)

L. A. Smith

Secretary.

To J. D. Bourdillon, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to E. F. Harrison, Esq., Superintendent of Stamps.
" H. A. Brett, Esq., Collector of Salem.

Exd. J. Wright.

*To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Dated 25th July 1860
Revenue No. 41
Enclosed copy of a
letter from the Collector
of Salem explaining the
nature of the charges comprising
the items therein mentioned.*

*Revenue Department
No. 3642*

Ap. 11. Received for No. 52-53
30. April 1860 No. 55-56

Rec. 1860. Department
of Justice for October.

Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue

Dated 26th September 1860.

Recd. 1st October

Encs 1-32. etc.

No. 4381.

Submitting with the
Board's remarks a letter from
the Superintendent of Customs
forwarding copy of a letter
from the Collector of Customs
explaining the nature of
certain stamp charges -

1860
Recd.

5 October 1860

No. 110 & 111.