M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 825 Press / Mis.

Dated 24th June 18 88.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, from 18th to 24th June 1859.

No. 40. Read the following letter from J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue; to J. D. BOURDILLON, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D. Fort St. George; 3rd June 1859, No. 2034.

In their Proceedings of the 2d February 1859, No. 378, the Board submitted the case of an Inamdar of Guntoor, G. Súbbaya, whose Inam had been resumed for arrears of quit rent due for Fuslies 1244, A. D. 1834, and 1255, A. D. 1835; and recommended the restoration of the Inam to him, on the ground that the default was occasioned by his absence at Nagpore, and by his not being informed of the existence of the demand against him.

2. The Government, however, in passing orders on the subject, called for further information on the following points, viz., when and in what terms notices for payment were issued, where they were posted, and how long Súbbaya had been in possession of the Inam.

3. The Head Assistant Collector in charge of the District now reports that a notice was issued from the Collector's Cutcherry on 17th February 1854, declaring that unless the arrears were paid up within the 31st March of that year, the Inam would be resumed; and that another notice to the same effect was issued in September 1854, extending the term of payment to 30th November of the same year. But that owing to the Inamdar's absence, these notices were not posted up in his village, or left at his usual place of residence but kept in the Tahsildar's Cutcherry. Hence, it appears to the Board, that neither legally nor practically was notice really given to the Inamdar that his tenure was in danger.

4. With regard to the period of enjoyment of the Inam, the Head Assistant Collector reports that it has been in the possession of Súbbaya since Fusly 1244, A. D. 1834, and in that of his forefathers since Fusly 1222, A. D. 1812, so that it has been in the family upwards of 47 years.

5. Under these circumstances, the Board beg to repeat their former recommendation for the restoration of the Inam to G. Súbbaya on payment of all arrears.

No. 41. ORDER THEREON, 22d June 1859, No. 825.

Under the circumstances now stated, the Governor in Council is pleased to direct that the Inam in question shall be restored to G. Súbbiah.

(Signed) W. C. SIM,
Acting Deputy Secretary to Government.

Inams Jn orders

Govt directed that unless the arrears of quitrent outstanding against the Juntoor Inamdars were liquidated by the end of 1854, their Inams should be resumed and incorporated with the Govt Lands, according to the decision G. Subbiah having defaulted his Inam amounting to acres 4 and Goontahs 84 assessed at Rs 6-8-4 and bearing an annual quitrent of Rs 5-4-0 was returned for in 1855 for arrears amounting to Rs. 9-11-10 alleged to be due for Fasles $\frac{1264-65}{1834-35-35-36}$ but of the existence of which the Inamdar declares himself ignorant, in consequence of his absence at Kaptore when the notice requiring payment of arrears under penalty of resumption was issued.

The Bd recommended this case as deserving consideration although the quitrent for Fasles $\frac{1264-65}{1834-35-35-36}$ was left unadjusted, those for subsequent

S. L. C for 4. cl 1877
7th Dec. 1854. RD

Govt Resolution as recommended by the Bd

Letter the arrears written off

Order to notify to Inamdar

Govt Rs. 2nd Feby 1859. cl 378 para 5

Leave 4

years have been collected and carried to accounts in the years in which the payments were made.

Govt. requested information as to the "time", the "terms" and the "place" in which the notice calling upon the Inamdar to pay up, was posted, and-

The Bd. now submit information on these points and it appear that a notice was issued from the Collr. Cutcherry on the 17th July 1854, declaring that unless the arrears were paid up within the 31st March of the same year, the Inam would be resumed; and the notice with the same effect was issued in Sept. 1854, extending the term of payment to 30th Nov. But that during the Inamdars absence, the notices were not posted up in his village or left at his usual place of residence but kept in the Tahsildars Cutcherry - the Inam

of Govt. No 180 18th July 1859

Lett. from Govt. No 2084. 3rd June 1859

para 3.

in question has been in Subbaya's own possession since 1864, and in that of his forefathers since 1812, or in the family for upwards of 47 years. Under these circumstances the Bd. recommend the restoration of the Inam on payment of all arrears

para 4.

Inamdar should pay up - if no title - he would under the new para 6 likely cases title have probably a hard battle for but unfortunately will

No. 2034.

From J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

To J. D. BOURDILLON, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

SIR,

In their Proceedings of the 2d February 1859, No. 378, the Board submitted the case of an Inamdar of Guntoor, G. Subbaya, whose Inam had been resumed for arrears of quit rent due for Fuslies 1244, A. D. 1834, and 1245, A. D. 1835; and recommended the restoration of the Inam to him, on the ground that the default was occasioned by his absence at Nagpore, and by his not being informed of the existence of the demand against him.

2. The Government, however, in passing orders on the subject, called for further information on the following points, viz. when and in what terms notices for payment were issued, where they were posted, and how long Subbaya had been in possession of the Inam.

3. The Head Assistant Collector in charge of the District now reports that a notice was issued from the Collector's Cutcherry on 17th February 1854, declaring that unless the arrears were paid up within the 31st March of that year, the Inam would be resumed; and that another notice to the same effect was issued in September 1854 extending the term of payment to 30th November of the same year. But that owing to the Inamdar's absence, these notices were not posted up in his village, or left at his usual place of residence but kept in the Tahsildar's Cutcherry. Hence, it appears to the Board, that neither legally nor practically was notice really given to the Inamdar that his tenure was in danger.

4. With regard to the period of enjoyment of the Inam, the Head Assistant Collector reports that it has been in the possession of Subbaya since Fusly 1244, A. D. 1834, and in that of his forefathers since Fusly 1222, A. D. 1812, so that it has been in the family upwards of 47 years.

5. Under these circumstances, the Board beg to repeat their former recommendation for the restoration of the Inam to G. Subbaya on payment of all arrears.

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE,
Fort St. George,
3d June 1859.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

J. D. Sim

Secretary.

Copy to Collector of Guntoor.

R. Cons. 15th February 1859 to 16-17

Revenue 1859 Depart.
Proj. Govt 24th June
No. 1030
From The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
To The Secy to Government
R.D.
Dated 3rd
Dest 7th } June 1859
Rec'd 8
No 2034.

Submitting a letter from the Head Assistant Collector in charge of Tuticorin furnishing the information required with respect to the Inam alleged to belong to G. Soobaya and which had been resumed for arrears of Quit Rent and repeating the Boards recommendation in favor of the restoration of the Inam to that individual

Order requested
No. 825. 22nd June 1859.

No 820 & 821.

14 Inam R20

No. 825.

Under the circumstances now stated The Govr: in C. is pleased to ~~authorize~~ ~~and~~ direct that the Inam in question shall be restored to G. Subbiah.

H. Elliot

Fort St. Geo.
22d June 1859.

6 Copies to the Bd of Revd Dispd 23d
1 ——— " Inam Commissioner "