

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	<i>991</i>	Press Mis.
Dated	<i>29th July 18 57</i>	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
from 23d to 29th July 1859.*

No. 16. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 8th July 1859, No. 2674.

Read Extract Minutes Consultation, dated 26th March 1859, R. D., No. 409.

(In Con. 29th March 1859, No. 1350.)

Read again letter from the Collector of Rajahmundry, dated 29th April 1859, No.—

(In Con. 7th May 1859, No. 2056.)

Read also letter from Government Solicitor, dated 15th June 1859, No. 976.

(In Con. 16th June 1859, No. 2790.)

In Extract Minutes Consultation, 26th March last, R. D., No. 409, the Government directed the Board to obtain the opinion of their Solicitor, as to the sufficiency of the security offered by Mr. Montclar, for re-payment of the loan proposed to be made to him.

2. Mr. Boyson in the above letter 15th June 1859, in Con. 16th June 1859, No. 2790. reports, that Mr. Montclar "cannot give Government a valid or effectual charge upon the Valajatoodoo estate, or any part of it, as security for the contemplated advance by Government of Rupees 30,000, without the concurrence of Messrs. Arbuthnot and Co."

3. In the above Extract Minutes Consultation the Board were also instructed to ascertain fully Mr. Montclar's interest in the property tendered by him as security. 26th March 1859, R. D., No. 409, in Con. 29th March 1859, No. 1350.

4. The Collector replied to the Board's reference on this subject, in the letter also recorded above, and stated, that the sugar plantation of 75 acres, which Mr. Montclar had offered to pledge, was his own property, but already under mortgage to Messrs. Arbuthnot and Co. From Rajahmundry, 29th April in Con. 7th May 1859, A. after No. 2056.

5. Mr. Purvis also proposed that in consideration of the inconvenience caused to Mr. Montclar, by the non-payment of the expected loan, an advance of Rupees 8,000 might be sanctioned, to enable him to set up a small sugar mill, on which a lien would be given by him.

6. Mr. Purvis was informed, in reply, that the orders of Government rendered it essential, that the opinion of their legal adviser should be taken on the subject, and he was requested, meanwhile, to ascertain with greater precision the value of Mr. Montclar's estate. To this call no answer has yet been received. Board's Proceedings 13th May 1859, No. 1751.

7. Meanwhile, Mr. Montclar has incurred the expense of insuring his life, while Mr. Boyson's letter precludes the Board from authorizing any payment, on the security now tendered. It is very undesirable that matters should remain in this unsatisfactory state, and it is due to Mr. Montclar that an early settlement of the question should be made so that knowing the precise terms on which the loan will still be made, he may either meet them within a prescribed period or avoid further expense, in expectation of it.

8. The Board resolve, therefore, to submit Mr. Boyson's opinion for the orders of Government. The grounds on which the loan was proposed by the Board in paras. 8 and 9 of their Proceedings, dated 11th September 1858, No. 3436, were in consideration for the losses he had sustained by the breaching of the annicut, and the policy of affording him assistance and encouragement, in prosecuting his experiments in the culture of the sugar-cane.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM, Secretary.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
from 23d to 29th July 1859.*

No. 17. ORDER THEREON, 25th July 1859, No. 991.

It appears clear from the letter from the Government Solicitor sent up by the Board of Revenue with these proceedings, that Mr. Montclar is unable to give valid security for the proposed loan. Under these circumstances no advance of public money can be made to that gentleman, and the Government regard the transaction as ended.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,
Secretary to Government.

No. 2674.

PROCEEDINGS of the BOARD of REVENUE, dated 8th July 1859.

Read Extract Minutes Consultation, dated 26th March 1859, R. D., No. 409.

(In Con. 29th March 1859, No. 1350.)

Read again letter from Collector of Rajahmundry, dated 29th April 1859, No. —.

(In Con. 7th May 1859, No. 2056.)

Read also letter from Government Solicitor, dated 15th June 1859, No. 967.

(In Con. 16th June 1859, No. 2790.)

In Extract Minutes Consultation, 26th March last, R. D., No. 409, the Government directed the Board to obtain the opinion of their Solicitor, as to the sufficiency of the security offered by Mr. Montclar, for repayment of the loan proposed to be made to him.

15th June 1859, in Con. 16th June 1859, No. 2790. 2. Mr. Boyson in the above letter reports, that Mr. Montclar "cannot give Government a valid or effectual charge upon the Valajatooodoo estate, or any part of it, as security for the contemplated advance by Government of Rupees 30,000, without the concurrence of Messrs. Arbuthnot and Co."

26th March 1859, R. D., No. 409, in Con. 29th March 1859, No. 1350.

3. In the above Extract Minutes Consultation the Board were also instructed to ascertain fully Mr. Montclar's interest in the property tendered by him as security.

From Rajahmundry, 29th April in Con. 7th May 1859, A after No. 2056.

4. The Collector replied to the Board's reference on this subject, in the letter also recorded above, and stated, that the sugar plantation of 75 acres, which Mr. Montclar had offered to pledge, was his own property, but already under mortgage to Messrs. Arbuthnot and Co.

5. Mr. Purvis also proposed that in consideration of the inconvenience caused to Mr. Montclar, by the non-payment of the expected loan, an advance of Rupees 8,000 might be sanctioned, to enable him to set up a small Sugar mill, on which a lien would be given by him.

Board's Pro. 13th May 1859, No. 1751. 6. Mr. Purvis was informed, in reply, that the orders of Government rendered it essential, that the opinion of their legal adviser should be taken on the subject, and he was requested, meanwhile, to ascertain with greater precision the value of Mr. Montclar's estate. To this call no answer has yet been received.

7. Meanwhile Mr. Montclar has incurred the expense of insuring his life, while Mr. Boyson's letter precludes the Board from authorizing any payment, on the security now tendered. It is very undesirable that matters should remain in this unsatisfactory state, and it is due to Mr. Montclar that an early settlement of the question should be made so that knowing the precise terms on which the loan will still be made, he may either meet them within a prescribed period or avoid further expense, in expectation of it.

8. The Board resolve, therefore, to submit Mr. Boyson's opinion for the orders of Government. The grounds on which the loan was proposed by the Board in paras. 8 and 9 of their Proceedings, dated 11th September 1858, No. 3436, were inconsideration for the losses he had sustained by the breaching of the annicut, and the policy of affording him assistance and encouragement, in prosecuting his experiments in the culture of the Sugar-cane.

(A true Extract.)

To

J. D. BOURDILLON, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.
Copy to Collector of Rajahmundry.

Secretary.

Recd 15. 7. 59

Revenue 1859 Department

No. 1258

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue:-

Dated 8th Decr 5th } July 1859.
Recd 14

32. (C. M. M. No. 2674.
J. R.

Submitting for orders
letters from the Collectors
of Pajalundry and the
Government Notice
on the subject of the
Security offered by
Mr. Montagu for repay-
ment of the loan proposed
to be made to him:-

1899. 25th July 1859

He is hereby

[Faint handwritten notes and signatures, including "J. R." and "C. M. M."]

[Faint mirrored text from the reverse side of the page]

[Faint mirrored text from the reverse side of the page]

Revenue 1859 Report
Pro: of Govt: 29 July.

191258

Proceedings of the Board
of Revenue.

Exhibit 8th July 1859.
E. 2. 1000 (Letter)

3 Encls.

1: 7634

Submitting for order letters
from the Collector of Mysore.
-mistry and the Government
adviser on the subject of the
security offered by the
Mondair, for repayment of
the loan proposed to be made
to him.

No 991. 25th July 1859
11/10/59

Revenue 1859 Report
Pro: of Govt: 29 July.

Exhibit 8th July 1859.
E. 2. 1000 (Letter)

It appears clear from the letter from
the Government dated 29 July 1859, that the Board of
Revenue with their proceedings, that the Collector
is unable to give notice of security for the proposed loan.
Under these circumstances no advance of public
money can be made to that gentleman and the
Government regard the transaction.

Copy of the letter to the
Collector of Mysore, 11/10/59.
11/10/59

991.

I have read all the papers in
this case and am of opinion that
no advance of public money can
be made to Mr Mondair.

Wm. M. G. 13 July 59

To Mr. M. G.

Copy of the letter from
the Collector of Mysore
sent to me. But of a matter that
can be sent on the security of the
machinery as suggested by the
Mondair. I think it is the
Mondair's responsibility if the
Mondair's property is not
the same as the property of the
Mondair, but the security of the
Mondair is not the same as the
Mondair's property.