M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 969 Press Mis.

Dated 22nd July 1859.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, from 16th to 22d July 1859.

No. 50. Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 3rd May 1859, No. 1555.

Read again letter, dated 28th October 1858, from the Collector of Nellore, forwarded in reply to the Board's Endorsement on Petition No. 2506 of 1858 presented by Ragava Puntooloo regarding an Inam attached under the orders of Government.

(In Con. 20th December 1858, No. 4997.)

Read also letter from the same Officer, dated 12th February 1859, No. 17, furnishing the information called for by the Board in their Proceedings of the 3rd January 1859, No. 6.

(In Con. 12th February 1859, No. 540.)

Resolved that the letters above referred to be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Honorable the Governor in Council.

1. In their Proceedings of the 6th November 1856, No. 3707, the Board submitted for the consideration of Government a report from the Collector of Nellore regarding certain Inams alienated by Messrs. Travers and Fraser and which up to that time had not been brought to the notice of superior authority.

2. The Government reviewed these grants in Extract Minutes Consultation 29th January 1857, No. 109, and with reference to the Bhutverty Inams (class 8) directed that several of them should be resumed on the ground that the lands had been alienated to persons totally unconnected with the original grantees; and that the remainder should be continued during the lives of the present incumbents.

In Con. 9th February 1857.

3. The Inam which forms the subject of the present reference is one of those ordered to be resumed, (No. 130) valued at Rupees 63-13-1. The Collector reports in the first of the letters above recorded that the Inam was originally granted to one Tirmul Row in Fusly 1192, by an officer of the Nabob Wallajah; and that it continued to be enjoyed by Streenavasa Row, (son of the original grantee) on account of whose absence in 1214, it was by mistake given in Inam to the Stala Curnums of the village. That subsequently Kishna Row, second son of the original grantee, appeared and claimed it, and that after an enquiry into the matter, Mr. Fraser, the then Collector, restored the Inam to him by an order dated 12th January 1812.

A. D. 1782-3.

4. On a further reference, the Collector has reported that the Inam in question was enjoyed by the Inamdar's family either directly or through their agents from the time of its restoration by Mr. Fraser to A. D. 1844, when it was mortgaged. Neither the Inamdar nor his heirs were present in the District when Mr. Elton's investigations were carried on, and hence the above facts were not brought to the notice of Government in submitting his original report on the subject.

5. As the title which the living heirs of the original grantee assert is supported by indisputable documentary evidence, which will be found in the enclosures accompanying the Collector's letter herewith submitted, the Board beg to recommend that the Inam may be restored to the grantee's family without prejudice to the lien of the mortgagee's heirs upon it.

28th October, in Con. 28th Dec. 1858, *No.* 4997.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM, *Secretary.*

No. 51. ORDER THEREON, 20th July 1859, No. 969.

The Governor in Council sanctions the restoration of the Inám here referred to, in favor of the family of the grantee.

2. So much of the E. M. C. No. 109, 29th Jany. 1857, Class 8, as refers to this Inám is thus cancelled.

(Signed) W. C. SIM,
Actg, Depy. Secy. to Government.

No. 1555.

EXTRACT from the PROCEEDINGS of the BOARD of REVENUE, dated 3rd May 1859.

Read again letter, dated 28th October 1858, from the Collector of Nellore, forwarded in reply to the Board's Endorsement on Petition No. 2506 of 1858 presented by Ragava Puntooloo regarding an Inam attached under the orders of Government.

(In Con. 27th December 1858, No. 4997.)

Read also letter from the same Officer, dated 12th February 1859, No. 17, furnishing the information called for by the Board in their Proceedings of the 3rd January 1859, No. 6.

(In Con. 12th February 1859, No. 540.)

Resolved that the letters above referred to be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Honorable the Governor in Council.

1. In their Proceedings of the 6th November 1856, No. 3707, the Board submitted for the consideration of Government a report from the Collector of Nellore regarding certain Inams alienated by Messrs. Travers and Fraser and which up to that time had not been brought to the notice of superior authority.

2. The Government reviewed these grants in Extract Minutes Consultation 29th January
In Con. 9th February 1857. 1857, No. 109, and with reference to the Bhutverty Inams (class 8) directed that several of them should be resumed on the ground that the lands had been alienated to persons totally unconnected with the original grantees; and that the remainder should be continued during the lives of the present incumbents.

3. The Inam which forms the subject of the present reference is one of those ordered to be resumed, (No. 130) valued at Rupees 63-13-1. The Collector reports in the first of the letters above
A. D. 1782-3. recorded that the Inam was originally granted to one Tirmul Row in Fusly 1192, by an officer of the Nabob Wallajah; and that it continued to be enjoyed by Streenavasa Row, (son of the original grantee) on account of whose absence in 1214, it was by mistake given in Inam to the Stala Curnums of the village. That subsequently Kishna Row, second son of the original grantee, appeared and claimed it, and that after an enquiry into the matter, Mr. Fraser, the then Collector, restored the Inam to him by an order dated 12th January 1812.

4. On a further reference, the Collector has reported that the Inam in question was enjoyed by the Inamdar's family either directly or through their agents from the time of its restoration by Mr. Fraser to A. D. 1844, when it was mortgaged. Neither the Inamdar nor his heirs were present in the District when Mr. Elton's investigations were carried on, and hence the above facts were not brought to the notice of Government in submitting his original report on the subject.

5. As the title which the living heirs of the original grantee assert is supported by indisputable
28th October, in Con. 28th Dec. 1858, No. 4997. documentary evidence, which will be found in the enclosures accompanying the Collector's letter herewith submitted, the Board beg to recommend that the Inam may be restored to the grantee's family without prejudice to the lien of the Mortgagee's heirs upon it.

(A true Extract.)

Secretary.

To

J. D. BOURDILLON, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to Collector of Nellore.

Rec'd 6 July/59. 8-9.

Rev. 1859 Depart.
Transmitting Copy
1826

Extract from the Proceedings
of the
Board of Revr.

Dated 3d May 1859.
Despd 7th "
Recd 9th "
9 Encls
No. 1555

Submitting with
the Board's remarks and
recommendation thereon
letters from the Collr
of Nellore reporting on
the subject of the Gram
referred to in Pet. No. 2506
of 1858 presented by Raga
= va Puntoolu

No. 50 & 51.

Order thereon
No. 969 - 20th July 1859

c18 15 July Rlg.

No. 969.

Ag. The Govr in C. sanctions the
restoration of the Inam here referred to,
in favor of the family of the Poraleu.

So much of the G. in C. of 10th 29th
Jany 1857 Clause 8, as refers to this Inam
is thus cancelled.

Fort St. Geo.
20th July 1859

To Copies to the Bd of Revr Dispd 21st
" " Inam Commr Jurors