

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue

DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	587	Press Mis.
Dated	29. 4.	1859.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

(1)

Fort Saint George, Revenue Consultation of 29th April 1859.

No. 68. Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 7th April 1859, No. 1234.

Read again the following letters from the Acting Collector and Collector of Trichinopoly, dated 4th October and 2nd November 1856, and 24th January 1859, Nos. 188, 205, and 13.

(In Con. 21st Oct. and 16th Dec. 1858, and 27th Jan. 1859, Nos. 4490, 5014 and 345.)

Read also letter from same officer, dated 10th March 1859, No. 45.

(In Cons. 4th March 1859, No. 1637.)

Resolved that the above letters be submitted to Government with reference to their Endorsement of 7th May 1858, on Petition No. 1251 of 1858.

2. The land which Petitioner seeks leave to make subject of a Civil Suit is part of a But-tavirty Inam of which Petitioner already possesses nearly a moiety, and formed the share of his paternal uncle, who leaving no male issue his widow made it over by deed of gift to their daughter's husband, of the same name as Petitioner.

3. It remained in this person's possession for 15 years, when he also being without male issue, transferred it similarly to *his* daughter's husband who is now in enjoyment of it, from which Petitioner seeks to oust him.

4. The Board concur in opinion with the Collector that he may without objection be permitted to try his right by Civil action.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM, *Secretary.*

No. 69. ORDER THEREON, No. 587; dated 29th April 1859.

Permission is granted to Petitioner Streenevassa Iyengar to sue Vengarasawmy Iyengar alias Streenevassa Iyengar in the Civil Courts for the maniem now in the enjoyment of the Defendant.

(Signed) W. C. SIM,

Acting Deputy Secretary to Government.

IN CON. 27TH JANUARY, 1859, No. 345.
From J. BIRD, Esq., Collector of TRICHINOPOLY,
dated Voytullaygoodalore, 24th January 1859. No. 13.


Para. 1. I do myself the honor to acknowledge Extract from the Proceedings of your Board No. 4649 dated 16th ultimo, requiring further information than that afforded by the two letters of the late Acting Collector on the subject of Petition No. 1251 of 1858.

2. I beg to submit a Statement which will I trust meet all the Board's requirements in respect to this Inam which is a Bramathoyem, one for the maintenance of a family.

3. The Acting Collector was in error when he stated the Maniem to be 16 Cawnies. It is not quite 14 Cawnies—I can see no objection to the party suing in Court, if so minded.

ENCLOSURE.—1.

Talook and Village.	Name of the original grantee.	When and by whom granted and nature of the grant.	Name of the present incumbent.	Extent and Teerwah of the Maniem.	
				Extent.	Teerwah.
Arrealore Talook Kurray Vetty.....	A Iyaviengar.....	Date unknown, having been granted at a remote period by Vizaya Oppillada Malavaroya Nynar Zamindar of Arrealore Bramhadoyem Maniem granted for maintenance.....	Petitioner. Streeneevassa Iyengar.....	2 30 7½	6 14 7½
			Defendant. Vengarasawmy Iyengar alias Streeneevassa Iyengar.....		
				2 30 7½	6 14 7½
Soolumgoody..	B Veerasawmy Iyengar alias Sithanna Vienger.	Do. Do. granted by Rungappa Malavaroya Nynar Zamindar.....	Petitioner.....	2 81 4	3 15 9
			Defendant.....	2 81 4	5 10 0
Keelaca Wuttumcoorchy.....	D His son Peroomalacharry. B Veerasawmy Iyengar alias Sithanna Iyengar.	Do. Do.	Do.	3 71 14	7 7 0
			Total.....	13 95 5	30 14 0



A had a son B who had two sons C and D. D having no issue C succeeded to the Maniem and he had two sons E and F who divided the Maniem into two shares—held the same and died. G (the Petitioner) son of F succeeded to his father's share and holds possession of the same. E having no male issue his widow gave away by a deed of gift her moiety of the Maniems in Kurray Vetty and Soollungoody and the whole of the Maniem in Keelaca Vuttumcoorchy to her daughter's husband I Streeneevassa Iyengar. The daughter I also having no male issue, her husband aforesaid granted the Maniem enjoyed by him for 15 years to his daughter's husband J (the defendant) the opponent is accordingly in the enjoyment of the Maniem, and this the Petitioner now wishes to recover by a civil action.

Voytullaygoodalore, }
24th January 1859. }
J. BIRD,
Collector.

IN COMMISSION 14th MARCH 1859, No. 1037.
From J. BIRD, Esq., Collector of TRICHINOPOLY,
dated Thottiem, 10th March 1859, No. 45.

1859	1858	1857	1856	1855	1854	1853	1852	1851	1850
0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0
0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0
0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0
0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0
0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0
0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0
0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0
0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0
0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0	0 10 0

24/3/59

IN COM. 14th MARCH 1859, No. 1037.
From J. BIRD, Esq., Collector of TRICHINOPOLY,
dated Thottiem, 10th March 1859, No. 45.

I have the honor to acknowledge Extract from the Proceedings of your Board No. 737 dated the 28th Ultimo and to state that the Petitioner Streenevassa Iyengar, and the husband of Rungammal, Streenevass Iyengar, are two distinct persons. It was to this last man that E's Widow gave the share of the Maniem.

No 68 - Read the following

No. 1234.

EXTRACT from the PROCEEDINGS of the BOARD of REVENUE, dated 7th April 1859.

Read again the following letters from the Acting Collector and Collector of Trichinopoly, dated 4th October and 2nd November 1858, and 24th January 1859, Nos. 188, 205, and 13.
(In Con. 21st October and 16th December 1858, and 27th January 1859, Nos. 4490, 5014 and 345.)
Read also letter from same Officer, dated 10th March 1859, No. 45.
(In Con. 14th March 1859, No. 1037.)

Resolved that the above letters be submitted to Government with reference to their Endorsement of 7th May 1858, on Petition No. 1231, of 1858.

2. The land which Petitioner seeks leave to make subject of a Civil Suit is part of a Buttaviriy Inam of which Petitioner already possesses nearly a moiety, and formed the share of his paternal uncle, who leaving no male issue his widow made it over by deed of gift to their daughter's husband, of the same name as Petitioner.

3. It remained in this person's possession for 15 years, when he also being without male issue, transferred it similarly to his daughter's husband who is now in enjoyment of it, from which Petitioner seeks to oust him.

4. The Board concur in opinion with the Collector that he may without objection be permitted to try his right by Civil action.

(A true Extract.)

[Signature]

Secretary.

To

J. D. BOURDILLON, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.
Copy to Collector of Trichinopoly.

[Handwritten signature]

Dec 1859. Extract
every 8th.

No 610

Extract from the Proceedings
of the Board of Revenue

Dated 7th

Dec 8th 3

April 1859.

5 sub:

No 1234.

Admitting letters from
the Collector of Richmond
reporting on the subject of
Petition No 1257 of 1858, and
concerning in division with
him that Petitioner may
without objection, be allowed
to set to his rights by civil
action.

No 68 v 69.

13 April 1859

12 587 29th April 59
With an order

587

1859.

Division is granted

to Petitioner Theenooka Syngan
to the Vengalabeswamy Syngan
alias Theenooka Syngan in
the civil courts for the revenue
now in the enjoyment of the
Defendant.

Wm
J. G. G. George

29th April 1859.

Approved by the
with a copy of the
as per the order with the