M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No.	485 & 486	~~Press.~~ Mis.
Dated	4—10—	1859.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

To

The Honorable the Secretary of State
for the Government of India

The humble petition of Ingova
Chengalroyaloo, part proprietor
of the Ramunjary Zemindary
in the District of Chingleput

Respectfully Sheweth

That for two and twenty years
Memorialist has been appealing to the late
Company's Government for the restoration of
his half share of the Ramunjary Zemindary
or land &c late, [illegible]
on the Proclamation of Her Majesty's Govern-
ment promising protection to her liege sub-
jects and security in all their just rights
and possessions, Memorialist was in-
duced to represent his case in a Petition
to Her Majesty's Government dated 25 June
1858 and hoped to obtain a more favo-
rable hearing.

Receiving no reply Memorialist
addressed the Government on the 30th March
1859 and received an Endorsement on the
8th April following, referring him to the Board
of Revenue to whom it was stated that

the

the instructions of the Home Government on his Memorial had been communicated to-

Thereupon, Memorialist congratulated himself that a hearing had at last been conceded to him, and he had hoped that the measure of justice for which the British were proverbial, though late, would at last be rendered to him- Memorialist then addressed the Board of Revenue and was informed that his case had been referred to the Collector of Chingleput for report- How the matter was represented by this authority to the Board of Revenue and by them to the local Government, or what determination the latter come to, cannot be guessed- But pending the consideration of the case, Memorialist obtained and submitted to Government several important documents bearing on his behalf expecting that his claim would be satisfactorily and speedily adjusted- But time passed on without any thing being done and Your Memorialist on the 11th July last made bold to ask for a copy of the orders passed on his case-

To his horror, Memorialist's petitions were returned to him under para 5 of the Petition Rules- What this means, will be best known to your Honorable Council, but what it meant under the old Company's Government, is too well understood, and felt by

by many others in this Country besides Memorialist-

Your Memorialist begs to represent to His Majesty's Government that the estate of Ramunjary was taken charge of by the Court of Wards on behalf of two Minors, Memorialist brother and himself and that his interests were recognized in the orders issued by the different Collectors who managed the district Your Memorialist would in particular refer to these orders copies and translations of which are herewith forwarded- By the first of these dated 1st October 1830, the Collector remits a sum of Rs. 350 for the ~~the~~ marriage of Your Memorialist in particular By an order dated on the 6th January 1831 and directed to Vencataroyer as the guardian of the two minor Zemindars, the Collector sends subsistence money for their maintenance- A subsequent Collector Mr Alexander Maclean with a full knowledge of Memorialist's right in the estate, laid the first stone towards his ruin- Please see his order from Caujeevaram dated 2nd October 1835- This is addressed to Soobbaramier (Memorialist's elder brother) and Chengalroyer (Memorialist himself) as the Minors of the Ramunjary Mootah- Whether deliberately that "You having been Minors and not attained proper age the said Mootah was kept under the management of the Court of Wards- Of

your

"you the elder Son by Clarawinie will Come to
"age at the ends of December 1835" and then
he asks what have you to say regarding
the balance due to Government -

This Order was replied to by the
Guardian on the 7th November 1835 wherein
he solicited that the Estate might be kept
under the Court of Wards according to
Regulation until the Younger Members (Memo-
rialist) had attained his majority - But
this prayer was unheeded and all the
subsequent misfortune of your Memorialist
is owing to this circumstance -

Memorialist submits that as
a ward of the Court he was entitled to
its protection and that his rights in
the Estate should not have been overlooked -
If this was not the duty of the Court of Wards
of what use — then were the humane
provisions of the Regulation constituting
that Court, were they intended to be a mockery;
were they intended to de guile the people into
the belief, that the Children of Landholders
would be cared for, when in reality, as
in Memorialist's case, their claims would
be overlooked and their rights invaded -

Memorialist would therefore
most submissively and respectfully ask
of Her Majesty's Government to whether it is
the intention to carry out the intentions of
the

the legislature in their true Spirit are
the people of this Country to believe that
the Regulations framed for "protecting
the interests of Minors," for promoting
"the education of Minors and their younger
"brother and Sisters and making provision
"for the marriage of Male and Female
"Minors" are the real and earnest intentions
of Government if so, Memorialist begs
that those intentions may be carried
out in his case -

In the case of the Coonatton Ze-
-mindary which was somewhat similar
the Proprietor appealed for 30 long years
for justice - His repeated appeals were
always rejected on some one pleas or another
and failing to obtain his rights in the
ordinary Course, he appealed to His Grace
the Duke of Wellington on the ground
that his father had served under His
Grace when in India time out of mind -
His Grace espoused the cause of the
Son of his old servant and it was only
at the Duke's intercession that the Court
of Directors condescended to grant
the Proprietor compensation for past
sufferings and a pensionary provision
for his future support -

Your Memorialist has no such
influential

influential aid to back him and he submits his case for the decision of Her Majesty's Government in full reliance of British Consideration and British Justice.

And for which Your Memorialist as in duty bound &c.

Yours pray

Madras
16th September 1830

Signed Chengalroyalow

From C. R. Cotton Esqr
Collector Zillah of Chingleput

To Venkataroyaloo
Guardian to the Minor Zemindars of
Ramunjary Mootah

Orders having been sent to the Hoozoor Cash Keeper to pay Rs 420. Viz Rs 350 for the expences of Viraparaya anum of Chengalroo Minor Zemindar and Rs 70 for the expences of 5 Mauseyems of the late Zemindar Seetaramiah, You are required to draw the money and dispose it as regulated

Signed C. R. Cotton

Ponamalee
1st October 1830

From C. R. Cotton Esqr
Collector Zillah Chingleput

To Venkataroyaloo
Guardian to the Minor Zemindars of
Ramunjary Mootah

Orders having been sent to the Hoozoor Cash Keeper to pay you for the maintenance of the Minors from 18th May 1830 or the date on which the Government sanctioned the Mootah being kept under the management of the Court of Wards, You are therefore required to draw the Amount accordingly

Signed C. R. Cotton

Kaveloor
6 January 1831

From

Alexander Mackay Esqre.

Collector Zillah Chingleput

To Soobberamier and Chengalroyer.

Minor Zemindars of

Ramunjary Mootah

You having been Minors and
not attained proper age the said Mootah was
kept under the management of Court of Wards.
Of you the Elder Soobberamier will come to
age at the end of December 1835 and as there
is a balance of Rupees 6671-12-10 due to
Government on the Mootah upto Fasly 1244.
You are therefore required to let me know whether
you are prepared to pay the balance due on
the said Mootah and to take possession of
it as I have to make a report to the Board
of Revenue on the subject.

Signed A Mackay
Collector

Conjeevaram
9th October 1835.

To

The Right Honorable Sir Charles Trevelyan K.C.B.

Governor in Council

Fort St George.

The humble Petition of Ingoma Chengalroyaloo, part proprietor of the Ramunjary Inoottah in the District of Chinglaput.

Respectfully Sheweth;

That Your Excellency's petitioner begs to submit the accompanying Memorial in Duplicate, to the Honorable the Secretary of State for India for the exoneration of his half share of Ramunjary Inoottah, and humbly requests that Your Excellency will be pleased to transmit it to England with such recommendation as your Excellency may deem proper.

And for which Your Excellency's Petitioner as in duty bound.

Shall Ever pray

Madras
16th September 1859

ఇంగోమ చెంగల్రాయలు

Ingoma Chengalroyaloo

R. Con- 15th June 1858
№ 3143.

Con- 1st April 1859- №9.

Con- 12th April 1859- №2142.

Con 8th July 1859- №3944

24/6/59

7/12/59

23-12-59

12-9-59

From Ingoova Cheuglevaroy Iyer
D/4th April/60- C №197

2678/59

23/12/11

485/486

Revenue 1859 Dept
Pro: of Govt 4th October
№ 15-9-47

Mis 173

№ 1720.

From Ingoova Chen-
-gulroyaloo, part pro-
-prietor of the Ramun-
-jary Moottah in
Chinglepute

Dated 16th September
Rec'd 17th 1859.
4 Encls.

Submits for trans-
-mission to the Home
Govt a Memorial
for the restoration
of his half-share
of Ramunjary Moottah.

With an order

№ 1143.

Petitioner is informed
that his claims have al-
-ready been submitted to
the Home authorities, there
appears therefore no ne-
-cessity for forwarding the
present Memorial which
is merely a recapitula-
-tion of his former com-
-plaint.

Act Sec Govt
3rd October 1859.

Copy to Chengulroyaloo-
with 4 Encls Dis: 3.