M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	485 & 486	~~Press.~~ / Mis.
Dated	4—10—	1859.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

To
The Honorable the Secretary of State
for the Government of India

The humble petition of Ingova
Chengalroyaloo, part proprietor
of the Ramanjary Zemindary
the Andree of Chingleput

Respectfully Sheweth

That for two and twenty years
Memorialist has been applicating to the Co.
Company's Government for the restoration of
his half share of the Ramanjary Zemindary
or land of Estate, but in vain. That relying
on the Proclamation of Her Majesty's Govern-
ment promising protection to her liege Sub-
jects and security in all their just rights
and possessions, Memorialist was in-
duced to represent his case in a Petition
to Her Majesty's Government dated 25 June
1858 and hoped to obtain a more favo-
rable hearing.

Receiving no reply Memorialist
addressed the Government on the 30th March
1859 and received an Endorsement on the
8th April following referring him to the Board
of Revenue to whom it was stated that

the

the instructions of the Home Government on his Memorial had been Communicated by—

Thereupon, Memorialist congratulated himself that a hearing had at last been conceded to him, and he had hoped that the measure of justice for which the British were proverbial, though late would at last be rendered to him. Memorialist then addressed the Board of Revenue and was informed that his case had been referred to the Collector of Chingleput for report. How the matter was represented by this Authority to the Board of Revenue and by them to the Local Government, or what determination the latter came to, cannot be guessed. But pending the consideration of the case, probably Memorialist obtained and submitted to Government several important documents bearing on his behalf respecting that his claim would be duly & speedily adjusted. But time passed on without any thing being done and Your Memorialist on the 11th July last made bold to ask for a Copy of the papers passed on his case.

In two letters Memorialist's petitions were returned to him under para 5 of the Petition Rules. What this means, shall be best known to your Honorable Council but what it meant under the old Company's Government, is too well understood, viz. felt by

by many others in this Country besides Memorialist.—

Your Memorialist begs to represent to His Majesty's Government that the estate of Ramanjay was taken charge of by the Court of Wards on behalf of the Minors, Memorialist's brother and himself and that his interests were recognized in the orders issued by the different Collectors who managed the district. Your Memorialist would in particular refer to these orders copies and translations of which are hereunto furnished. By the first of these dated 1st October 1830, the Collector remits a sum of Rs. 350 for the Upanayanam marriage of Your Memorialist in particular By an order dated on the 6th January 1831 and directed to Vencatarayer as the guardian of the two Minor Zemindars, the Collector sends subsistence money for their maintenance. A subsequent Collector Mr. Ebbay under date 2nd October 1835 from Conjeveram addressed to Seshbaramier Memorialist's Elder brother and Chengalroyer Memorialist himself as the Minors of the Ramanjay Mootah, & decides distinctly that "you having been Minors did not attend personally to the said Mootah was kept under the management of the Court of Wards—

Your

"you the elder Son be a Clergyman will Come to
"a go. at the end of December 1835" and then
he asks what have you to say regarding
the balance due to Government -

His Order was replied to by the
Guardian on the 7th of November 1835 wherein
he solicited that the estate might be kept
under the Court of Wards according to
Regulation until the Younger Brother of Memo-
rialist had attained his majority - But
this prayer was unheeded as all the
Unhappy measures of your Memorialist
is owing to this circumstance -

Memorialist submits that as
a Wards of this Court he was entitled to
its protection and that his rights in
the Estate should not have been overlooked
If this was not the duty of this Court of Wards
of what use — then were the humane
provisions of the Regulation constituting
that Court. were they intended to be a mockery
were they intended to be quite the people into
the belief, that the Children of Landholders
would be cared for, when in reality, as
in Memorialists case, their Estates would
be overlooked and their rights invaded -

Memorialist would therefore
most solemnly and respectfully ask
of Her Majesty's Government to believe it is
their intentions to carry out the intentions of
the

the Legislature in their true Spirit - Are
the people of this Country to believe that
the Regulations framed for "protecting
the interests of Juniors," for promoting
"the education of Juniors and their younger
"brothers and Sisters and providing provision
"for the marriage of Male and Female
"Minors" are the real and earnest intentions
of Government if so, Memorialist begs
that those intentions may be carried
out in his case -

In the case of this Coonattoo Je-
-minadary which was demanded almost
the Proprietor appealed for 30 long years
for justice - His repeated appeals were
always rejected on some pleas or another
and failing to obtain his rights in the
ordinary Course, he appealed to His Grace
the Duke of Wellington on the ground
that his father had served under His
Grace when in India time out of mind
His Grace espoused the cause of the
Son of his old Servant and it was only
at the Duke's intercession that the Court
of Directors condescended to grant
the Proprietor compensation for past
sufferings and a pensionary provision
for his future support -

Your Memorialist has no doubt
of success

influential aid to back him and he submits his case for the decision of Her Majesty's Government in full reliance of British Consideration and British Justice.

And for which Favor Memorialist as in duty bound &c.

Your Servt

Madras
16th September 1830

Byrava Chingalrayalu

From C. R. Cotton Esqr
Collector Zillah of Chingleput

To A. Venkataroyaloo
Guardian to the Minor Zemindar of
Ramunjaya Mootah

Orders having been sent to the Bonzor Cash Keeper to pay Pr 420. Viz Pr 350 for the expences of Nitpanayanum of Chengalrow Minor Zemindar and Pr 70 for the expences of 5 Inameyems of the late Zemindar Seetaramiah, You are required to draw the Money and disburse it as regulated

Ponamalle
1st October 1830

Signed C. R. Cotton

From C. R. Cotton Esqr
Collector Zillah Chingleput

To Venkataroyaloo
Guardian to the Minor Zemindar of
Ramunjaya Mootah

Orders having been sent to the Bonzor Cash Keeper to pay you for the maintenance of the Minor from 18th May 1830 or the date on which the Government assumed the Mootah being kept under the management of the Court of Wards, You are therefore required to draw the Amount accordingly

Kaveripauk
6th January 1831

Signed C. R. Cotton

From
Alexander Macleay Esqre
Collector Zillah Chingleput

To Soobberamier and Chengalroyer.
Minor Zemindars of
Ramunjary Mootah

You having been Minors and
not attained proper age the said Mootah was
kept under the management of Court of Wards.
Of You the elder Soobberamier will come to
age at the end of December 1835 and as there
is a balance of Rupees 6671—12—10 due to
Government on the Mootah upto July 1264.
You are therefore required to let me know whether
you are prepared to pay the balance due on
the said Mootah and to take possession of
it as I have to make a report to the Board
of Revenue on the subject.

Signed A Macleay
Collector

Conjeevaram
9th October 1835.

To

The Honorable Sir Charles Trevelyan K.C.B.
Governor in Council
Fort St. George.

The humble Petition of Argoona
Chengalroyaloo, part proprietor
of the Ramunjary Inoottah in the
District of Chingleput.

Respectfully Sheweth;

That Your Excellency's petitioner begs to submit the accompanying Memorial in Duplicate to the Honorable the Secretary of State for India for the restoration of his half share of Ramunjary Inoottah, and humbly requests that Your Excellency will be pleased to transmit it to England with such recommendation as Your Excellency may deem proper.

And for which Your Excellency's Petitioner as in duty bound.
Shall Ever pray

Madras
16th September 1859

Argoona Chengalroyaloo

Recd 20/9/59
Com. & Revenue Dept. No 485.

R. Con. 15th June 1858
№ 3143

Con. 1st April 1859 - №

Con. 12th April 1859 - №2842

Con 8th July 1859 - №3946

From Inspector Chengalvaroyalu
D/4th April/60 - C. №797

№ 2678/81

23/12/10

485/486

Miscl

Revenue 1859 Sept 16
Pro: of Govt 4th October
№ 15-9-59

№ 1720

From Inspector Chengalvaroyaloo, part proprietor of the Ramanjary Moottah in Chingleput

Dated 16 September 1859
Rec'd 17
& Entd

Submits for transmission to the Home Govt a Memorial for the restoration of his half-share of Ramanjary Moottah.

With an order

№ 1143

Petitioner is informed that his claims have already been submitted to the Home authorities; there appears therefore no necessity for forwarding the present Memorial which is merely a recapitulation of his former complaint.

Fort St. Geo. 3d October 1859.

Copy to Chengalvaroyaloo
Instr & Encl — Dispatch 3