

Revenue Department

No 408

Dated 26-3-1859

408

Fort Saint George, Revenue Consultation of 1st April 1859.

No. 37. Read the following Petition.

To the Right Honorable the Governor in Council of Fort Saint George.

The humble Petition of Naputty Ramah Row, late Tahsildar of Condaveed Talook in the District of Guntoor; dated Madras 8th February 1859.

HUMBLY SHEWETH,

When your Petitioner was holding the above office the Board having ordered to re-employ a dismissed Tahsildar of the Masulipatam District named Covoor Lutchoomana Row, your Petitioner was removed from his post simply to get a post vacant for that man. As such is the case the Collector must have at least given your Petitioner's post when a second vacancy took place, which also he did not give. Your Petitioner was unjustly deprived of his post from 18 months of which 6 months were spent therein expectation of getting justice done, but being at last disappointed in his hopes, your Petitioner came here and applied several times to your Lordship; he is expecting notwithstanding all his domestic troubles to receive justice from your Lordship.

As the Tahsildarship's of the Talooks of Ponoor, and Maurtoor in the District of Guntoor are vacant for the present he hopes your Lordship will order the Collector for giving your Petitioner any of those or his own former post of the Talook of Condaveed; he hopes to be excused for the presumption of asking your Lordship for any of the two vacant posts which he is forced to do for fear of those posts being filled up; for which kindness your Petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray.

No. 38. ORDER THEREON, No. 408; dated 26th March 1859.

Ram Row, late Tahsildar of Kondavid Talook in the Guntoor District, appeals to Government against the decision of the Collector as confirmed by Board, depriving him of his situation.

2. It appears that Mr. Newill, the Director of Revenue Settlement, whilst reviewing the administration of Tahsildars for Fusly 1266, was apparently so displeased with the very inefficient manner in which Petitioner conducted his duties, that he wrote a condemnatory order. Before however the receipt of this order, Petitioner tendered his resignation, which was accepted. Petitioner then appealed to the Board, who, after communication with the Collector, declined to comply with his request, on the ground that Petitioner had resigned his post of Tahsildar and had moreover been pronounced unfit to hold that office.

3. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sees no reason to differ from the opinion of the Board of Revenue, an opinion which the latter part of Petitioner's letter of the 28th August 1857 accusing the Collector of having provided him with an acting appointment in order to prevent an Appeal to the Board within the prescribed time, does not tend to alter.

(Signed) W. C. SIM,

Acting Deputy Secretary to Government.

*Order
Refuse however the receipt.*

Excerpt from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 26th March 1859.

Ram Row late Sahulda
Kondavid
of ~~Cudde~~ Talook
in the Junoon District
appeals before against
the decision of the C.O. as
confirmed by Board,
depriving him of his situation.

It appears that Mr. H.
Smith, the Director of
Public Instruction, Rev.
Seth, ^{while} reviewing the
administration of Sahulda
in July 1866, was apparently
so displeased with the
very inefficient manner
in which the duties were conducted
that he wrote
him a condemnatory
order.
Before however the receipt

of this order. Petitioner
tendered his resignation,
which was accepted.

Petitioner then appealed
to the Board, who after
communication with

the Collector ^{declined to comply} ~~refused~~
^{with his request, on the ground}
~~that~~ ^{that}
Petitioner ^{had} resigned
his Post of Tahsildar
and ^{had} ~~being~~ moreover
been pronounced unfit
to hold that office.
~~The Bd. decline to~~
~~comply with his request.~~

3 The Right Honble
The Joint Com. Secs
no reason to differ
from the opinion of
the Bd of Revenue

an opinion in which
the latter part of Petitioner
of the 28th August 1857
letter ~~petition~~, accusing the
Collector of having provided
~~the petitioner~~ ^{him} with an
acting appointment in
order to prevent an appeal
to the Bd within the
prescribed time does
not tend to alter -

R.L.

Copies to the B^d of Rev. Dated 28th
1857 Jm. Dep. Secy.

J.A.

Copy in a letter to Capt.
of 1st July 1859 No 22.

Revenue 1859 Sept 1st
Ditto Cons. 1st April

No 408

Contract of Cons.
of 26th March 59-

From a Revenue Note
to the Board of the Fleet
of Subscribers in London
of 26th May 59 & 1st April 59
also 1st July 59 & 1st
32-33.

Government are no
reason to differ from the
opinion of the Board who
declined to comply with
the request for a Subsidary
post in London, of Cam Row,
late Subsidary of London
which with said District

No 37 & 38.