Revenue Department

No 335

Dated 15 — 3 — 1859

## *Fort Saint George, Revenue Consultation of* 15*th March* 1859.

No. 85. Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 24th February 1859, No. 678.

Read Extract Minutes Consultation, dated 15th February 1859, No. 204, R. D.

(In Con. 16th February 1859, No. 621.)

Ordered that a copy of the above Extract be forwarded to the Collector of Trichinopoly for his information and guidance.

2. The Collector will report on No. 2, when the heir of the last incumbent appears, fixing a time for this purpose.

3. The Board proposed to treat No. 21 differently from Nos. 7, 15, 16, 17 and 19, because in the remarks on the former in the Collector's Statement it was stated that the "incumbent" had not appeared while as regards the latter it was stated that "no claimants" had appeared. The Board inferred from this that the latter were wholly unclaimed, and that the former was in the possession of some one who had not appeared to substantiate his title to possession of it. The Collector will explain how the case really is.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM, *Secretary.*

---

No. 86. ORDER THEREON, No. 335; dated 15th March 1859.

Ordered that the foregoing Extract be recorded.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,
*Secretary to Government.*

No. 678.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 24th February 1859.

Read Extract Minutes Consultation, dated 15th February 1859, No. 204, R. D.

(In Con. 16th February 1859, No. 621.)

Ordered that a copy of the above Extract be forwarded to the Collector of Trichinopoly for his information and guidance.

2. The Collector will report on No. 2, when the heir of the last incumbent appears, fixing a time for this purpose.

3. The Board proposed to treat No. 21 differently from Nos. 7, 15, 16, 17 and 19, because in the remarks on the former in the Collector's Statement it was stated that the "incumbent" had not appeared while as regards the latter it was stated that "no claimants" had appeared. The Board inferred from this that the latter were wholly unclaimed, and that the former was in the possession of some one who had not appeared to substantiate his title to possession of it. The Collector will explain how the case really is.

(A true Extract.)

Secretary.

To

J. BIRD, Esq., Collector of Trichinopoly with E. M. C.

Copy to Government for information.

Revenue 1859 Dept.
Covs 15th March

No 320

Extract from the Proceedings
of the Board of
Revenue

Dated 24th July 1859.
No 253

No 678.

Communicating the
instructions issued to the Collectors
of Revenue strictly with reference
to certain of the cases of lapsed
Inams in his District reviewed
in Y. Dept. of the 15th instant.

No 335

Recorded.

15th March 1859.

Covs 15th Feby 59 Nos 63 & 64.

No 85 & 86.