Revenue Department

No 213

Date 12—3—1859

No. 43. Read the following Remarks of the Board of Revenue, dated 18th February 1859, No. 632, on a Tabular Report on lapsed Inams, from the Collector of North Arcot, dated 26th November 1858,

(In Con. 28th December 1858, No. 5354.)

No. 1. This Inam consisting of Cawnies 6-14-4 assessed at Rupees 84-9-7 was originally a life grant held by a Purwanah dated 21st Suphar, 1st Jooloos or A. D. 1749. One half was enjoyed by Syed Meeran whose death is now under report, and the other half by his brother Syed Mahomed—the grandsons of the original grantee. On the death of Syed Mahomed his share was continued on special grounds to his three sons for their respective lives. One of them having died in 1853, his one-third share was resumed under instructions from Government that a similar course should be followed on lapse of the remaining two-third shares.

From Govt. 23rd Nov. 1859, *in Con.* 13*th Jany.* 1851, *R. D., No.* 1093, *para.* 2.
E. M. C. 18*th in Con.* 26*th Feb.* 1857, *No.* 175, *R. D.*

2. Syed Meeran, the holder of the other half Inam, has left five sons three of whom are very young and two daughters—excepting the youngest daughter who is married to a Sepoy, the rest have no other means of support. The Board therefore recommend that the lapsed share, viz. Cawnies 3-7-4, assessed at Rupees 42-4-9 be continued as in the former case to the family of the deceased, the share of each lapsing on his or her death.

No. 2. This Inam which comprises Cawnies 4-15 assessed at Rupees 35-3-6 was granted to the deceased incumbent by a Purwanah, dated Hegira 1211, A. D. 1797, for his subsistence while holding the office of Kateeb in the Mosque at Poloor.

C. O. B. 1*st vol, page* 295.

2. The arrangement made by the deceased for the disposal of his service Inam in so far as they involve a sub division of the endowment are opposed to the orders of Government and cannot be recognized.

3. The Board concur with the local authorities in considering that Gholam Dustegheer the grandson of the deceased should be registered as holder of the Inam on the understanding that the rest of the family should look to him for maintenance from its surplus proceeds.

4. The Board remark that neither the yeomiah nor the allowances attached to the Town Cazeeship are included in column 2. The reason of the omission should be stated.

5. They observe also a clerical error in column 2, the assessment of the Nunjah Inam in Mambat being entered as 8 Annas 5 Pice instead of 8 Rupees 5 Annas.

(By Order.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM, *Secretary.*

No. 44. ORDER THEREON, No. 313 ; dated 12th March 1859.

1. As five sons and a daughter survive the deceased, the Government are of opinion that is will be preferable to register the first Inám as a life grant in the name of the eldest son*, and to inform the rest of the family that they must look to him for maintenance. Such a course is more likely to induce the sons to exert themselves to gain their own livelihood ; a small life grant to each would tend to a life of pauper idleness.

* *Saiyad Kádir.*

2. In the second case, the Government are unable to concur in the recommendation of the Board, nothing in the Parwánah tends to shew that the grant was hereditary ; and the Inám will accordingly be resumed from the date of the grantee's death.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,
Secretary to Government.

No. 632.

REMARKS of the BOARD of REVENUE, dated 18th February 1859, on a Tabular Report on lapsed Inams, from the Collector of North Arcot, dated 26th November 1858.

(In Con. 28th December 1858, No. 5354.)

No. 1. This Inam consisting of Cawnies 6-14-4 assessed at Rupees 84-9-7 was originally a life grant held by a Purwanah dated 21st Suphar, 1st Jooloos or A. D. 1749. One half was enjoyed by Syed Meeran whose death is now under report, and the other half by his brother Syed Mahomed—the grandsons of the original grantee. On the death of Syed Mahomed his share was continued on special grounds to his three sons for their respective lives. One of them having died in 1853, his one-third share was resumed under instructions from Government that a similar course should be followed on lapse of the remaining two-third shares.

From Govt. 23d Nov. 1850, in Con. 13th Jan. 1851, R. D., No. 1093, para. 2.

E. M. C. 18th in Con. 26th Feb. 1857, No. 175, R. D.

2. Syed Meeran, the holder of the other half Inam, has left five sons three of whom are very young and two daughters—excepting the youngest daughter who is married to a Sepoy, the rest have no other means of support. The Board therefore recommend that the lapsed share, viz. Cawnies 3-7-4, assessed at Rupees 42-4-9 be continued as in the former case to the family of the deceased, the share of each lapsing on his or her death.

No. 2. This Inam which comprises Cawnies 4-15 assessed at Rupees 35-3-6 was granted to the deceased incumbent by a Purwanah, dated Hegira 1211, A. D. 1797, for his subsistence while holding the office of Kateeb in the Mosque at Poloor.

2. The arrangement made by the deceased for the disposal of his service Inam in so far as they involve a sub division of the endowment are opposed to the orders of Government and cannot be recognized.

C. O. B, 1st vol. page 295.

3. The Board concur with the local authorities in considering that Gholam Dustegheer the grandson of the deceased should be registered as holder of the Inam on the understanding that the rest of the family should look to him for maintenance from its surplus proceeds.

4. The Board remark that neither the yeomiah nor the allowances attached to the Town Cazeeship are included in col. 2. The reason of the omission should be stated.

5. They observe also a clerical error in col. 2, the assessment of the Nunjah Inam in Mambat being entered as 8 Annas 5 Pice instead of 8 Rupees 5 Annas.

(By Order,)

Secretary.

To

J. D. BOURDILLON, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to Collector of North Arcot.

R Cons 26 Novr /30 No 21.

— — 24th Feby /57 — 5.

c 3 March .. R 11th

Rev 1859 Depart.
Do to Govt 15 March.
— 286

Remarks of the Board of Revenue

dated 18 } February 1859
Recd 19 }

11 Enclo.

No 632

Reviewing a Statement of Lapsed Inams Submitted by the Collector of North Arcot.

With an order
No 313 — 12 March

grantees death. [illegible] of Rev. with 11 Enclos

No 313

1 As five sons and a daughter survive the deceased the Govt are of opinion that it will be preferable to register the first Inam as a life grant in the name of the eldest Son, & to inform the rest of the family that they must look to him for maintenance. Such a course is more likely to induce the sons to exert themselves to gain their own livelihood; a small life grant to each would tend to a life of pauper idleness.

Saiyah Kadir

2 In the second case the Govt are unable to concur in the recommendation of the Bd. Nothing in the Parwanah tends to shew that the grant was hereditary; and it will therefore accordingly be resumed from the date of the

Wh
March 3.

Fortd to Gov.
12 March /59