

Revenue Department

No 269

Dated 3-3-1859

269

100

Fort Saint George, Revenue Consultation of 8th March 1859.

No. 13. Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 16th February 1859, No. 593.

Read letter from the Collector of Cuddapah, dated 26th January 1859, No. 13.

(In Con. 31st January 1859, No. 372.)

In Consultation 22nd June 1858.

Resolved that the above letter be forwarded for the information of Government with reference to para. 2 of Extract Minutes Consultation, dated 12th June 1858, No. 808, R. D.

In Consultation 15th May 1858.

2. The Collector explains as his reason for the omission of the names of the surviving members of the last holder's family, in col. 7 of his report of 30th December 1857, that the deceased left no lineal heirs and that the only claimants, who came forward were Pedda Pooliah Reddy and Narraina Reddy, both of whom are mentioned in the report with the grounds on which their claims were rejected. There

From Collector 25th October in Con.

13th November 1858, para. 2.

are two other surviving members of the family—Chenchoo Reddy and Fakeera who are 1st and 2nd Cousins to the deceased's husband; and on a division of the Inam some years ago, received a share which they continue to enjoy and have no further claim—being divided members of the family.

3. The words "legal heirs," the Collector adds, were used instead of lineal heirs through an oversight.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM, *Secretary.*

No. 14. ORDER THEREON, No. 269; dated 3d March 1859.

Under the explanation now furnished, the Inam No. 724 in the Tabular report from the Collector of Cuddapah reviewed in the Board's Proceedings dated 15th May 1858, No. 1736, will be resumed as recommended.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,
Secretary to Government.

In Con, 31st JANUARY 1859, No. 372.
From M. MURRAY, Esq., Collector of CUDDAPAH,
dated Doovoor 26th January 1859 No. 13.

1. With reference to Para 2 of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 12th June 1858 No. 808, I have the honor to submit in explanation of the omission noticed by the Board in case No. 724 of the Tabular Report of lapsed Enams in this District, dated the 30th of December 1857, that the deceased Pedda Amah, the last holder, has left no lineal heirs and the only claimants that come forward were Pedda Poolah Reddy and Naraina Reddy. The former being sisters son to the deceased, who enjoyed the Inam through the family of her husband, his name did not appear in the genealogical tree attached to the Tabular Report and could not therefore be entered in Column 7, and the latter being grandson of the original grantee and cousin to the deceased's husband, his claim was dismissed for reasons given in the Report and the resumption of the Inam recommended, in which the Board have concurred.

2. I regret, however, that a clerical error should have crept in Column 7 of the Report, wherein, the words "legal heirs" were entered for lineal heirs, which latter was intended.

*This has occasioned
the misunderstanding
& necessitated the further reference*

No. 593.

EXTRACT from the PROCEEDINGS of the BOARD of REVENUE, dated 16th February 1859.
Read letter from the Collector of Cuddapah, dated 26th January 1859, No. 13.

(In Con. 31st January 1859, No. 372.)

Resolved that the above letter be forwarded for the information of Government with reference to
In Con. 22nd June 1858. para. 2 of Extract Minutes Consultation, dated 12th June 1858, No. 808, R. D.

2. The Collector explains as his reason for the omission of the names of the surviving members of the
In Con. 15th May 1858. last holder's family, in col. 7 of his report of 30th December 1857, that the deceased left no lineal heirs and that the only claimants, who came forward were Pedda Pooliah Reddy and Narraina Reddy, both of whom are mentioned in the report with the grounds on which their claims were

rejected. There are two other surviving members of the family—Chenchoo Reddy and Fakeera who are 1st and 2nd cousins to the deceased's
From Collector 25th Oct. in Con. 13th November 1858, para 2.

husband ; and on a division of the Inam some years ago, received a share which they continue to enjoy and have no further claim—being divided members of the family.

3. The words "legal heirs," the Collector adds, were used instead of lineal heirs through an oversight.

(A true Extract.)

To

J. D. BOURDILLON, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.
Copy to Collector of Cuddapah.

Secretary.

P. Com. 15th June 1858 No 54-55

Rev. 1859 Report
2010 Copy of 274

Extract from the Proceedings
of the Board of Revenue

dated 16th February 1859.
No 163
2. 2nd.

No 593

No 13414-

23 July 1858

Submitting a letter
from the Collector of Cuddalore
applying certain regulations
regarding in respect to the
No. 724 of his father's estate
of Cuddalore dated 30 Dec
1857. 269. 3rd March 1859.
No 269. 3rd March 1859.
With an order

No 269

The explanation here
furnished the No 724
in the Suburban of the
the Collector of Cuddalore
received in the Board No-
269 of 15 May 1858 No 1736
will be returned at the

No. 11.
No 269.

Let the No 269
3rd March 1859
3 Copy to the No 269.