

Revenue Department

No 233

Dated 24-2-1859

*Fort Saint George, Revenue Consultation of 25th February 1859.*

No. 42. Read also the following Report of the Civil Auditor ; dated Fort Saint George, 29th January 1859, No. 46.

The Civil Auditor has the honor to report, in reply to Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 22nd Instant, No. 91 that the view taken by the Board of Revenue of Vencatrow's case, appears to him correct and that from 1st to 20th February last, during which period he is stated to have performed the duties connected with his office, at his own private dwelling, with the premission of the Officiating Agent he may accordingly be considered on duty, and that sick leave under Section III IV and V of the Uncovenanted Service Absentee Rules, may be granted him retrospectively, from the 21st February to 30th March last. .

Vencatrow will under these circumstances be entitled to full salary from 1st to 20th February and to a moiety thereof from 21st February to 30th March.

No. 43. ORDER THEREON, No. 233 ; dated 24th February 1859.

Para. 1. It appears from a letter from the Civil Surgeon of Kurnool to the Assistant Agent in charge dated March 1st 1858, that the Head Sheristadar "after a long period of failing health" was at last wholly incapacitated from duty by disease in the beginning of December 1857," and continued so to the date of that report. It appears further from the correspondence submitted by the Board of Revenue, that the Officiating Assistant Agent at Kurnool applied to the Board of Revenue on the 4th March 1858 for their instructions in reference to an extension of leave on sick certificate solicited by the Head Sheristadar ; and that again on the 17th March 1858, the Officiating Agent recommended the grant of three months privilege leave to that officer, from the 21st February 1858; an application which it appears was declined, on the ground that he, the Sheristadar, had been absent on sick leave for the twenty days preceding that date and had thus exceeded by five days, the limit of 15 days allowed by the then existing Rule for casual leave. It is now represented that the Sheristadar performed the duties of his office up to the 20th February at his own house, under permission granted by Captain Russell, and under this representation both the Board and Civil Auditor consider him as on duty.

2. Bearing in mind that according to the letter above quoted of the Medical Officer, the Sheristadar was incapacitated for duty from the beginning of December 1857 to the 1st March 1858, his ailment being a carbuncle, the Government feel some difficulty in reconciling these facts with the statement now made that the Sheristadar performed his duty at his own house from the 1st to the 20th February. The Board of Revenue will procure and lay before Government the explanation of the local officers on these points. This explanation will state clearly what duties were really performed by Vencata Row from the 1st to the 20th February 1858 ; and also whether he attended office and performed his duty from the early part of December to the end of January ; and if not what duties he did perform and where.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,  
*Secretary to Government.*

<sup>233</sup>  
Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

Under date the 24<sup>th</sup> February 1859.

Read again Ex-  
tract from the Proceedings  
of the Board of Revenue  
dated 19<sup>th</sup> and recorded  
in Diary to Consultation  
25<sup>th</sup> January 1859 No 91

Read also the  
following Report from  
the Civil Auditor.

Presented 29<sup>th</sup> January 1859  
No 461

Para 1. It appears  
from a letter from the  
Civil Surgeon of Surnul  
to the Assistant Agent  
in charge dated March 5<sup>th</sup>

1858, that the Head Sheristadar  
-dar "after a long period  
"of failing health was  
"at last wholly incapac-  
-itated from duty by  
"disease in the beginning  
"of December 1857", and  
continued so to the  
state of that report.

It appears further  
from the correspondence  
submitted by the Board  
of Revenue, that the  
Officiating Assistant  
Agent at Kurnool ap-  
-plied to the Board of  
Revenue on the 4<sup>th</sup> March  
1858 for their instructions  
in reference to an ex-  
-tension of leave on  
Sick Certificate solicited  
by the Head Sheristadar,  
and that again on the  
17<sup>th</sup>

17<sup>th</sup> March 1858 the Offici-  
-ating Agent recommended  
the grant of three  
months privilege  
leave to that Officer,  
from the 21<sup>st</sup> February  
1858; an application  
which it appears was  
declined, on the ground  
that he, the Sheristadar,  
had been absent on  
Sick leave for the twenty  
days preceding that  
date and had thus  
exceeded by five days  
the limit of 15 days  
allowed by the then  
existing Rule for  
Casual leave. It is now  
represented that the  
Sheristadar performed  
the duties of his Office  
up to the 20<sup>th</sup> February  
at

at his own House, under  
permission granted by  
Captain Russell, and  
under this representa-  
tion both the Board  
and Civil Auditor con-  
sider him as on duty.

2 Fearing in  
mind that according  
to the letter above quoted  
of the Medical Officer,  
the Sheristadar was  
incapacitated for duty  
from the beginning of  
December 1857 to the 1<sup>st</sup>  
March 1858, his dis-  
-ment being a cerebri-  
-des, the Government  
feel some difficulty in  
reconciling these facts  
with the statement now  
made that the Sheris-  
tadar performed his  
duty

4  
duty at his own house  
from the 1<sup>st</sup> to the 20<sup>th</sup>  
February. The Board  
of Revenue will pro-  
-ceed and lay before  
Government the explana-  
tion of the local Officers  
on these points. This  
explanation will state  
clearly what duties  
were really performed  
by Venkata Rao from  
the 1<sup>st</sup> to the 20<sup>th</sup> February  
1858; and also whether  
he attended office  
and performed his  
duty from the early  
part of December  
to the end of January;  
and if not what  
duties he did perform  
and where.

3 Copies to the B<sup>d</sup> of Rev<sup>y</sup> Dated 20<sup>th</sup>  
1 — " — " Civil Auditor

*[Signature]*

Revenue 1859 & 1860  
 25<sup>th</sup> Dec: 28<sup>th</sup> February

1<sup>st</sup> 23<sup>rd</sup>.

Richard Ellis of Cus:  
 24<sup>th</sup> February 59.

£44. from the proceedings of the  
 18<sup>th</sup> of Dec & 1<sup>st</sup> of Jan 59. £17 10 3  
 18<sup>th</sup> Dec 59. 18<sup>th</sup> Jan 59. 13-11-3

29-30  
 25-1-59

47-8  
 8-6-58

Regarding the Board of Fire:  
 and day before last the  
 explanation of the local officers  
 at present as to the duties  
 really performed at by Venetia  
 the Board of Fire is that from  
 18<sup>th</sup> Dec 59 to 1<sup>st</sup> Jan 59 for  
 which period he was remunerated  
 included to full salary, and  
 also whether he attended office  
 and performed his duty from  
 the 1<sup>st</sup> of Jan 59 to 1<sup>st</sup> Dec 59  
 and of course, did not what  
 duties he did perform and in  
 what -

1242 & 43-