Revenue Department

No 204

Entered 15-2-1859

No. 63. Read the following Remarks of the Board of Revenue, dated 28th January 1859, No. 343, on a Statement of Inams, from the Collector of Trichinopoly, dated 30th June and 14th October 1858, Nos. 141 and 195.

(In Con. 11th September and 28th December 1858, Nos. 3469, and 4748.)

The village of Poodoopallium was a Shrotrium grant from Nawab Mahomed Ally Khan to Syed Latoob-Oollah the Cauzee of Arealore. In 1857, it was attached for arrears of Revenue amounting to Rupees 672-12-0, due for Fusly 1266, A. D. 1856-57, and a part of Fusly 1265, A. D. 1855-56, and as the Shrotriumdar evinced no inclination to pay up the amount the village was finally resumed in pursuance of the order of Govern-

In Con. 24th March 1858. ment, dated 6th March 7858, R. D., No. 301. At the settlement, claims were preferred to certain lands as Inams; and on these, the Collector now requests orders, the titles having been examined with the result shewn in the Statement accompanying his letter.

2. The total number of Inams is 23, comprising 82 Cawnies and $14\frac{1}{16}$ Goolies of wet and dry land said to have been granted to different persons by the former Shrotriumdars. Strictly speaking, the resumption of the Shortriums voids all these alienations so far as exemption from Assessment is concerned; but Government would nevertheless be willing to shew some consideration to the grantees:—

Nos. 1 and 2 are grants registered in the Survey Accounts of Fusly 1216, A. D. 1806-7, but held without Sunud. The heir of the person to whom the second was granted has not appeared. No. 1 may be continued subject to report on lapse.

Nos. 3 and 4 are Service grants conferred on Village watchers and Vetteans as remuneration for their Services, and may be continued provided the duties are properly rendered.

Nos. 5 and 6 are held by the Servants of a Pagoda for the performance of religious ceremonies.

No. 6 is supported by two Sunuds of the original Shrotriemdar, dated 1814, and 1819 respectively. The Shrotriemdar had no right to alienate lands beyond his own tenure of the Shrotriem, and these grants are clearly voids now that the Shrotriem has passed into the possession of Government, the Board are not disposed to recommend that Government should adopt and continue the endowments, and would suggest that the lands be resumed on the death of the parties now holding them.

Nos. 7, 13, 15, 16, 17 and 19 are unclaimed.

No. 8 is a subsistence grant conferred by a Sunud in 1823 on the grandfather of the present holder, and may be continued during his life and then be resumed.

No. 9—a Mosque endowment does not appear to have been ever appropriated to any such object, but is at present held by the dispossessed Shrotriumdar.

No. 10. There is no evidence to shew that this Enam was given as dowry.

No. 11. The object of this grant is not known.

Nos. 12 and 14 are said to be subsistence grants held without any document.

No. 18 is a recent grant conferred in 1846 for lighting the village Pagoda.

No. 20 was granted in 1847 for Service rendered by the present holder's grandfather.

Nos. 22 and 23 are held by the dispossessed Shrotriumdar as grants from his predecessor.

3. The Enams Nos. 7, 13, 15, 16, 17 and 19 being unclaimed and Nos. 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 18, 20, 22 and 23 being recent unauthorized alienations, the Board support the Collector's recommendation for their being assessed to the revenue.

4. In Nos. 2 and 21 the Collector will again issue a notice that if the incumbents do not appear and establish their claims to these Enams within a fixed period, the lands will be resumed.

(By Order,)

(Signed) J. D. SIM, *Secretary.*

all the twenty

enams

Fort Saint George, Revenue Consultation of 15th February 1859.

No. 64. ORDER THEREON, No. 204; dated 15th February 1859.

1. The *Pudupálayam* Shrotryam in Trichinopoly, was resumed by order of Government dated 6th March 1858, No. 301, Revenue Department, for arrears of revenue. At the settlement following the resumption 23 claims were preferred to certain* lands as Ináms. These have been subsequently investigated and reported upon by the Collector; their proceedings above recorded contain the Board's recommendation as to the admission or rejection of each claim.

* C. G. 82 $14\frac{1}{16}$

2. The Governor in Council concurs with the Board that Nos. 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22 and 23 should be resumed; the claimants of those noted in the margin† not having appeared; and the rest being unauthorized and recent alienations; the Board justly remark that strictly speaking the resumption of the Shrotryam voids all the twenty three alienations by the late Shrotryamdár and his predecessors; but they are of opinion that in eight cases,‡ some consideration should be shewn to the holders.

† *Nos.* 7, 13, 15, 16, 17 *and* 19.

‡ *Nos.* 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 21.

3. No. 1 is held without any sanad, but it is entered in the accounts of Fusly 1206 (A. D. 1806-7), and the Board recommend that it should be continued subject to report on lapse. The Government assent to this course. It should have been distinctly stated whether the present holder is related to the registered incumbent, it is implied apparently that the individual registered, is the present Inámdár's great-grand-father.

Board, para. 2.

4. In the cases numbered 2 and 21 the claimants have not appeared; the Board's suggestion to fix a time, within which they must prove their claims on penalty of resumption, is approved, as far as No. 2 is concerned as it is supported by the accounts of Fusly 1216; but the Government do not see how No. 21 differs from Nos. 15, 16 and 17 which are also now unclaimed, and the resumption of which is proposed. The four should be similarly treated.

Para. 4.

5. The continuance of Nos. 3 and 4, is sanctioned, they forming the emoluments of the Vettiyáns and Kávalgárs. It is not clear how the official Mányams of the village *Police* establishment of which the Kávalgárs form a part, could have been affected by the resumption of the Shrotryam.

6. In cases 5, 6 and 8 the Government do not assent to the Board's recommendation for continuance. The first is a grant to a Pagoda, held entirely without document, and entered in no account; the second originated in 1814, being an alienation to a Pagoda by the original Shrotryamdár. The third is a so called charity grant, unsupported by the Public accounts; but is held by virtue of an old sanad for maintenance. The Government consider that these three grants may fairly be considered to have become void on the resumption of the Shotryam, and direct their resumption accordingly.

A. D. 1823.

7. The course pursued with reference to No. 2 will, it is presumed, be reported hereafter.

8. The Board should request Collectors always to give the year of the Christian era which corresponds to any Hijrí year or year of a Hindu cycle, which they may have occasion to mention.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,
Secretary to Government.

Rev Deptt.

No 204.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 15th February 1859.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings
of the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 28 Jan 1859 No 349.)

1. The Pudupálayam Shrotryam in
Trichinopoly, was resumed by order of
Govt dated 6 March 1858, No 301 R.D
for arrears of revenue. At the settle-
ment following the resumption 23 claims
were preferred to certain lands as
Ináms. These have been investigated
and reported on by the Collector; their proceedings
above recorded contain the Board's
recommendation as to the admission
or rejection of each claim.

C. G. 82. 14/16

2. The G. in C concurs with the Bd
that Nos 7. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16.
17. 18. 19. 20. 22 & 23 shd be resumed,
the claimants of those noted in the margin not having
appeared; and the rest
being unauthorized and recent
alienations; the Bd justly re-
mark that strictly speaking the
resumption of the Shrotryam voids
all the twenty three alienations

Nos 7. 13. 15. 16. 17 & 19.

Cons: 12th March/58 No. 40 & 47.
" 19th Aug. " " 30 & 31.

Revenue 1859 Sept.
Cons: 15th February

No 204.

Extract Mins of Cons:
D/ 15th February/59.

Pro: from the Bd of Revs
D/ 24th Feb'y/59 C.No. 320 inb:
From Do D/ 15th June/59.
C.No. 105 inb:

Passing orders on the Statement of lapsed Inams submitted by the Collector of Trichino: poly —

No 63 & 64.