15-7-40 II

M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 1764 Press ~~Mis.~~

62-63

Dated 24—12— 1859.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, for the month of December 1859.

No. 62. Read the following letter from C. PELLY, Esq., Member of the Board of Revenue; to J. D. BOURDILLON, Esq., Secretary to Government, dated 9th December 1859, No. 118.

From Collector, 1*st November* 1859, *No.* 118.
Do. 7*th* *do.* *No.* 130.
Do. 8*th* *do.* *No.* 133.
Do. 23*rd* *do.* *No.* 158.
Do. 25*th* *do.* *No.* 159.
Do. 12*th* *October* *No.* 72.
Map of Salem.
To Salem, dated 15*th November*, *No.* 87.
Do. *do.* 11*th November* 1859, *No.* 84.
Do. *do.* 3*rd* *do.* *do.* *No.* 72.
Do. *do.* 18*th* *October* *do.* *No.* 44.
From Chief Engineer, dated 9*th December* 1859, *No.* 186.

I have the honor to submit my plan for reducing the number of táluqs in the Salem district, which corresponds with the proposition of the acting Collector, Mr. T. Knox, whose letters on the subject are forwarded for perusal. In sending up the map of the táluqs it seems that the District Engineer adopted the areas found in the old accounts of the district, instead of calculating them afresh, and the consequence is that the areas given in this statement are found to be very incorrect; I have, however, had them calculated in the Chief Engineer's office.

2. Mr. Knox proposes to reduce the existing 14 táluqs to 10 in number. He observes (paragraph 3) that nature has divided the district of Salem into two parts by a range of hills, and that these two natural divisions of the country must be regarded. To the north of the line of hills there are at present 6 táluqs, which he proposes to make into 5, by uniting the 2 táluqs of Ussúr and Denkencotta; though he thinks the táluq will be too large. To the south of the line of hills there are 8 táluqs, but some of these may be combined and the number be reduced to 5 táluqs, by uniting the táluqs of Salem and Rázípúr together, transferring, however, a small portion of the former to Attúr táluq, and by uniting Sankerridrúg and Trichengode táluqs and Námkal and Paramutti táluqs.

3. The táluqs as proposed will then be as follows: the area is entered according to the calculation made in the Chief Engineer's office.

			Area.	Revenue.	Kasba.
1	Ussúr	Ussúr, Denkencotta	1,370	1,66,160	Ussúr.
2	Kistnagiri	Kistnagiri	651	1,16,721	Kistnagiri.
3	Tripatúr	Tripatúr	818	1,07,605	Tripatúr.
4	Darmapuri	Darmapuri	798	1,40,057	Darmapuri.
5	Tengaracotta	Tengaracotta	808	96,216	Tengaracotta.
6	Womalur	Womalur	300	1,31,165	Womalur.
7	Attúr	Attúr and part of Salem (say 100 miles.)	about 743	1,63,630	Attúr.
8	Salem	Salem and Rázípur	about 747	2,62,257	Salem.
9	Sankerridrúg	Sankerridrúg and Trichengode	632	2,98,375	Sankerridrúg.
10	Námkal	Namkál and Paramutty.	743	3,31,734	Namkál.

4. The arrangement proposed by the acting Collector may be adopted. Mr. Knox indeed says, he would have hesitated to propose less than 11 táluqs, as he considers the new táluq of Ussúr too large. It is the combined táluqs of Ussúr and Denkencotta. These two táluqs formerly were one, but were subdivided in 1821. Mr. Hathaway recommended their amalgamation to meet the present requisition. And it is to be observed that much of the táluq is forest, tolerably compact, and that the revenue paying villages are outside these forests, and the whole revenue is only 1,60,000 Rupees. The revenue charge with some assistance, if required, for magisterial work, will probably not be found to be too great. Mr. Knox mentions having transferred to Tripatúr 4 villages, situated within 4 miles of the kasba of Tripatúr, but belonging to Kistnagiri, from the kasba of which they are 30 miles distant. This arrangement may be confirmed. It will be also necessary to show the correct boundary of the Tengaracotta and Tripatúr táluqs which is not correctly laid down on the map.

Collector's letter, 23rd November.

Paragraph 4.

Paragraph 6.

Paragraph 5.

5. The Collectors of Salem and North Arcot will be consulted as to the expediency of taking a piece of North Arcot, near Vaniembady, in the Tripatúr táluq of Salem, and adding it to that táluq, but this will not interfere with the arrangements now proposed.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, for the month of December 1859.

No. 63. ORDER THEREON, 24th December 1859, No. 1764.

In the Revenue Secretary's memorandum of June last, it was suggested that the number of táluqs in the district of Salem might be reduced from fourteen to ten. In the letter now before Government, Mr. Pelly proposes to effect the reduction by the simple arrangement of uniting the táluqs of Ussúr and Denkencotta, of Salem and Rázípúr of Sankerridrúg and Trichengode and of Námkal and Paramatti. A part* of Salem, however, is to be transferred to the táluq of Attúr. The proposition corresponds with the plan proposed by the acting Collector Mr. Knox.

Order 17th June 1859 No. 803.

* (*The Peddinaiken Polliem.*)

2. The Government approve and sanction the proposed scheme, under which the táluqs will stand as follow—each being named after its kasba.

No.	New Táluqs.	Component parts.	Area.	Revenue.	Population.
1	Ussúr	Ussúr, Denkencotta	1,370	1,66,160	1,49,387
2	Kistnagiri	Kistnagiri	651	1,16,721	1,11,061
3	Tripatúr	Tripatúr	818	1,07,605	1,02,113
4	Darmapuri	Darmapuri	798	1,40,057	1,18,308
5	Tengaracotta	Tengaracotta	808	96,216	99,511
6	Womalur	Womalur	300	1,31,165	68,315
7	Attúr	Attúr and Peddinaiken polliem in Salem	About 743	1,63,630	97,500
8	Salem	Salem, Rázípúr	About 747	2,62,257	1,75,995
9	Sankerridrúg	Sankerridúg, Trichengode	632	2,98,375	1,66,367
10	Námkal	Námkal, Paramutti	743	3,31,734	1,79,643

3. In paragraph 4 of their order of this date No. 1763 on the plan for re-arranging the táluqs of Trichinopoly, the Government requested Mr. Pelly to consider, jointly with the Collectors of both districts and report whether it will be beneficial or not to transfer the western portion of the Musari táluq of Trichinopoly to the táluq of Námkal in Salem.

4. The Chief Engineer will point out to Captain Gahagan, District Engineer of Salem, his failure to obey the order of Government in not ascertaining and furnishing the Collector with the correct areas of the táluqs.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,
Secretary to Government.

work, will probably not be found to be too great. Mr Knox mentions having transferred
6 to Tripatur 4 Villages, situated within 4 miles of the Cusbah of Tripatur, but belonging to Kistnagherry, from the cusbah of which they are 30 miles distant. This arrangement may be confirmed. It will be also necessary to show the correct boundary of the Tengercottah and Tripatur Talúqs which is not correctly laid down on the Map.

5. The Collector of Salem and North Arcot will be consulted as to the expediency of taking a piece of North Arcot, near Vaniembady in the Tripatur Taluq of Salem, and adding it to that Taluq but this will not interfere with the arrangements now proposed.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
9th December 1859.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
Pelly
Member.

To J. D. Bourdillon Esq
Secretary to Government.
Revenue Deptt.

Proceedings of the Madras Government
under date the 24 Dec.r 59 Read the following letter

From C. Pelly Esqr. Member of the Board of Revenue
submitting a plan for reducing the number of Talugs in the Salem District to 10.

(Here enter 9 Decr 59 No 118)

Order 17 June 1859 No 803

To the Revr. Secys
Govt of the last, it
was suggested that
the number of Talugs in
the dist. of Salem might
be reduced from fourteen
to ten. To the letter now
before Govt, Mr Pelly
proposes to effect the
reduction by the simple
arrangement of uniting
the Talugs of Attur and
Denkencotta of Salem
and Rayakur of Sankeri-
drug and Tschengode
and Namkul & Paru-
matte of Salem,
however, to be annex-
ed to the Talug of
Attur. The proposition
corresponds with the
plan proposed by the Ag
Collr. of Trichy.

Redditions to Collrs

The

Copy of a Letter to Govt dated Madras 26th Novr 59 No 21.

Rec.d 15th Decr 1859
Read 21st Decr 1859
No 2308

From
The Secretary to the Board of Revenue
To
The Secretary to the Govt of Fort St George

Public Office
Dated 10 December 1859
Recd. Office 13 Dec. 59

No 1764

Referring to the Proceedings of Govt for reducing the number of Talugs in the Salem District to 10.

Dated 24 December 1859

No 1764. 24 Dec.r 59

Collr of Salem No 20.

2 The Govt. approve and sanction the proposed scheme, under which the Tālugs will stand as follows, each being named after its Kacha.

No.	New Talugs.	Component parts	Area	Revenue	Population.
1	Ussúr	Ussur, Denkencotta	1370	106160	149387
2	Krishnagiri	Krishnagiri	657	116721.	111061
3	Tripatúr	Tripatúr	818	107605	102113
4	Darmapuri	Darmapuri	798	140057	118308
5	Tengarcotta	Tengarcotta	878	96216	99571
6	Namakkur	Namakkur	300	13165	68315
7	Attúr	Attur, Rayapuram, Nallur, about 4 miles W. Salem	about 743	163630	97500.
8	Salem	Salem, Rasipúr	about 747	262257	175995
9	Sankenidrug	Sankenidrug, Trichengode	632	29875.	166367
10	Namkal	Namkal, Paramutte	743	331734.	179643

3 In para 2 of their order of this date No. on the plan for

Re-arranging the Tālugs of Trichinopoly the Govt. requested Mr. Kelly to consider, jointly with the Collectors of both districts & report whether it will be beneficial or not to transfer the western portion of the Mudasi Tālug of Trichy & the Tālug of Namkal in Salem.

4 The Ch. Engr. will point out to Capt. Sahagun, D.C. of Salem his failure to obey the orders of Govt. in furnishing the Collectorate with ascertaining the correct areas of the Tālugs

1 Copies C. Kelly Esq Dated 24th
5 — Bd of Rev.
10 — Ch. Engr.

Copy in a Letter to England
D/ 6th March/60 N. 21.

Revenue 1859 Deptt
Pro: of Govt. 31st Decr.

No. 1764.

Pro: of the Madras Govt.
D/ 24th Decr. /59.

From the Chief Engineer D/ 11
January/60 C. No 91 in Con
From the Offg Collr of Salem
D/ 10 January 1860 C. No 187
in Revs:

Passing orders on Mr
Kelly's proposal for the re-
arrangement of the Tolls
in Salem.

Pro- of the Board of Rev
8 December/65 C. No 3554

No. 62 & 63.

From Hy C Engr D/
10 June/62 Cons 1898

c/o Drawer No 20