M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No.	1721	Press ~~Mis.~~
94-95		
Dated	20—12—1859.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 94. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 7th December 1859, No. 5055.

Read the following letter from W. M. CADELL, Esq., Collector of Tanjur; to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort St. George, dated Vallam, 26th November 1859, No. 268.

As directed in the Board's endorsement on petition No. 1655 of 1859, I have the honor to submit the following report on the subject therein contained.

2. Petitioner states that he has $1\frac{1}{64}$ pangu or share of srotriyam land in the village noted in the margin,* where, according to the usage in force, the holdings of all Shrotriyamdars are cultivated in common by the tenants, the produce being divided according to their respective shares. This system being attended with inconvenience, from want of co-operation on the part of the shareholders themselves, and petitioner being thereby subjected to loss, he desires to have recourse to legal means, with a view to having his own share of land defined and made over to him.

* *Turangudi in the Pattucottai taluq.*

3. There is no sikka sanad forthcoming in support of this inam, but from the register of "Inams held without grant" and which is kept in this office, it appears that the village referred to, was, at the time of the register, (A. D. 1809,) in the enjoyment of thirty-six individuals and divided into twenty pangus.

	Pangu.
In petitioner's name	$\frac{3}{64}$
In the names of parties from whom the same was subsequently purchased	$\frac{1}{4}$
In the name of the party to whom petitioner had, at the time, mortgaged	$\frac{7}{16}$
	$1\frac{1}{64}$

	V.	M.	G.
Measurement	3	19	25
	Rs.	A.	P.
Assessment	100	10	0
Srotriyam Iodi now levied	5	2	0
Difference	95	8	0

4. Of these petitioner holds $1\frac{1}{64}$ pangu made up of $\frac{1}{4}$th obtained by inheritance (how not known,) and $\frac{4}{64}$ths acquired by purchase. At the time of the paimaish the former had been mortgaged to another party, and the latter had not been purchased by petitioner; thus no land whatever appears in that account in petitioner's name. In the Anubokam register of Fasli 1258, the land in question appears as per margin, where the assessment is also shewn.

5. Under the foregoing circumstances, and considering also that petitioner's object is simply to secure to himself the full benefit of the holding, which already belongs to him, I am of opinion that the permission sought, may be granted.

Submitted to Government, with the Board's recommendation, that, under the circumstances stated by the Collector, a certificate be granted to the petitioner, permitting him to prosecute in the Civil Courts his suit for the completion of the rights appertaining to the share of a *shrotriyam* village which is in his possession.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON, *Acting Secretary.*

No. 95. ORDER THEREON, 20th December 1859, No. 1721.

Under Section II of Regulation IV of 1831, the Government permit the petitioner Vírasámi Iyengar to sue in the Civil Courts to obtain separate possession of his share of the srotriyam village of Turangudi in the Pattucotai táluq of Tanjúr district.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,

Secretary to Government.

No. 5055.

PROCEEDINGS of the BOARD of REVENUE, dated 7th December 1859.

Read the following letter from W. M. CADELL, Esq., Collector of Tanjur, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort Saint George, dated Vallam, 26th November 1859, No. 268.

As directed in the Board's endorsement on petition No. 1655 of 1859, I have the honor to submit the following report on the subject therein contained.

2. Petitioner states that he has $1\frac{1}{64}$ pangu or share of srotriyam land in the village noted * Turangudi in the Pattucottai taluq. in the margin,* where, according to the usage in force, the holdings of all Shrotriyamdars are cultivated in common by the tenants, the produce being divided according to their respective shares. This system being attended with inconvenience, from want of co-operation on the part of the shareholders themselves, and petitioner being thereby subjected to loss, he desires to have recourse to legal means, with a view to having his own share of land defined and made over to him.

3. There is no sikka sanad forthcoming in support of this inam, but from the register of "Inams held without grant" and which is kept in this office, it appears that the village referred to, was, at the time of the register, (A. D. 1809,) in the enjoyment of thirty-six individuals and divided into twenty pangus.

	Pangu.
In petitioner's name	$\frac{37}{64}$
In the names of parties from whom the same was subsequently purchased	$\frac{1}{4}$
In the name of the party to whom petitioner had, at the time, mortgaged	$\frac{3}{16}$
	$1\frac{1}{64}$

	V.	M.	G.
Measurement	3	19	25
	Rs.	A.	P.
Assessment	100	10	0
Srotriyam Iodi now levied	5	2	0
Difference	95	8	0

4. Of these petitioner holds $1\frac{1}{64}$ pangu made up of $\frac{1}{4}$th obtained by inheritance (how not known,) and $\frac{9}{64}$ths acquired by purchase. At the time of the puimaish the former had been mortgaged to another party, and the latter had not been purchased by petitioner; thus no land whatever appears in that account in petitioner's name. In the Anubokam register of Fasli 1258, the land in question appears as per margin, where the assessment is also shewn.

5. Under the foregoing circumstances, and considering also that petitioner's object is simply to secure to himself the full benefit of the holding, which already belongs to him, I am of opinion that the permission sought, may be granted.

Submitted to Government, with the Board's recommendation, that, under the circumstances stated by the Collector, a certificate be granted to the petitioner, permitting him to prosecute in the Civil Courts his suit for the completion of the rights appertaining to the share of a *shrotriyam* village which is in his possession.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Acting Secretary.

To

J. D. BOURDILLON, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to Collector of Tanjur.

Exd. J, Wright.

Revenue 1859 Departt.
Pro: of Govt. No. 2340 20th Decr.

Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue.

Dated 7th December
Despatched 13 1859.
Received 14 9-1-60
E.I.-Ors

No. 5055.

Submitting with the Board's recommendation a letter from the Collector of Tanjore reporting that the permission sought by the Petitioner in No. 1655 of 1859 to prosecute in the Civil Courts his suit for the completion of his right to the share of a Shrotriem village which is in his possession, may be granted to him -

Ct. 1721. 20th December

Withdrawn Under

No. 94 & 95.

No. 1721.

Under Section II of Regulation II of 1831, the Government permit the Petitioner Venkatasami Iyengar to sue in the Civil Courts to obtain separate possession of his share of the Shotriem village of Tirunangudi in the Pattucotai taluq of Tanjore district.

For & to Govt.
20 Dec 1859

To Copies to the Bd. of Revenue Dispatched 21 Dec
To Do. Depositions Do.