

Revenue Department

No 166

Dated 5-2-1859

KK
166

Fort Saint George, Revenue Consultation of 8th February 1859.

No. 53. Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 27th January 1859, No. 290.

Read letter from the Collector of Tanjore, dated 29th December 1858, No. 278.

(In Con. 3rd January 1859, No. 11.)

In Con. 18th May 1858. Resolved that the above letter be submitted to Government with reference to Extract Minutes Consultation, dated 7th May 1858, R. D., No. 605.

2. The Board concur in opinion with the Collector that under the circumstances now brought to notice, there does not exist any necessity for the resumption of the Porumboke land in excess of the extent entered in the original grant, which seems to have excluded Porumboke while the villages were granted entire.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON, *Sub-Secretary.*

No. 54. ORDER THEREON, No. 166; dated 8th February 1859.

Ordered that the foregoing Extract be recorded.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,
Secretary to Government.

o. 11.

From H. D. PHILLIPS Esq., Collector of TANJORE,

dated Vullum, 29th December 1858. No. 278.

1. Adverting to paragraph 2 of an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 7th May 1858, No. 605, passing orders on petition No. 2023, Mautty and Malayappa Nellore. of 1857, reported on in my letter of the 4th March preceding:

I have the honor to state that the two villages in question appear from the terms of the grant to have been bestowed entire, on Survamaniam tenure, without any limit as to extent.

2. In my letter of the 4th March last, I thought it desirable that the Board should know how much land the villages comprised and therefore appended a marginal abstract. This abstract seems to have induced the supposition that V. 17 M. 17 were enjoyed in excess of the grant. Such, however, is not the case, being Porumboke, the V. 17 M. 17 were not entered in the palace accounts, but appear in the register of the Collectors Office of 1810 as an item of information to be recorded. There would thus seem to be no excess above the grant which can fairly be resumed.

157/59

N 53 Pen Huzen

No. 290.

EXTRACT from the PROCEEDINGS of the BOARD of REVENUE, dated 27th January 1859.
Read letter from the Collector of Tanjore, dated 29th December 1858, No. 278.
(In Con. 3rd January 1859, No. 11.)

Resolved that the above letter be submitted to Government with reference to Extract Minutes
In Con. 18th May 1858. Consultation, dated 7th May 1858, R. D., No. 605.

2. The Board concur in opinion with the Collector that under the circumstances now brought to notice, there does not exist any necessity for the resumption of the Porumboke land in excess of the extent entered in the original grant, which seems to have excluded Porumboke, while the Villages were granted entire.

(A true Extract.)

18/1 *Handwritten signature*

To

J. D. BOURDILLON, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.
Copy to Collector of Tanjore.

Sub Secretary

*N 57, Oran Huzen N 166 dated
8th Jan /59*

*Oran Huzen N 166 dated
1/10/1858
S. H. H.*

Cons. 11th May/58-1-43-14.
or 13th April/58-1-2

Pennings 1859 No 1st
Cons. 8th February

12452

Abstract from the Proc. of the
of the House of Commons

Patent 2nd 3rd day 1854
March 2nd 3rd

1st March 1854

It

Substantially with the
House of Commons a Bill from
the Committee of Enquiry of
pending investigation into the
with the House of Commons
reference to the Act, which is
of 1st May last.

1854

Recorded

8th Feb/59

24th Aug 1881

1854