M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1575 Press ~~Mis.~~

72-73

Dated November 19, 1859.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 72. Read the following letter from C. PELLY, Esq., Member of the Board of Revenue; to J. D. BOURDILLON, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated 25th October 1859, No. 54.

I have the honor to submit for the orders of Government (accompanied by the correspondence* between the Collector and myself) a scheme for reducing the present number of táluqs in the District of Canara.

* *From Collector of Canara dated 24th Sept.* 1859, *No.* 61.
To ,, ,, *1st Oct.* ,, ,, 38.
From ,, ,, *10th Aug.* ,, ,, 77.
From ,, ,, *11th* ,, ,, ,, 85.

2. The number of táluqs at present is 13. In Mr. Bourdillon's Memorandum 10 were suggested. The Collector Mr. Fisher in the first instance proposed, though reluctantly, to reduce the number to 11 only. It occurred to me that with the aid of Deputy Tahsildars the number of táluqs might be confined to 8 táluqs, or even to 7, by not observing the distinction between North and South Canara (or the Zillahs of Honóre and Mangalúr). But with reference to this last scheme Mr. Fisher has shown a conclusive reason for keeping the two Zillahs distinct. He observes that "the division of North and South Canara is marked by distinct languages, and customs, which together differ more than those of any two neighbouring districts in Southern India; the one is a Canarese country, where property descends from father to son; the other is known as Tuluwa, and with the bulk of the population succession is all in the female line." I have therefore abandoned the plan of amalgamation of táluqs which would destroy the present and ancient division of North and South Canara; and it will not be further noticed.

Letter from Collector dated 24th Sept. 1859.

See remarks of Collector of Canara in Memorandum from Mr. Pelly p. 7 *Encl. No.* 2 *in letter dated* 10*th Oct.* 1859.

3. The other plan proposed by me to Mr. Fisher allowed 4 táluqs for North, and 4 táluqs for South Canara, in all 8 instead of 13 as now existing. To this plan Mr. Fisher very decidedly objects, and his arguments are strong against so great a reduction. The mountainous feature of the country intersected by numerous and impassable streams, the nature of land tenures, the want of a survey, the constant necessity of resorting to Regulation V. of 1822 for settling claims between landlord and tenants, and other numberless land disputes which require the time and attention of the Tahsildar are urged, with much truth, as reasons for not giving a too unwieldy revenue charge to the Tahsildars of Canara.

See Collr's. letter of 24th Sept. and 10th October 1859.

4. But Mr. Fisher has consented, yet unwillingly, to make a slight modification in his first proposal, by which he reduces the number of Táluqs to 10, the number proposed at first in Mr. Bourdillon's Memorandum.

5. In the map No. 1, are shown the present boundaries of the 13 táluqs. In map No. 2 the new boundaries of the 11 táluqs first proposed by Mr. Fisher are sketched. These boundaries and the areas given are not considered correct, but only approximately so; and a re-survey will be necessary to ascertain the areas and boundaries with accuracy.

Enclosures 1 *and* 2 *in letter dated 24th Sept.* 1859.

Letter 24th Sept. paras. 1 *to* 4.

6. Mr. Fisher's proposition for the Northern Division of the district was, to add the Northern part of the Yellapúr Táluq to Súpa; the western portion of the same táluq, which lies below the Ghâts, he added to Ankóla; and joined the northern part of the Sunda táluq to the remainder of Yellapúr; and absorbed the táluq of Belgi, by uniting it with the larger and Southern portion of Sunda. The two táluqs of Honóre and Kundapúr he left as they were.

7. In South Canara the change proposed, was to absorb the Udipi táluq, by distributing its villages between the 3 táluqs of Mangalúr, Bárkúr and Bantwal.

8. The 11 new Táluqs would stand thus:

	Name.	Area in sq. miles.	Population.	Revenue.
1	Súpa..	1,126	47,611	60,777
2	Yellapúr.	688	40,475	66,490
3	Sircy (or Sunda)	775	87,758	1,78,103
4	Ankóla..	746	93,114	1,36,800
5	Honóre	457	1,09,867	1,90,062
6	Kundapúr.	525	1,04,511	2,32,800
7	Bárkúr	725	1,47,146	3,02 684
8	Mangalúr	279	1,51,869	2,61,506
9	Bantwál...	734	1,14,651	1,90,933
10	Putúr...	914	77,735	1,13,796
11	Békal	827	1,52,201	2,03,716
		7,796	11,26,938	19,37,667

See paras. 23 *to* 27 *of remarks in enclosure No.* 2 *of letter dated* 10*th October.*

9. Mr. Fisher is of opinion that no better arrangement than the foregoing can be made, but if a further reduction is deemed absolutely necessary he proposes a modification of his first proposition in South Canara only. His plan is to absorb the Bantwal táluq, by making over some of the Mauganies, or small sub-divisions, of the táluq of Putúr to Békal, transferring the eastern portion of Bantwal to Putúr; and uniting the Western portion to Mangalúr; and making Udipi and Bárkúr one táluq, as was the case many years ago. This arrangement is shown on another piece map. The táluqs

See map No. 2 *Enclosure No.* 3 *in letter dated* 10*th October.*

would then be ten as follows:—

North Canara. { 1 Súpa. 2 Yellapúr. 3 Sircy. 4 Ankóla. 5 Honóre. 6 Kundapúr.

South Canara. { 7 Bárkúr. 8 Mangalúr. 9 Putúr. 10 Békal.

10. The proposition that was suggested by myself divided the district into 8 táluqs only. But Mr. Fisher is so decidedly of opinion that it will not be feasible for the Tahsildars to discharge their duties properly with such large táluqs in a District like Canara, that I am not prepared to recommend my proposition for the sanction of Government. It would have made the táluqs as follows: (the area and revenue might require some correction).

	Former Táluqs.	New Táluqs.	Area.	Rev. about.
North Canara.	Súpa and part of Yellapúr.....	1 Yellapúr.....	1,443	1,00,000
	Sunda and Bilgi.....	2 Sircy.....	1,245	2,30,300
	Honóre Ankóla and part of Yellapúr.....	3 Honóre.....	1,203	3,26,862
	Kundapúr	4 Kundapúr...	525	2,32,800
South Canara.	Udipi and Bárkúr.....	5 Udipi or Bárkur...	883	3,80,000
	Mangalúr and Bantwál.....	6 Mangalúr.....	854	4,30,000
	Putúr.....	7 Putúr.....	914	1,13,796
	Békal...	8 Békal.....	827	2,03,716

11. It will be seen that my proposition for South Canara contains 4 táluqs, the number now assented to by Mr. Fisher, though the boundaries have been judiciously modified by him. In North Canara the chief obstacle to carrying out my proposition is the inexpediency of uniting Súpa with the whole of Yellapúr (above the Ghâts.) The two táluqs were formerly united and the revenue together is only about a lac of Rupees, but being separated by the black river, which forms a serious impediment to communication,

See remarks enclosure; No. 2 *in letter* 10*th October paras.* 11 *to* 14.

Mr. Fisher says "Yellapúr should certainly not be again connected with Súpa." If these two táluqs could be conveniently united, Mr. Fisher admits that the proposed union of Sunda and Bilgi would have been a better arrangement than that proposed by him. I believe therefore I must acquiesce in Mr. Fisher's plan of making three instead of two Táluqs

out of the four now existing, especially as the division of Súpa and Yellapúr was authorized by Government only so late as 1857 [See Minutes Consultation 5th August 1856, No. 808 and 28th January 1857, No. 107]. The other three táluqs Ankóla, Honóre, and Kundapúr are already fair sized táluqs; but were it not for the general reasons, already noticed, urged so strongly by Mr. Fisher for not enlarging the charge of his Tahsildars they probably could have been formed into the two táluqs suggested by me.

12. Mr. Fisher requested me to consult some gentlemen well acquainted with Canara, among others Mr. E. Maltby, Member, and Mr. Hudleston Acting Secretary of the Board of Revenue. Both gentlemen are generally opposed to the plan of enlarging the Táluqs of Canara, but as some reduction must of necessity be made, Mr. Maltby would limit the changes, 1st, to re-uniting Yellapúr and Súpa (this Mr. Fisher objects strongly to) making over the villages below the Ghâts to Ankóla (that is already agreed to by all); and 2ndly to joining Sunda and Bilgi giving the latter a Deputy Tahsildar. He would regret any alteration in the large táluqs of Canara below the Ghâts.

13. Mr. Hudleston prefers Mr. Fisher's first plan of 11 táluqs. He thinks with Mr. Fisher that it is objectionable to reduce the táluqs above the Ghâts, *i. e.* Súpa, Yellapúr, Sunda and Bilgi to less than 3 táluqs.

14. Taking into consideration the objections to re-uniting the táluqs of Súpa and Yellapúr, I would recommend that there be three táluqs above the Ghâts, but considering the very small size of the present táluq of Mangalúr below the Ghâts, I do not think the second arrangement proposed by Mr. Fisher of enlarging that táluq and so making 4 táluqs in South Canara, need be set aside, I beg accordingly to recommend for the consideration of Government the scheme of ten táluqs noticed in para. 9 of this letter, as the future division of the Canara District as follows:

Táluqs proposed for the Canara District (see enclosure No. 1 in letter from Collector dated 20th October 1859).

No.	Names of the new Táluqs.			Area.	Average Revenue of three years from Fusly 1265 to 1267.
			Kasbas.	Square Miles.	Rupees.
South Canara. North Canara. 1	Súpa...	Above Ghát.	Súpa	1,127	60,777
2	Yellapúr		Yellapúr	668¾	66,490
3	Sircy...		Sircy	775½	1,78,103
4	Ankóla...		Ankóla	746	1,36,800
5	Honóre	Below Ghát.	Honóre	457	1,90,062
6	Kundapúr		Kundapúr ...	525¾	2,32,800
7	Bárkúr		Udipi	875½	3,67,736
8	Mangalúr		Mangalúr... ...	598¾	3,31,427
9	Putúr...		Upin-angáddi ...	1,078¼	1,41,755
10	Békal		Kassergóde... ...	929	2,31,717
				7,781½	19,37,667

It is not proposed to change any of the Kasbas except that of Putúr, which Mr. Fisher thinks will be better placed at Upin-angáddi; Udipi Bárkur táluq should perhaps be called Udipi táluq as Udipi is to be the Kasba.

15. The táluqs now proposed will be found sketched in the Map marked A for the six North Canara; and on the Map marked B for the four South Canara táluqs. The Collector will be directed to prepare a complete Map, if the arrangement is approved of by Government.

No. 73. ORDER THEREON, 19th November 1859, No. 1575.

1. In this letter Mr. Pelly reports, in pursuance of the order of 17th June 1859, No. 803, respecting the re-arrangement of the táluqs of Canara.

2. The present number of táluqs is thirteen. In the Revenue Secretary's Memorandum of the 4th June 1859, it was proposed to reduce it to ten.* Mr. Pelly thought at first that it might be reduced to eight, or even to seven by uniting a táluq of South to one of North Canara. Mr. Fisher the Collector, however, was decidedly opposed to that plan as making the táluqs too large; and in respect to the proposal to ignore the division between North and South Canara, he advanced so strong an argument against it, drawn from the difference of language, race, and social customs, that Mr. Pelly at once abandoned his own views.

* *See table in margin of para.* 17.

3. Mr. Fisher's counter proposition was to reduce the number of táluqs from thirteen to eleven, by simply absorbing Bilgi in North and Udipi in South Canara. In case a further reduction should be absolutely required, he signified his consent to abolishing one táluq more by absorbing Bantwál also in South Canara. He was opposed to any further reduction in the number of táluqs in North Canara. This plan is that ultimately agreed to, and now recommended, by Mr. Pelly. It gives six táluqs to North and four to South Canara as follows:

	New Táluqs.	How composed.	Kasbas.	Area.	Average Revenue.
				Sq. miles.	Rupees.
North Canara.	Súpa	Súpa	Súpa	1,127	60,777
	Yellapúr	East portion of Yellapúr, or that above the Ghâts, and north portion of Sunda	Yellapúr	669	66,490
	Sircy	South portion of Sunda and the whole of Bilgi	Sircy	775	1,78,103
	Ankóla	Ankóla, and west portion of Yellapúr or that below the Ghâts.	Ankóla	746	1,36,800
	Honóre	Honóre	Honóre	457	1,90,062
	Kundapúr	Kundapúr	Kundapúr	526	2,32,800
				4,300	8,65,032
South Canara.	Udipi	Bárkúr and Udipi	Udipi	875	3,67,736
	Mangalúr	Mangalúr and west portion of Bantwál	Mangalúr	599	3,31,427
	Putúr	East portion of Bantwál and the greater part of Putúr	Upin-angáddi	1,078	1,41,755
	Békal	Békal and a few sub-divisions in the west of Putúr	Kassergóde	929	2,31,717
				3,481	10,72,635
			Grand Total	7,781	19,37,667

4. For the reasons stated by Mr. Pelly in paras. 11 to 14 of his letter, the Governor in Council approves and confirms this arrangement. The detailed adjustment of boundaries will however be left to be made by the Collector, with the aid of the District Engineer when required. The táluq boundary will of course in every case follow the boundaries of villages. The changes in the táluqs now sanctioned will, it is observed, in no way affect existing arrangements in the Judicial Department.

5. The Government concur with Mr. Pelly that the new táluq composed of Udipi and Bárkúr should take its name from its Kasba, and be called the Udipi táluq.

6. They also direct that the District Engineer shall be called on to prepare a skeleton map of the district under the new distribution, as soon as that shall be completed in detail; or rather two separate maps, one of South, and the other of North Canara, as these two divisions are about to be constituted into separate Districts. A copy of each of these maps should be submitted for the information of Government.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,
Secretary to Government.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
25th October 1859.

No 54

From C Pelly Esqre
Member of the Board of Revenue

To
J D Bourdillon Esqre
Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

Sir

I have the honor to submit for the orders of Government (accompanied by the correspondence between the Collector and myself) a scheme for reducing the present number of Talugs in the District of Canara.

2 The number of Talugs at present is 13 - The Mr Bourdillon's Memo: 10 were suggested. The Collector Mr Fisher in the first instance proposed, though reluctantly, to reduce the number to 11 only. It occurred to me that with the aid of Deputy Tahsildars the number of Talugs might be confined to 8 Talugs, or even to 7, by not observing the distinction between North & South Canara (or the Zillahs of Honore

Revenue 1856 Dec 24th
No 4 Fort St George 24th December

No 1976

From Collector Canara 24th Sept 1859 No 61
Do Do 24th Oct 1859 No 38
Do Do 24th Aug 1859 No 77
Do Do 1855

No 2119 Revenue Department 24th December 1859

Revenue Office
Board's proceedings
Read and recorded
Fort St George
25th December 1859

No 1978 - 79

Honore and Mangalore). But with reference to this last Scheme Mr. Fisher has shown a conclusive reasons for keeping the two Zillahs distinct. He observes that "the division of North and South Canara is marked by distinct languages and customs which together differ more than those of any two neighbouring Districts in Southern India; the one is a Canarese Country where property descends from Father to Son; the other is known as Tuluwa, and with the bulk of the population succession is all in the female line." I have therefore abandoned the plan of amalgamation of Talluqs which would destroy the present and ancient division of North and South Canara, and it will not be further noticed.

See Remarks of Collector of Canara in Mem from Mr Elliot to Commr of Revenue dated 10th October 1859

3 The other Plan proposed by me to Mr. Fisher allowed 4 Talookes for North, and 9 Talookes for South Canara, in all 8 instead of 13 as now existing. To this plan Mr. Fisher very decidedly objects, & his arguments are strong against so

so great a peculiarity - the mountainous feature of the Country intersected by numerous and impassable Streams, the nature of Land-tenures, the want of a Survey, the constant necessity of resorting to Regulation of 1822 for Settling Claims between Land Lord and Tenants, and other numberless Land disputes which require the time & attention of the Tahsildas are urged, with much truth, as reasons for not giving a too unwieldy revenue charge to the Tahsildars of Canara -

See Colls letter of 24th Septr & 10th Octr 1859.

4 But Mr. Fisher has consented, yet unwillingly, to make a slight modification in his first proposal, by which he reduces the number of Talugs to 10 the number proposed at first in Mr Bourdillon's Memo.

Enclosures 1 & 2 in letter dated 24th Septr 1859

5 In the Maps No 1, are shown the present boundaries of the 13 Talookes -

In

In Map № 2 the new boundaries of the 11 Talooks first proposed by Mr. Fisher are sketched. These boundaries and the areas given are not considered correct but only approximately so, and a resurvey will be necessary to ascertain the areas and boundaries with accuracy.

6. Mr Fisher's proposition for the Northern Division of the District was, to add the Northern part of the Yellapoor Taluq to Soopah, the western portion of the same Taluq, which lies below the Ghats, to be added to Ancola, and joined the northern part of the Soondah Taluq to the remainder of Yellapoor, and absorbed

dated 24th Sept.

absorbed the Taluq of Belghy, by uniting it with the larger and southern portion of Soondah.

The two Taluqs of Honore and Coondapore be left as they were

7. In both Canara the changes proposed, were to absorb the Coupon Taluq, by distributing its Villages between the 3 Taluqs of Mangalore, Barcoor and Buntwal.

8. The 11 New Taluqs would stand thus:

Name	Area in Sq. miles	Population	Revenue
1 Soopah	1126	47,611	60,777
2 Yellapore	688	40,575	66,490
3 Sirsy (or Soondah)	775	87,758	178,103
4 Ancola	746	93,114	136,800
5. Honore	457	109,867	190,062
6 Coondapore	525	104,511	232,800
7 Barcoor	725	147,146	302,684
8 Mangalore	279	161,869	261,506
9 Buntwal	734	114,657	190,933
10 Puttur	914	77,735	113,796
11 Bekul	827	152,201	203,716
	7,796	1,126,938	1,937,667

MB

9. Mr Fisher is of opinion that no better arrangement than the foregoing can be made, but if a further reduction is deemed absolutely necessary he proposes a modification of his first proposition in South Canara only. His Plan is to absorb the Buntwal Taluq, by making over some of the Mangaries, or small sub-divisions, of the Taluq of Puttur to Beltal, transferring the Eastern portion of Buntwal to Puttur, and uniting the Western portion to Mangalore, and making Oodipee and Barcoor one Taluq, as was the case many years ago. This arrangement is shown on another piece map. The Taluqs would then be ten as follows:-

See pa. 23 & 24 of Remarks in Encl. No 2 of letter dated 10th Oct.

See Sketch No 2 Encl. No 3 in letter dated 15th October.

North Canara	1 Soopah	2 Yellapoor
	3 Sircy	4 Ancola
	5 Honore	6 Cundapoor
South Canara	7 Barcoor	8 Mangalore
	9 Puttur	10 Beltul

The

10. The proposition that was suggested by myself divided the district into 8 Taluqs only. But Mr Fisher is so decidedly of opinion that it will not be possible for the Tahsildars to discharge their duties properly with such large Taluqs in a District like Canara that I am not prepared to recommend my proposition for the sanction of Government. It would have made the Taluqs as follows: (the area and revenue might require some correction)

	Former Taluqs.	New Taluqs.	Area.	Rawa, Abouh.
North Canara	Soopah & part of Yellapore	1 Yellapore	1403	100,000
	Soudah & Bilghy	2 Sircy	1245	230,000
	Honore Ancola and part of Yellapore	3 Honore	1203	326,862
	Cundapore	4 Cundapore	525	232,800
South Canara	Oodipy and Barcoor	5 Oodipy or Barcoor	883	380,000
	Mangalore & Buntwala	6 Mangalore	854	430,000
	Puttur	7 Puttur	914	113796
	Beltul	8 Beltul	827	203716

It

11. It will be seen that my proposition for South Canara contains 4 Talugs, the number now assented to by Mr Fisher, though the boundaries have been judiciously modified by him. In South Canara the chief obstacle to carrying out my proposition is the inexpediency of uniting Soopah with the whole of Yellapore (above the Ghats). The two Talugs were formerly united and the revenue together is only about a lac of rupees - but being separated by the Black River, which forms a serious impediment to communication, Mr Fisher says "Yellapore should certainly not be again connected with Soopah". If these two Talugs could be conveniently united, Mr Fisher admits that the proposed union of Sunda and Bilgy would have been a better arrangement than that proposed by him. His time therefore I must acquiesce in".

Mr

See Remarks Encl 2 in Letter 10th Oct. p. 11 & 12.

Mr Fishers plan of making three instead of two Talooks out of the four now existing especially as the division of Soopah and Yellapore was authorized by Government only so late as 1857 [See Minutes Consultation 5th August 1856, No 805 and 28th January 1857 No 107] The other three Talugs Ancola, Honore, and Coondapore are already four sepd Talooks, but were it not for the general reasons already noticed, urged so strongly by Mr Fisher for not enlarging the charge of his Tahsildars they probably could have been formed into the two Talooks suggested by me.

12. Mr Fisher requested me to consult some gentlemen well acquainted with Canara among others Mr D. Maltby Member and Mr Thelleston Acting Secretary of the Board of Revenue. Both gentlemen are generally opposed to the plan of enlarging the Talooks of Canara, but as some reduction must of necessity be made, Mr Maltby would limit the changes 1st to uniting Yellapore and Soopah (these Mr Fisher objects strongly to

strongly to) marking over the villages below the Ghauts to Ancola (that is already agreed to by all) And 2dly to joining Soonda and Bilghy giving the latter a Deputy Tahsildar. He would regret any alteration in the large Talooks of Canara below the Ghauts.

13 Mr Thackeray prefers Mr Fisher's first plan of 11 Talooks. He thinks with Mr Fisher that it is objectionable to recruse the Talooks above the Ghauts i.e. Soopah Yellapore Sirsee and Bilghy to less than 3 Talooks.

14 Taking into consideration the objections to remitting the Talooks of Soopa and Yellapore, I would recommend that there be three Talooks above the Ghauts but considering the very small size of the present Talook of Mangalore below the Ghauts, I do not think the second arrangement proposed by Mr Fisher of increasing that Talook and so making to large Talooks in South Canara, must be set aside. I may accordingly to recommend for the

the consideration of Government the Sobrance of ten Talooks noticed in Para 9. of this letter, as the future division of the Canara District as follows

Talooks proposed for the Canara District (See Enclosure No 1 in letter from Collector dated 20th October 1854)

No	Names of the new Talooks		Area	Average Revenue of three years from Fusly 1265 to 1267
		Ghauts	Sq. Miles	Rupees
1	Soopah	Soopah	112	60,777
2	Yellapore	Yellapore	668¾	66,490
3	Sirsey	Sirsey	775½	178,103
4	Ankola	Ankola	746	136,800
5	Honore	Honore	157	190,062
6	Cundapore	Cundapore	525¾	232,800
7	Barcoor	Coorpee	875½	367,736
8	Mangalore	Mangalore	598¾	334427
9	Pittoor	Coopmanjoor	1078¼	441755
10	Bekal	Cossergode	929	231717
			7801½	1937667
			7781½	

Sea Ports (Canara) Inland Talooks / Belaw Ghauts

It is not proposed to change any of the Talooks except that of Pittoor, which Mr Fisher thinks will be better placed at Coopmanjoor, the Coodipee Barcoor Talook should perhaps be called Coodipee Talook as Coodipee is to be the Cusbah.

15 The Talooks now proposed will be found

found sketched in the Map marked A for the
side North Canora, and on the Map marked
B for the four South Canara, Patiyo. The
Collector will be directed to prepare a Complete
Map, if the arrangement is approved of by
Government.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obed.t Servant
Lecy

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
25th October 1859.

Copy in a Dr. to C.
8/3d. Dec.r 1859 No 75.

Revenue 1859 Depart.
Pres: of Govt. 19th Nov.r

No 1967

From

C. Pelly Esq
Member of the
Board of Revenue

To

The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

Dated 25th
Desp. 26th } October 1859.
Rec.d 26th

1 Enclo. Returned 6-1-60
The Sketch [illegible]
[illegible]

No 1576. 19th November

Enclosures.

Submitting for the orders of Government a Scheme for reducing the present number of Tahsils in the Canara District.

No 72.

3a

Copy in a letter from
Collector of Canara
to the Board of Revenue
dated 25th Oct.r 1859. No 75.

Canara Collectorate
Cundapoor 25th October 1859.

P 19th/59

MYSORE.

COORG

MALABAR

Sketch

Shewing the positions of the Southern Polams
Mountains & Passes
of Canara
subject to the British Court of Malligatore.

Malligatore 18th October 1793

Collector

Copy in a Letter to C
d/ 3d. Decr. 1859. N: 75

Enclosure No 5
in a letter from
the Collr. of Canara
d/ 10th Octr. 59 No 77

R 19/7/59

Copy in a Letter to England
dated 5th December 59 No 75.

Revenue 1859 Dep.te
Pro.s of Govt. Nov.r

No. 1575

Pro.s of the Madras
Government
Dated 19th Nov.r 1859

Revising Mr. Pelly's
Report respecting re-ar-
-rangement of Talugs in
Canara.

No. 92-73

R.D.

No. 1575

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date 18th Nov.r 1859. No 1575

Read the following letter
from C. Pelly Esq.re Member
of the Board of Revenue

Submitting for the orders of Go-
-vernment a scheme for reducing
the present number of talugs
in the Canara District.

(Here enter 25th Oct.r 1859 No 54)

1. In this letter Mr. Pelly reports, in pursuance of the or-
-der of 17th June 1859 No. 803, respect-
-ing the re-arrangement of the talugs of Canara.

2. The present number of talugs is thirteen. In the Revenue Secretary's Memoran-
-dum of the 4th June 1859, it was proposed to reduce it to ten. Mr. Pelly thought at first that it might be reduced to eight, or even to seven by uniting a talug of South to one of North Canara. Mr. Fisher the Collector, however, was decidedly opposed to that plan

+ See table in margin of para 17.

plan as making the talugs too large; and in respect to the proposal to ignore the division between North and South Canara, he advanced so strong an argument against it, drawn from the difference of language, race, and social customs, that Mr. Pelly at once abandoned his own views.

3. Mr. Fisher's counter proposition was to reduce the number of talugs from thirteen to eleven, by simply absorbing Bilgi in North and Udipi in South Canara. In case a further reduction should be absolutely required, he signified his consent to abolishing one talug more by absorbing Bantwál also in South Canara. He was opposed to any further reduction in the number of talugs in North Canara. This plan is that ultimately agreed to, and now recommended.

by Mr. Pelly. It gives six talugs to North and four to South Canara as follows.

	New talugs	How composed	Kasbas	Area	Average Revenue
				Square miles	Rupees
North Canara	Súpa	Súpa	Súpa	1,127	60,777.
	Yellapúr	East portion of Yellapúr, or that above the ghâts, & north portion of Sunda	Yellapúr	669	66,490.
	Sircy	South portion of Sunda and the whole of Bilgi	Sircy	775	1,78,103.
	Anköla	Ankóla, and west portion of Yellapúr or that below the ghâts	Ankóla	746	1,36,800.
	Honóre	Honóre	Honóre	457	1,90,062.
	Kundapúr	Kundapúr	Kundapúr	526	2,32,800.
				4,300	8,65,032
South Canara	Udipi	Bárkúr & Udipi	Udipi	875	3,67,736.
	Mangalúr	Mangalúr and west portion of Bantwál	Mangalúr	599	3,31,427.
	Putúr	East portion of Bantwál and the greater part of Putúr	Upin-angádi	1,078	1,41,755
	Békal	Békal and a few subdivisions in the west of Putúr	Kassergód	929	2,31,717
				3,481	10,72,635
			Grand total	7,781	19,37,667

4. For the reasons stated by Mr. Pelly in paras 11 to 14 of

of his letter, the Governor in Council approves and confirms this arrangement. The detached adjustment of boundaries will however be left to be made by the Collector, with the aid of the District Engineer when required. The taluq boundary will of course in every case follow the boundaries of villages. The changes in the tálugs now sanctioned will, it is observed, in no way affect existing arrangements in the judicial department.

5. The Government concur with Mr. Pelly that the new tálug composed of Udipi and Bárkúr should take its name from its Kasba, and be called the Udipi tálug.

6. They also direct that the District Engineer shall be called on to prepare a ribbon map

map of the district under the new distribution, as soon as that shall be completed in detail; or rather two separate maps, one of South, and the other of North Canara, as these two divisions are about to be constituted into separate Districts. A copy of each of these maps should be submitted for the information of Government.

J. S. A.

3 Copies. To C. Pelly Esq.re Dipt.t 21st
6 " " Board of Revenue
10 " " Chief Engineer

Copy to a Letter to Govt

Copy in a Ltr. to Govt
of 3d Decr. 1859 No. 75

Revenue 1859 Departt.
Pro: of Govt. 20th Novr.

No. 1575.

To
Pro: of the Madras Govt.
of 19th November 1859.

From the Chief Secy to Govt of Bl. 6th Decr. 59.
No. 136.

From the Secy to the Bd of Rev.
of 12th December 59 Cons. 2378
in Cons:
Pro of the Madras Govt of 9th Octr.
1863 Cons. No. 2451—

Reviewing Mr. Tellys
Report respecting the re-ar-
rangement of the Teliga-vi.
Canara.

From the Rly Bd. 13th Sept
1860. Cons. 1966 in Pro. 9th Octr
No. 1875

No. 72 & 73.

Pro Public Works Dept. 27
22 June 65 Cons. 1693 in