M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1106

Press
Mis.

Dated 19th August 1859

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, from 13th to 19th August 1859.

No. 44. Read the following Petition.

To the Right Honorable Sir CHARLES TREVELYAN, Governor in Council Fort St. George.

The humble Petition of Sadagopapillay who was for a period of 25 years employed as Curnum in the Village of Eduntherycondum in the Talook of Streevillepootoor in the Zillah of Tinnevelly; dated 22d July 1859.

RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH,

That the Sub-Collector having in Fusly 1267 dismissed from service causelessly, I presented to the higher authority an appeal Petition but obtained no redress. Consequently, I prepared a Memorial to Her Majesty the Queen in Council and have herewith submitted three Memorial with decrees and Endorsements.

I therefore most humbly solicit your honor will be graciously pleased to submit the above Memorials for the inspection and consideration of Her Majesty the Queen in Council.

No. 45. ORDER THEREON, 18th August 1859, No. 1106.

Ordered that the Memorial be forwarded to the Home Authorities, and that Petitioner be informed of its dispatch.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,
Secretary to Government.

P 1383/59

To

The Secretary of the State
for India.

The humble Memorial of
Sadayoppapillay who was for a
period of 25 Years employed as
Curnum in the Village of Edum
:thorycondun in the Talook of
Streevilleputtoor in the Zillah
of Tinnevelly.

Respectfully sheweth,

1. Rainy water from wilderness, flows into the Tank in Petitioner's Village by which the Nunjah lands are irregated, but therewere no means of channels and rivers. So that even when the cultivation under all other Tanks in the Talook yield full produce the crops under this Tank will be of short yielding.

2. Owing to the deficiency of rain Fusly 1267 was a very bad year for crops in the whole Talook or in the whole District and in such a Year it is supposed that the cultivation in this Tank yielded full produce and Petitioner

removed

held

removed from duty on that supposition as explained below. With water standing in the said Tank for 3 Months, Pasannum Punjah crops were raised. but for want of sufficient water the crops yielded a scanty produce.

3 With the permission of the Tahsildar Petitioner in Company with the Merassidar & Nattamacurren inspected the crops as usual on such occasions and estimated the produce on 98 Seed Cottahs at Grain Cottahs 69. 9. 5 ¼ and sent an Account to the Talook upon which Talook Roy asum Condemadeenada Pillay came and made the 2d estimate at 4 Cottahs and 5 Marcals in excess of the quantity estimated by the Petitioner & forwarded an Account to the Talook. It has been usual hitherto after such examination to harvest the crops under Umanie and ascertain the exact produce but in the Year in question the Sub Collector Mr Levinge had sent an Order to the Tahsildar of the Talook to allow the Ryots to cut crops of such fields which were estimated at less than 2 Grain Cottahs on each Seed Cottah of land and to enter the quantity according to the 2d Estimate. This order was given in Consideration of the unfavorableness of the season and the consequent extensive draught and short produce. Som persons having cut the crops before the 2d Estimate was made, the Tahsildar ~~[illegible]~~ reported the Case to the Sub Collector and recommended that they should be punished The order in reply was to enter the Actual produce according to the 1st Estimate and that they cannot be punished because it was a Year of famine.

4. In the mean time the Moozoomdar of the Talook came to the Tank to inspect the fields thus cut on an Anonymous Petition alleged to have been received by him of certain frauds Committed by Petitioner but before he came as the crops were cut he made the necessary enquiries and reported to the Tahsildar that such a Petition had been received and that the enquiry shewed that the crops yielded a scanty produce, but as they were cut before he came to the Village he could not tell for certainty as to what was the state of the crops. In the Tahsildars enquiry also it having been

proved

held

proved that the Crops yielded a scanty produce he forwards the Documents to the Sub Collector who dismissed the Petition and gave him a decision under Regulation IV of 1822 here in enclosed. In what it will be perceived it is stated that the Charge is not proved and that Petitioner is dismissed on suspecion.

5 The 1st Witness the Moozoomdar said that the Crops having been harvested before he went to the Village he could not say whether the lands yielded full or scanty produce but that the enquiry shews the latter.

6 The 2d Witness states that he reported to the Talook in the 5th March that the Crops on the said Nunjah land are to be cut in a week, and that the same were reaped in the 15th. On the 24th March Petitioner received an Order from the Talook directing him to inspect the Manecoody (scorched State of the Crops) and to send an Account for the same and he forwarded it on the 3d April. And an Account shewing the 2d Estimate of the Crops was also sent on the 9th. While so, the statement that the Crops were cut on the 15th March is quite false.

false. This the said Gentleman did not at all pay attention to.

7. The 3d Witness stated that when he inspected the Nunjah Crops in the 13 March there was water in the paddy field that the Crops with ears of corn were in good and fertile state. Hence, the statement of the 2d Witness appears to be altogether false.

8. The Sub Collector expresses his opinion that as the fishes in the Tank were on the 24th March sold for 32 Rupees, the Tank contained water up to that time. When the pits dug in the Tank contain water and after the water in the Tanks is all rent it is customary to rent out the fishes in the Tank. Thus on the 1st March instead of water remaining in the Tank to flow throw outlet for the irrigation of the said Nunjah Crops water and fishes were in the pits dug thereon, Petitioner reported the matter to the Talook on the 14th March. Upon which, the Tasildar exposed the fishes in the Tank to public sale and sold them for 32 Rupees on the 26th.

The

held

The water contained in the pits dug in the Tank is of no use to the Ryot Crops. The 2nd Witness (Tarupdar) in his Report dated 5th March regarding the Tanks in his Division stated that the pits dug in the said Tank contained water even this Report was not perused by the Sub Collector.

9. Petitioner Appealed to the Collector, the Board of Revenue, and to the Right Honorable the late Governor of Madras stating all the above circumstances but they without rendering me justice on a perusal of any document gave me Endorsements respectively confirming the only decision of the Sub Collector. On this, I further presented an Appeal Petition to the Right Honorable the present Governor of Madras possessing disposition of doing justice, but if his Lordship were to look into the Petition with its accompanying exhibits, his Lordship would not at all have failed to administer justice to me. But as his Lordship circulated to the Council considering this matter to be

no

no important one and they gave an Endorsement declaring that after perusing the Return submitted with the Collector's letter, they no reason to interfere.

10. The Sub Collector has in his decision under Regulation IX of 1822 declared that no charge against me was proved by evidence. The Head Collector in his Endorsement given to me declares that the matter was not disposed of according to the said above Regulation IX but it was disposed of under Regulation VI of 1831. As I have discharged the Circar duties, there is not ground to declare under the said Regulation VI that I am very careless. This Government have framed a good and just Rule declaring that even if the charge against Curnums be proved, their posts must be conferred upon their heirs.

11. I therefore humbly solicit your Lordship will be graciously pleased after considering the circumstances of this Petition and perusing the documents submitted herewith to restore me the Curnum Office

held by m my ancestors for 5 Generations and then held by me for 25 Years without the ready money salary payable by the Circar but with a sum of 6 Rupees the incomes payable by the Ryots and those derivable from Mungih and Poongih Manaum lands have no other means for support than the same and to obtain for me the salary due to me from the time I was dismissed from it without any charge was proved against me.

Your Memorialists as in duty bound Shall Ever Pray

22nd July 1859.

182 before the Sub Collector in the Zillah of Tinnevelly for the month

	Whether convicted or acquitted or awarded to the Ch. Judge & on what date decision passed	Sum adjudged to be paid under clause 1st Section V.	Fine imposed under clause 2d Section V	Period of imprisonment ordered in commutation of fine	Amount levied by warrant of execution under clause 3d Section V	Sum granted in compensation under Section XII	Remarks
	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
		Rs as p	Rs as p		Rs as p	Rs as p	
May 58	Acquitted 6th May 58	-	-	-	-	-	

There is no evidence to prove the charg
against the Defendants. The 1st witness
the Talook Mozoomdar deposed that
an anonymous Petition was sent him
on the 13th April informing that false
accounts had been prepared by the
Defendants. On the 16th he inspecte
the lands; the whole of the crops wa
cut. The 2nd witness deposed that
on the 5th March he reported to the Tah
sildar that within one ~~[illegible]~~ the crops
would be ready to be cut, requesting orders to kept
them for the collection of revenue balance; the Tahr
took no notice of this report, did not go near the land again till
the 15th when the whole crop was cut. That he made the above report because
he thought the crops would come to growth. The 3rd witness the Engineer's Goomastah
le what saw water in the fields and the crops looking well & advanced in ear. The
k near to on the 26th March for 30 Rs conveniently proving that up to that date there
Defendants contradicted each other materially as to the preparation of the accounts; there
layers cu the crop without any permission from the Talook. The full tax on the lan
to are d ensured the public revenue.

10th May 1858.

(Sigd) V. H. Levinge
Sub Collr

True copy

Return of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 before the
of May 1858.

No	Name & office of the accused	Emoluments & whether in Money, land or fees.	Offence and the class as defined in clause 2d Section II.	Date of suspen:sion	Whether con:victed or acquitted or forwarded to the Ch Judge & to an what decision passed
1	2	3	4	5	6
3	Kadyunagunda pillay Revenue Rayasam of Treevellypoottoor Talook & Sadagopapillay 2d Curnum of Elenderoocundan in Do 2	Monthly Pay in Money 6 Rupees. In land & fees Rs as p 71-14-1 per annum	4th class. The 1st & 2d Defendants are charged with having on the 12th April 1858 furnished false accounts of the extent of their produce under the Elenderoo:cundan tank on 98-6-4½ of land with intent to defraud the Government of the revenue due thereon amounting to about Rupees 1863-11-3-	1st May 1858	

the 15th

he thought the w

on the 13th he went saw wate

Fish rent for this tank was [illegible] on th

was water in the tank. The Defendants [illegible] contra

can be no doubt they are false. The Villagers can the crop

will be collected from the ryots and the Defendants are [illegible] succeed

Copy applied for ~~28th May 1858~~ 13th July

Do furnished 8th Do Do

The term of appeal expires on the 10th August 1858

(True Copy of the Tamil Origl)

Translation

Tamil Appeal Petition to the address of the Board of Revenue in person.

No. 148 of 1858.

A.C.

Endorsement given to the Petitioner.

On Appeal, this matter without being disposed of according to Regulation IX of 1822, being disposed of under Regulation VI of 1831, you may yourself Appeal to the Board of Revenue if you would wish to do so in the matter. I see no reason to admit and send this.

(Signed) D Mayne.
Acty Collr.

Thericausee ---}
9 September 1858}

(True Copy of the Tamil Original)

Translation

Copy of the Tamil Endorsement given by the Sub Collector Nº 239.

In obedience to the order of the Tasildar you inspected round the Pasannum Cultivating lands situate in Edunthoriecondun Village in Fusly 1267 and prepared an Accounts as Maneecood (some portion of the crops scorched) - As if the Account is false an Arzee of Complaint came to the Moosoondur in the names of certain Ryots - On his instantly going and looking about the lands it appea that as there were no then any stalks of Cor thereon the whole stalks were without the order of the Tasildar cut down and taken away within 4 days after your inspection - If previous to the Tasildar's order the stalks of Crops were cut down fu Teervah is to be paid - but if the matter of Maneecoodee (some portion of Crops scorche be true, the Ryots would not have cut down before the order being given as allege above, Besides, the Turpoor of Venkutamullor Aroonachellumpillay in his deposition before me states that the Crops in the said lands are in a state of being Cut in a week and that he in the 5th March reported the matter to the Talook. Under these Circumstances and on Consideration of the Material discrepancies in the depositions given both by you and Royasum regarding the above prepared Account shewing (Manecoodee scorched state of Crops) there were no credible proofs for fraudulent preparation of the said Account yet in a full suspicion upon you that it was not prepared indeed. You and the said Ruyasum have been dismiſsed on the ground that you are not deserving of the Circar Service Consequently there is no reason to give a fresh Order now about you.

(Signed) V. H. Levinge
Sub Collector

oottahtlum - - - - - }
b Collector's Office }

(True Copy of the of the Tamil Origl)

Translation

Appeal No. 2863 of 1858.

Endorsement given in a Petition of Appeal put in by the Appellant Sadagopupillay who held the Number Curnum Office in the Village of Eduntorycondun in the Talook of Streevillepoottoor. On your Appeal Petition, I looked into the whole documents original & other proceedings in the record of the Sub Collector's Office. You and the Revenue Royasum Condemadoonadupillay who made over estimate prepared an Account in fusly 1267 regarding the cultivating ~~[illegible]~~ punjum land in the said Village as if it is Nunecoodee (some portion of the crops scorched). As if the Account is false, an Arzee of Complaint was addressed to the Moosoondar in the names of certain Ryots. On his instantly going and looking about the lands, it appeared that as there were no then any stalks of Corn thereon and the crops were cut and taken away. If previous to the (Tahsildar's) order,

[illegible]

[illegible] A Venkutumullor

உ p. 239

[illegible]

[illegible]

A Venkutrumullow

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

184 [illegible]

tor

Order the crops were reaped full Teervah is to be paid but if the matter of Moreecoodee be true (some portion of Crops scorched) be true, the Ryots would not have cut the crops before the order being given as alleged above. Besides, the Turpoor of Venkahmelloor Aroonachellum pillay in his deposition before the Sub Collector states that the Crops of the said land are in a state of being cut in a week and that on the 5th March he reported the matter to the Talook. Under these circumstances and Consideration of the Material discrepancies in the depositions given both by you & Royasum Condemodeenudapillay regarding the above prepared Account showing Moneecoodee (scorched state of Crops there were no credible proofs for fraudulent preparation of the said Account yet in a suspecion that there is a full suspecion upon you that it was not prepared indeed, You and the said Royasum have been dismissed on the ground that You are not deserving of the Circar Services. As it thus appears, I see no reason to reverse the decision of the Sub Collector in the matter.

(Signed) D. Mayne
Collector

Thencausee
29th August 1859

No. 769 of 1859

28

1858 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1259 [illegible]

[illegible]

No. 469 of 1859

[illegible]

[illegible]

185 [illegible]

No. 1553 of 18
[illegible]
16
No 2587

No. 769 of 1859

13

To

The Right Honorable
the Governor in Council
&c. &c. &c.
Fort St. George

The humble Petition of Sada-gopapillay the Number Curnum of Eelumthaicoundan Village belonging to the Talook of Streevelleeputhoor in Zillah Tinnevelly

Sheweth

That, I beg leave most respectfully to suggest for the information of your Lordship in Council that the pesahunum Crop raised in fusly 1267 on the Nunjah land situate in the said Village, for want of water, fell short. according to the order of the Talook, myself and the Monigar judged of the produce of the land, and sent up the account to the Talook The Talook officers also came and judged of the produce thereof and forwarded the account to the Talook. The Talook Moonsoondar with intent to have his Cook Vythee Iyen appointed to my situation, fraudulently prepared an anonymous Petition as if it had been addressed to him, stating that there is discrepancy in the account showing the loss of produce, and on his enquiry into the matter, the Ryots (shareholders) of the said Village and also by the Circar servan, and by the Curnums & Merassidars of the adjoining Villages proved the fact of the loss of produce according to the ~~[illegible]~~ estimated account prepared by me — While so, the Talook Moosoomdar, through some misrepresentations to the Sub Collector, Caused me to be dismissed from service, and the said Vythe Lygin appointed thereto — On my petitioning the Sub Collector, requesting to let me know the Cause of my dismissal, and to restore me to my employment, that officer, without granting the request, gave me a decision and an endorsement according to Regulation IX of 1822 — This decision declares that the charge was not proved, — And the Endorsement states that, though the fact of the said estimate account being falsely prepared is not proved, yet as the

Ryots

Ryots, before the [illegible] of [illegible] from the Talook, But the said loss of Crop, it is doubtful, & that on this account I was discarded from my post — I have sent to the Talook and Hoozoor accounts occasionally in proof of the Tank from which the said Nanjah land is irrigated being filled with rain water & the Nanjah land being Cultivated — From these accounts it is clear that the Crop which ought to grow by being watered for 6 Months, being irrigated for 3 Months, the Crop was subject to a loss — Without looking into these accounts, the Sub Collector (who admits that the charge was not proved) dismissed me from my situation, a proceeding is contrary to the Rule enacted by the Government, and owing to my misfortune — On the subject, I by way of Appeal submitted a Petition to the Board of Revenue, and they, without considering any document, endorsed, declining to interfere in my behalf — Upon this, I arrived here and represented to the Revenue Board by Petitions dated respectively the 19th December 1858 and 19th January 1859, stating that what Regulation declares to dismiss me from my service which the charge was not proved, and to favor me with an endorsement shewing the particular. Thereupon, the Board passed an order that they so no reason to give answer on the subject. As Tamil Petition was presented to the Board according to the Custom obtained in the said Zillah, they did not consider it by getting true translation & put into English. Consequently I did not obtain justice from them. Afterwards, I on the 8th Ultimo, presented to your Lordship in Council a Tamil Petition with the above decision and endorsement.

I therefore humbly solicit your Lordship in Council will be pleased (after getting true translation of the said Petition into English, perusing it with the said decision and Endorsement) to pass an order that I who suffer great distress for these 11 months may be restored to my situation as I, without getting salary in ready money from the Circar, was supporting myself, Receiving a small monthly salary of 5 Rs

+ from the incomes paid by the Ryot and doing Cawnatchee Kumbee Curnumship for 25 years, as this service was hereditarily held from the time of my ancestors, and as I am Causelessly dismissed from service while the charge was not proved.

In doing this act of Charity and Justice I your Petitioner, as in duty bound will ever pray

Madras }
March 3rd }
1859 }

விண்ணப்பங்களைக்குறித்த நிபந்தனைகள்.

ரெவினியுடிப்பார்ட்டுமெண்டு.

6. ரெவினியுடிப்பார்ட்டுமெண்டைச்சேர்ந்த காரியங்களைக்குறித்து விண்ணப்பங்களை - அல்லது - பிராதுகளைச்செய்யவிருக்கிற மனிதர்களுக்குத் தகுதியானமார்க்கமென்னவென்றால் - ஆருடைய விசாரணைக்கு அந்தகாரியம்சம்பந்தப்படுகிறதோ அந்தக்கலெக்டருக்கு - அல்லது - கலெக்டருக்குக்கீழான சிவில்துரைக்கு முதலிலே விண்ணப்பம்பண்ணவேண்டியது - பின்சொன்னவிஷயத்திலே அந்தக்கீழான துரையுடைய உத்தரவு - அல்லது - கட்டளை வாதிக்குத்திருப்தியாகாவிட்டால், அவன்கலெக்டரிடத்தில் மனுப்பண்ணிக்கொள்ளலாம் - முதலிலேகலெக்டருக்கு எழுதிக்கொண்ட விண்ணப்பம் - அல்லது - பிராதுக்கு - கலெக்டர்கொடுத்தகட்டளையின்பேரிலாவது - கீழான துரையுடைய கட்டளையின்மேல்பண்ணிக்கொண்ட அப்பீலின்பேரில் கலெக்டர்கொடுத்த கட்டளையின்பேரிலாவது - ரெவினியுபோர்டாருக்கு அப்பீல்பண்ணிக்கொள்ளலாம் - மேலும் அதற்குமேல் ஒருவேளை கவரன்மெண்டாரிடத்திலும் பண்ணிக்கொள்ளலாம் - ஆனால், அந்தந்த இடத்து அதிகாரிகளாலேயும் - மேல்விசாரணை அதிகாரிகளாலேயுந் தகுதியான மார்க்கமா யோசித்துத் தீர்மானிக்கப்பட்டகாரியங்களில் நூதனமானவிஷயங்களிருக்கிறதில்மாத்திரம் - கவரன்மெண்டார் பிரவேசிப்பார்களென்று அறிந்திருக்க வேண்டியது.

Returned under para 6 of the Petition Rules for Board's Endorsement -

24th March 1859

J. D. Sim
Ag. Dy Secy to Govt

To the Petitioner on the 29th Inst.

5
6
In No 1600 of 1859.
No 769 of 1859

From Sadagopa pillay the number Curnum of Eelunthari condan Village belonging to the Talook of Streevillee poathoor in Tinnivelly

Dated 3d March /59
Recd 5th March /59

Complains of his dismissal from his caranum Service on a false charge set up by the Moozoomdar. -

Prays for enquiry & restoration. -

17

To
The Right Honorable Sir Charles Trivelyan
the Governor of Madras
&c. &c. &c.

The humble Petition of Sadagopa pillay who was for a period of 25 years employed as Curnum in the Village of Eeluntary condan in the Talook of Streevilleputtoor of Zillah Tinnevelly.

1st That I beg leave respectfully to state for the suggestion of your Lordship that as the Tank by which the Punjah Crops raised in Fusly 1267 in the said Village held no sufficient water for the irrigation thereof, there occurred a loss of produce instead of the full growth of the Crops.

2d On the 20th March of the said Fusly, the Meerasy Shareholders in the said Village reported, as usual, to the Talook the damage of the produce occasioned by the heat of the Sun.

3d Whereupon a Summons from the Talook

reached me on the 24th Idem, requiring me to estimate the loss of produce so occurred, and to send up the Account.

4. In obedience to this Summud (Order), I, in company with the Meerasidars, Nattumcars, Cavulcars, irrigator of water, & Pottee, took a round view of the said Punjah Crops, estimated the loss of produce which was not scorched and on the 3rd April, sent up to the Talook the Account under my and their signatures.

5. The Royasum in the Talook thereupon came to the said Village, taking with him the Account so forwarded by me and others, inspected the said Punjah Crops in Company with me and others, and according to his judgment, Calculated, and estimated, 4 Cottahs and 5 Marcals of paddy produce in excess to the estimate made by us and sent up the Account to the Talook.

6. In Consequence of myself and the Moonsundar in the Talook being at Variance for a few days, the latter with intent to have one Vythee Iyen the Cook in his house appointed to

2

Inwd No 1500 of 1857

[illegible]

[illegible]

1855 [illegible] 10 48

[illegible]

[illegible] 1822 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1831 [illegible]

[illegible]

1856 [illegible]

A Mayne

[illegible]

30-8-58

[illegible]

---

my situation, pretended that [illegible]

Ayyar reached him by way of Tappaul; and arriving at the above Village, inspected about the said Munjah lands, and enquired on the subject of the inhabitants of the said Village and also of the Curnums and Ryots of the Neighbouring Villages even this proceeding tends to prove my account mentioned in para 4 hereof.

These particulars, the Tahsildar represented to the Hoozoor with the depositions taken down in this Case.

8. Without perusing the declarations the Sub Collector took down depositions from me and the Rayasum of the Talook, causelessly dismissed me from my service, and appointed the said Vythee upon the Man of the Moosoondar mentioned in para 6 hereof to my Curnumship by which I was in the receipt of Monthly Sum of Rupees 6- being the incomes derived from the Munjah Maneyum & the incomes paid and Oonjab to me by the Ryots.

9. Upon this, I, in order to ascertain the particulars particular of my being dismissed from Service without a Cause, was forced to petition the Sub-Collector.

10. The Sub Collector thereupon gave an Endorsement and a decision according to Regulation IX of 1822.. Of which, the decision specifies that no proof of crime was adduced against me, and the Endorsement shows that it is doubtful because the Ryots reaped the loss of produce before the issue of an Order from the Talook.

11. As the Tank by which the said Nunjah Crops are watered, instead of holding water in full held a small quantity of water from want of rain, the Accounts occasionally sent up by me to the Talook and Hoozoor shewing that there was no further rain from that time to the time of Nunjah Crops being cut, the particular portion of rain, of the particular portion of water standing in the Tank, and of the Cultivation, are sufficient proofs of the occurrence of the loss of produce.

12. There would have been no Room for the Sub Collector to call for an inspection if that Officer had perused the depositions taken during the inquiry into the matter of the damage of produce and also the accounts noticed in para 11. proof of Bandes, the crime of the Ryots having previous to the issue of an Order from the Talook reaped the unfixed time.

13. On my appealing to the Collector requesting to restore me to my situation, that Officer gave an Endorsement as per the Endorsement specified in para 10. hereof.

14. As I have been dismissed from my Service under Regulation IX of 1822, I presented a Petition to the address of the Board of Revenue, and gave it to the Collector according to Regulation VIII of 1828. Upon this, that functionary made an Endorsement that this Case was not disposed of in conformity to Regulation IX of 1822, but as it is disposed of under Regulation VI of 1831, he sees no grounds to submit my Petition to the Board of Revenue.

15. Against the decision of the Sub Collector, I presented an Appeal Petition to the Collector, but as

in the disposal was delayed, I represented the matter by a Petition to the Board of Revenue. While the Board had no opportunity to look into the said Exhibits previous to the disposal of the matter by the Collector, they gave an Indorsement that the decisions passed by the Sub Collector and Collector are justifiable, a proceeding is left for your Lordship to consider.

16. On my presenting an Appeal Petition to the Board of Revenue, requesting to look into the Exhibits &c. and to render me justice, they passed orders, observing that they see no Reasons to grant redress.

17. The Board of Revenue also confirmed the unjust order passed in this case without reversing it. On this occasion, I presented a Petition to the Government, who, without rendering me justice on perusal of my documents endorsed thereon, declaring that the orders passed by the inferior Authorities are good and just, and referring me to para 6 of the Petition Rule.

18. In para 6 of the Petition Rule it is stated that the Government are to interfere in

in a new one of the matters properly disposed of by the Authority of every District, and also by higher Authority. The Sub Collector (who admits in his decision under Regulation IX of 1822 that no offence was proved against me) has dismissed me from my service against the said enactment, a proceeding contradicts the Indorsements, the Head Collector, declaring that this matter had been disposed of under Regulation VI of 1831. Without considering at all the proceedings, the Board of Revenue declared that the decisions of the Sub-Collector and of the Collector are just. If the Government also declines to interfere in the matter, I see no means to obtain justice.

19. I therefore humbly pray your Lordship will be graciously pleased to inspect the documents submitted herewith, to consider the Regulation IX of 1822 which provides that punishment can be awarded without the proof of crime, the equitable Rule enacted by the Government that even if the Crime of an offender be proved, his [illegible]ship is to be bestowed upon his heir and representative and

my dismissal from Curnumship while no proof of any crime was adduced against me is unjust in the extreme to relieve me (a poor man) from severe distress for 12 Months without any other means for Support than the Curnum Service after having for the Space of 25 Years held the Same with great Care and assiduity and to protect me by passing just orders that I may be restored to my Curnum Service.

In doing this Act of Charity & Justice, Your Petitioner as in duty bound

Will Ever Pray

Particulars of Exhibits

The decision of the Sub Collector under Regulation IX of 1822

Endorsement given by D°

D° given by the Head Collector

D° given by D° declaring that this matter was not disposed of under Regn IX of 1822 and that it is disposed of under Regn VI of 1831.

D° given by the Board of Revenue

D° given by the Government.

In all 6 Documents.

Madras
29th April 1859

2613

20 Augt/5

[illegible]

[illegible]

No 24 of 1858

of Collr's 2 1858

From Mr Sadagopa Pillay of [illegible]

Condemns the [illegible] Sheevelly [illegible] Nore Talook in the District of Tinnevelly

Dated 1857

Recd 20 Aug 1858

with 1 Enc.

Complains of the Sub Collr's dismissing him from office notwithstanding the false charges of his having entered certain cultivation as having [illegible] produce, was not proved against

The Collr has merely confirmed the order of the Sub Collr.

The Bd having received a report on the subject of this Petn and duly considered the Sub Collr's & Collr's decisions decline to interfere on Petr's behalf.

Revenue Bd Office
Fort St George
2 Septr 1858.

By Order

Sub Secy.

Transferred to the Chief Secretary to Government.

By order

D. F. Carmichael
Private Secy

Govt House
2d May 1859

1

No 1500 of 1859

From

Sadagopa Pillay. late Number Curnum of Elunthariconden village in the Sheevillipoothoor Talook of the Dt of Tinnevelly, now residing in Madras.

d 29th &
Rd 29th April 1859.

with 6 enclosd.

No 97.

Requests for reasons advanced the reconsideration of his Case with a view to his reinstatement in the office of Curnum from which he was dismissed.

No. 1500 of 1859

Government have perused the Return submitted with the Collectors letter and see no reason to interfere.

Fort St. George 22d June 1859

By Order

Ag Dy Secry to Govt.

[illegible]

[illegible]

---

pillay who was for a period of 25 years employed as Curnum in the Village of Edamthory condum in the Talook of Streevilleputtoor in the Zillah of Tinnevelly.

Respectfully Sheweth,

That the Sub Collector having me [illegible] dismissed from service causelessly, I presented to the higher Authority an Appeal Petition but obtained no Redress. Consequently, I prepared a Memorial to Her Majesty the Queen in Council & and have herewith submitted three Memorial with decrees & Endorsements.

I therefore most humbly solicit your honor will be graciously pleased to submit the above Memorials for the inspection & Consideration of Majesty the Queen in Council.

Shall Ever Pray.

22d July 1859

[illegible]

Revenue 1859 Depts.
Pro: of Govt. 19th Augt.

No. 1383

From Sadagopa pillay
late Curnum in the Village
of Edunthoryemdum in
Tinnevelly –

Dated 22nd } July 1859
Recd. 27th }
3. Encls.

Requests to transmit
to Home Govt. his memo-
-rial for restoration to his
former place.

No. 1106 – 18th August 59.

With an order

No. 1105

Ordered that the Memorial
be forwarded to the Home
authorities, and that Petitioner
be informed of its dispatch

Fort St. Geo. }
18th Augt. 1859 }