M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1063 Press ~~Mis.~~

Dated 12th August 1859.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 17. Read the following letter from G. S. FORBES, Esq., Collector of Ganjam ; to J. D. BOURDILLON, Esq., Secretary to Government Revenue Department Fort St. George ; dated Chetterpore, 11th July 1859.

In reply to the order of Government dated 1st instant, No. 864, requiring me to state whether I consider Mr. Lávale qualified to discharge the duties of Head Accountant, I have the honor to observe that I did not intend to leave this point in doubt when I forwarded Mr. Lavale's explanation, and I think that with the introduction of more efficient hands into the office of account which I am now trying to arrange Mr. Lavale will be able to carry on the duties of the account department with regularity and despatch.

No. 18. ORDER THEREON, 8th August 1859, No. 1063.

The Collector having pronounced Mr. Lávale to be equal to his duties, the Government will not enforce their orders* for his removal. The Collector will inform Mr Lávale that the Government rely on his making increased exertions to discharge the duties of his post with regularity and dispatch.

* 21*st May* 1859, *No.* 1234, *para.* 3.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,
Secretary to Government.

Rec 1349

2/11

Memd

This answer was of course to be expected: the case was not disposed & condemn his subs ~~conduct~~ who had been found fault with & an outsider - It is quite clear, however, not only from what Mr Weeks says in his Report, but from the subsequent which were immediately sent to the assn. that Mr Lamb is not an efficient head of the

account Dear. He might do well enough in a subordinate post, & all that the occasion required was that he should no longer be the head of

[illegible] are not a few of them antiquated routine men at the head of Custom offices, & efficiency requires that they should be replaced by men instructed in the new system of account, & of more vigour & intelligence.

If Mr Lamb is removed, I don't think the order can be maintained in the case of any Removed man.

J. Mackenzie 23.

P. S. In Jamaica, the duties are collected by the Receivers General of the several districts — Responsible subordinate officers are stationed at the different outports — Each of these officers has a Deputy or Assistant & a Clerk — The Collector of Kingston has a Deputy & two Clerks — The Collector of Montego Bay has a Deputy & one Clerk — Mr Lamb is the Collector of Montego Bay —

I do not doubt that a more efficient man might be obtained — but such cases must be decided on a general view, into which the reasonable security and respectability of the Public Service enters — As the Collector has pronounced Mr Lavale equal to his duties, I think he should be restored with the observation that the Government relies upon his making increased exertions. The Collector of Dunmore has not reported that he is satisfied with his Accountant — Whatever [illegible] do

Ann. 1 July 59 No 52-53
Ltr. " 27 May " " 09-08
R " 10 " " " 65-08.

No 1063

The Collector having pro-
nounced Mr Savale to be equal to his
duties, the Government will not enforce
their order for his removal. The Collector
will inform Mr Savale that the Government rely
on his making increased exertions to
discharge the duties of his post with
regularity and dispatch.

Fort St Geo:
8th Augt 1859.

Copies
To
The Board of Revenue
" Civil Auditor
" Collector of Ganjam
~~Collector of N~~ (23 July R 29th 59 1st R 4th Augt

1st May 1859,
234, para 3.

Rec. 1859. Separate
Dept of Govt 12th August.
No. 1349.
The Collector
of Ganjam
To,
The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
Fort St George

Dated 11th July
Dup. 2d. 3d. } 1859.
Rec. 22d Ult.

States that in reply to the
orders of Government he has
no doubts of Mr Savale's
qualifications for discharging
the duties of Head Accountant.

No 1063. 8th August 1859
With an order

No 17+18.