

Revenue Department

No 22

Enter 6-1-1859

Fort Saint George, Revenue Consultation of 11th January 1859.

No. 15. Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 15th December 1858, No. 4631.

Read again letter from the Collector of Vizagapatam, No. 149, dated 16th August, recorded in Consultation 6th October 1858, No. 3813.

Read also letter from the same Officer, dated 25th October 1858, No. 193.

(In Con. 15th December 1858, No. 4992.)

Resolved that the above letters be submitted for the orders of Government.

In Con. 18th December 1857.

1. In Extract Minutes Consultation No. 1310, dated 16th December 1857, R. D., the Inam referred to by the Collector was directed to be resumed on the ground that it

was unsupported by any document or entry in the Public Accounts.

2. The Collector now represents that since the above order was passed, he received a Petition from the deceased Inamdar's son, which led him to refer to the Accounts of the permanent settlement; in which he found the Inam entered as stated by the Petitioner, in the name of Andra Ragannah who is said to be an undivided cousin of his father. The Inam he adds though entered as a service grant, has always been held by the grantee's family without service; and the permanent settlement Accounts, which he has personally inspected, have, in no way been tampered with. He therefore solicits a reconsideration of the case, and recommends the continuance of the Inam to the claimant and his heirs in perpetuity. The Board consider it sufficient to continue it to the claimant, leaving the question of the permanency of the title to be determined hereafter.

Encls. in letter from Collector 25th October in Con. 15th December 1858.

3. The copy of the entries from the permanent settlement Accounts should have been accompanied by translations.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM, Secretary.

No. 16.

ORDER THEREON, No. 22; dated 6th January 1859.

1. In Extract Minutes Consultation dated 16th December 1857, No. 1310, the Government directed the resumption of a lapsed Inam No. 1 in the Tabular Statement submitted to the Board of Revenue by the Collector of Vizagapatam, because it appeared that the only evidence as to the antiquity, or lineal transmission of the grant, was the assertion of the claimant.

2. The Collector of Vizagapatam has now addressed the Board of Revenue stating that he has been induced by the receipt of a petition from the son of the deceased Inamdar to refer to the accounts of the permanent Settlement; and he finds the Inam entered in the name of one A. Rajanna, who is stated to be an undivided cousin of the claimant's father. The Inam, Mr. Revenue goes on to observe, though entered as a service grant has always been held by the grantee's family free of service, and he considers that it should be continued to the claimant and his heirs in perpetuity. The Board however slightly modify these sentiments, and only recommend that it should be continued to the claimant, leaving the question of the permanency of title to be determined hereafter.

3. On a consideration of the case as now before them the Government must decline to modify their orders of 16th December 1857, para. 2.

4. On referring to the Tabular Statement, it appears that the permanent Settlement accounts of this Estate* are not trustworthy although they are now stated to be "free from all suspicion"; and moreover, no means seem to have been taken to verify the assertion that the Rajanna there entered bears the stated relationship to the claimant. But even supposing the point of authenticity of accounts to be conceded in the claimant's favor, the Inam would be resumable as it has become detached from the service for which it was granted.

5. The Board will consequently inform the Collector, that the former orders of Government respecting the Inam under notice, are final.

(Signed) W. H. BAYLEY,
Acting Secretary to Government.

P. 22.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 6 January 1859.

Read the following Extract from
the Proceedings of the Board of
Revenue.

(here enter 15 Dec / 58 No 4631 /)

At
the
closed
NW

1. In G.O. of 16 Dec 1857, No 1310
the Govt directed the resumption
of In'am No 1. in the Taluk
Statement submitted to the Board
of Revenue by the Collector of
Vizagapatam because it ap-
peared that the only evidence
as to the antiquity, or legal
transmission of the grant, was
the assertion of the claimant.
2. The Collector of Vizagapatam
has now addressed the Board
of Revenue stating that he
has been induced by the
receipt of a petition from the

son of the deceased Ināmbār
to refer to the accounts of
the permanent settlement
and he finds the Ināmbār
entered in the name of one
M. Rājanna, who is stated

to be an undivided cousin of
the claimant's father. The Ināmbār, Mr.
Meade goes on to observe, though
entered as a service grant has
always been held by the grantee's
family free of service and he
considers that it should be
continued to the claimant and
his heirs in perpetuity. The
Board however slightly modify
these sentiments, and only
recommend that it should be
continued to the claimant
leaving the question of the
permanency of title to be

determined hereafter.

3. On a consideration of the
Case as now before them the
Jt must decline to modify
their order of 16 Dec 1857 p. 2.

4. On referring to the Tabalar
Statement, it appears that
the permanent settlement
accounts of this Estate are
not trustworthy and more-

* although they are now
stated to be "free from
all suspicion"

over, no means seem to have
been taken to verify the
assertion that the Rājanna
there entered bears the
stated relationship to the
claimant. But even sup-
posing the point of authen-
ticity of accounts to be
conceded in the claimant's
favour, the Ināmbār would
be reasonable as it has
become detached from the
service for which it was
granted. W.H.B.

5. The Board will care-
fully inform the Collector,
that the former orders
of the respecting the Indian
under notice, are final.

W. A.

Dec 22.

3 Copies to the B^d of the D^{ist} 7th
with 4 Encl^s

19.1.24 ✓

Copy in a letter to Engle
about the Salisbury

can 23 December 1877

23-70

1877/1878-
1879

Revenue 1879 & 1880
Do to 1879 & 1880 January

21022

Extracts of the
of 1879 & 1880 January 1879

Decline to modify
their order of 1879 & 1880
for 1879 & 1880 and with
the Board to inform the
Director of the Department

1879/1880