Revenue Department

Minutes of Consultation

B 20th July 1858.

Batch nos 9 + 10

Order no 9647/4 14th July 1858

(Home)

பாதகாப்பு பிரிவு-1

செப்பளிடுபவர் பெயர்: D. கோபிநாத்

செப்பளிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்-
கொள்ளப்பட்ட நாள் : 08/07

25/3/08
சரிபார்க்கும்
மூத்த பிரிவு
கையொப்பம்

சரிபார்க்கும்
2ம் பிரிவு
கையொப்பம்

இராஜாங்கி
உதவியாளர்
கையொப்பம்

964/2

Fort Saint George, Revenue Consultation of 20th July 1858.

No. 9. Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue; dated 29th June 1858, No. 2236.

In Consultation 29th June 1858.

Read letter from the Collector of Bellary, dated 29th May 1858.

(Here enter No. 87.)

Resolved that the above letter be submitted for the orders of Government.

In Consultation 16th January 1858.

1. In Extract Minutes Consultation 14th January 1858, No. 39, R. D., it was suggested, in respect to the Enam in case No. 1, which was taken at the aggregate value of about Rupees 650, that a third of the whole, or Rupees 216-10-8 should be continued to each of the three widows of the sons of the registered holder, each share reverting to Government on the occurrence of a lapse.

Dated 24th Dec. 1849, in Cons. 7th Jan. 1850, No. 1222, R. D.

2. This course, it would seem, cannot be adopted without disturbing the arrangement, which the Government were pleased to sanction in 1849.

From Govt. 24th Dec. 1849, in Cons. 7th Jan. No. 1222.

3. The value of the entire Enam is now represented to be Rupees 1,086-3-1, of which each of the two brothers held Rupees 442-0-7, and a share assessed at Rupees 202-1-7, was allotted by them to the first wife of the younger brother for her maintenance on the occasion of his second marriage. On the death of the elder brother, his share estimated at Rupees 442-0-7 was continued in 1849 to his widow Amagumah as a life grant. The share viz. Rupees 202-1-7 held by her sister-in-law Padmanah, first wife of the younger brother was, at the same time, sanctioned on the distinct understanding that she had no claim to any more than what has been thus assigned to her for her support.

4. The arrangement suggested in the Extract Minutes Consultation cited above, if carried out, would involve the reduction of the share enjoyed by the widow Amagumah from Rupees 442-0-7 to Rupees 362-1, and the addition of Rupees 160 to the share held by her sister-in-law Padmanah.

5. The Collector recommends that no alteration be made in the share enjoyed since 1849, by the widow of the elder brother, and proposes to add the sister-in-law's share Rupees 202-1-7 to that of her husband, the younger brother, viz. Rupees 442-0-7 and divide the aggregate or Rupees 644-2-2 equally between his two widows for life, the share of each lapsing on her death.

6. As this seems the most equitable arrangement and is in accordance with the desire expressed by Government, that the first widow Pudmanah should enjoy an equal share with the other widow of her husband, the Board recommend that sanction may be accorded for carrying it out.

7. With regard to the provision, which, it was directed, should be made for the support of the widowed sister of the two deceased brothers, the Collector proposes that in addition to the 12 Acres of land held by her assessed at Rupees 40, each of the widows of the younger brother should allow her 30 Rupees, and widow of the elder brother 40 Rupees per annum, because she holds a longer portion of the Enam. This arrangement, the Board think is a sufficient provision for the maintenance of the widowed sister.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) G. S. FORBES, *Secretary.*

No. 10. ORDER THEREON, No. 964; dated 14th July 1858.

The proposed arrangements are approved. Extract Minutes Consultation No. 59, 14th January 1858, para. 2, is cancelled so far as it relates to the widows of the sons of the registered holder.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

No. 2436.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 20th June 1859.

In Con. 20th June 1859. Read letter from the Collector of Bellary, dated 29th May 1859.

(Diary No. 87)

Resolved that the above letter be submitted for the orders of Government.

In Con. 14th Jany. 1859. 1. In Extract Minutes Consultation 14th January 1858 No. 89, R. D. it was suggested, in regard to the Enam in case No. 1, which was taken at the aggregate value of about Rupees 650, though short of the whole, or Rupees 216-10-8 should be continued to each of the three widows of the sons of the registered holder, each share reverting to Government on the occurrence of a lapse.

Dated 24th Dec. 1849 in Con. 20th Jany. 1850, No. 1232, R. D. 2. This course, it would seem, cannot be adopted without disturbing the arrangement, which the Government were pleased to sanction in 1849.

3. The value of the entire Enam is now represented to be Rupees 1,086-3-1, of which each of the two brothers held Rupees 442-0-7, and a share assessed at Rupees 202-1-7, was allotted by them to the first wife of the younger brother for her maintenance on the occasion of his second marriage. On the From 24th Dec. 1849 in Con. 20th Jany. 1850, No. 1232. death of the elder brother, his share estimated at Rupees 442-0-7 was continued in 1849 to his widow Amagunah as a life grant. The share viz. Rupees 202-1-7 held by her sister-in-law Padmanah, first wife of the younger brother was, at the same time, sanctioned on the distinct understanding that she had no claim to any more than what has been then assigned to her for her support.

4. The Collector suggested in the Extract Minutes Consultation dated 20th January 1859, that would involve the reduction of the share enjoyed by the widow Amagunah from Rupees 442-0-7 to Rupees 382-1, and the addition of Rupees 160 to the share held by her sister-in-law Padmanah.

5. The Collector recommends that no alteration be made in the share enjoyed since 1849, by the widow of the elder brother, and proposes to add the sister-in-law's share Rupees 202-1-7 to that of her husband, the younger brother, viz. Rupees 442-0-7 and divide the aggregate or Rupees 644-2-3 equally between the two widows for life, the share of each lapsing on her death.

6. As this seems the most equitable arrangement and is in accordance with the desire expressed by Government, that the first widow Padmanah should enjoy an equal share with the other widow of her husband, the Board recommend that sanction may be accorded for carrying it out.

7. With regard to the provision, which, it was directed, should be made for the support of the widowed sister of the two deceased brothers, the Collector proposes that in addition to the 12 Acres of land held by her assessed at Rupees 60, each of the widows of the younger brother should allow her 30 Rupees, and widow of the elder brother 40 Rupees per annum, because she holds a larger portion of the Enam. This arrangement, the Board think is a sufficient provision for the maintenance of the widowed sister.

(A true Extract.)

E. L. Parker

Secretary.

To

W. H. BAYLEY, Esq., *Acting Secretary to Government, R. D.*

Copy to Collector of Bellary.

