1.
655

Revenue Department

Minutes & Consultations

25th May 1858

Bach Nos 58 x 59

Order No 678 / 22nd May 1858

(6)

2
678

Fort Saint George, Revenue Consultation of 25th May 1858.

No. 58. Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 10th April 1858, No. 1256.

Read letter from the Acting Collector of Tanjore, dated 23d Feb-
In Con. 10th April 1858. ruary 1858.

(Here enter No. 37.)

The original enam was granted in 1776 by the then Rajah of Tanjore and was in the possession of the grantee's family in 1802. It consisted of 1 Valie 8 Mows, of which 3 Mows were purchased by a female and given by her in 1821 to one Ramien, who then lived undividedly with his 3 brothers one of whom was Petitioner's father. On a division of the family Ramien received 2 Mows and his brothers one each. Petitioner states that he lived with his Uncle, (one of Ramien's 3 brothers.) On the Uncle's death his Mow was divided into 3 shares of which 2 feel to Petitioner's Cousin and one to Petitioner himself.

2. Petitioner asserts that his Cousin has usurped his share also and prays permission to sue for it.

3. The Acting Collector sees no objection to his being allowed to do this.

4. The share sought is one third of a Mow or 1-12th of a cawnie and the case seems therefore to be similar in character to that referred to in Extract Minutes
In Con. 2d January 1857. Consultation, dated 16th December 1856, R. D. No. 1319, wherein permission to sue was denied on account of the inexpediency of allowing the minute sub-division of enam holdings. Acting on this precedent, though the effect of the rule can be but partial, the Board would in this instance reject Petitioner's application.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM, *Sub-Secretary.*

No. 59. ORDER THEREON, No. 678; dated 22d May 1858.

Before passing orders on Petition No. 1519 of 1857, reported on in the Proceedings above recorded, the Government wish to be informed of the value of the Enam land in question.

(Signed) W. H. BAYLEY,
Acting Secretary to Government.

செப்பனிடுபவர் பெயர்
பி. ஐயல் நாயகி
செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்
கொள்ளப்பட்ட நாள் 7/2008
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த நாள் 9/2008
சரிபார்க்கும்
மு. நி. பா. ஊ.
கையொப்பம்
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவி
யாளர்
கையொப்பம்

R. D. 2.

3/678 No

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the April 1858.

Read the following
Extract from the Proceedings
of the Board of Revenue.

(Here Enter 10th April 1858 No 1256)

Ordered that the
following Endorsement be
made on Petition No 1319 of
1857 from Ramasoobbien.

"The Government
consider the division of
small patches of rent free
land to be objectionable, and
as the share of the Purvanam
in land, situated in

the

Should ask for
Area - before I
Sanction

Fill - ft

the Sircarady Talook of
the District of Sanjore,
portion of which the —
Petitioner seeks to recover,
is represented to be only —
1-12th of a Cawnie, they decline
to grant the permission —
solicited by the Petitioner
to sue in the Court of Adalut.

RR
ap 17.

A
678

c 19 apul 827 c 28 823 May c 3 815

5
678

No. 1350.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 10th April 1858.

In Con. 10th April 1858. Read letter from the Acting Collector of Tanjore, dated 23d February 1858.

(Here enter No. 35.)

The original enam was granted in 1770 by the then Rajah of Tanjore and was in the possession of the grantee's family in 1802. It consisted of 1 Valie 8 Mows, of which 5 Mows were purchased by a female and given by her in 1821 to one Ramien, who then lived undividedly with his 3 brothers one of whom was Petitioner's father. On a division of the family Ramien received 2 Mows and his brothers one each. Petitioner states that he lived with his Uncle, (one of Ramien's 3 brothers.) On the Uncle's death his Mow was divided into 3 shares of which 2 fell to Petitioner's Cousin and one to Petitioner himself.

2. Petitioner asserts that his Cousin has usurped his share also and prays permission to sue for it.

3. The Acting Collector sees no objection to his being allowed to do this.

4. The share sought is one third of a Mow or 1-12th of a cawnie and the case seems therefore to

In Con. 2d Jan. 1857. be similar in character to that referred to in Extract Minutes Consultation, dated 16th December 1856, R. D. No. 1319, wherein permission to sue was denied on account of the inexpediency of allowing the minute sub-division of enam holdings. Acting on this precedent, though the effect of the rule can be but partial, the Board would in this instance reject Petitioner's application.

(A true Extract.)

To

H. FORBES, Esq.,
Acting Secretary to Government, R. D.

Sub Secretary.

Revenue 1858 Orders
Dy Sec[y] 26 May
No 5314

Extract from the Proceedings
of the Board of Revenue

Dated 10th
Rec[d] 12th } April 1858.
2 Encls No. 1258.

Extract from Bd 8th July 58
No 1098 in Sec[y] Dy

Submitting with the
Board's Minute thereon, a
letter from the Acting Collr.
Collector of Sangore, reporting
on Petition No. 1519 of 1857.

No 558 of 59.

No 678

Before passing orders
on Petition No 1519 of 1857, reported
on in the Proceedings above recorded,
the Govt will be informed
of the wishes of the Hindu Land
owners thereon.

Fort St George
22d May 1858

To Govt Board of Rev.
with 2 Encls Dup[s]

Agreeable to order
No 678 22d May 1858