1/113

Revenue Department

Minutes of Consultations

dt 30th March 1858

Bach no 64 to 65

Order no 413 to 429 dt March 1858

பரத்தாப்பு பிரிவு - - 1 -

செப்பனிடுபவர் பெயர் P. ஸ்ரீனிவாஸ

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட நாள் 16-5-08

செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த நாள் -11-08

25/11/08
வாசிக்கும் முதல் மு. நி. பா. கையொப்பம்

கணக்கிக்கும் இ. நி. பா. ஏ. கையொப்பம்

தராய்ச்சி உதவியாளர் கையொப்பம்

Fort Saint George, Revenue Consultation of 30th March 1858.

No. 64. Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 23rd February 1858, No. 689.

In Con. 23rd Feb. 1858. Read letter from the Acting Collector of Masulipatam, dated 26th November 1857.

(Here enter No. 220.)

To Govt. 22nd Oct. 1835. *From Govt. 10th in Con. 16th Nov.* 1835.

From the reports of the Acting Collector it appears that when Petitioner's father died in August 1852 Mr. Lushington did not permanently fill up the office he held of Persian Record Keeper and Moonshee, owing it is presumed to the Board having in 1835 declared that the situation should be abolished.

2. Mr. Lushington however permitted Petitioner's son to officiate in the office but instead of passing him the full salary (30 Rupees) month by month paid him periodically portions varying from ½ to ⅓rd, the balance being drawn by another servant or credited to Government. This arrangement continued until July 1856 when the pay of the Moonshee's office was divided between a Goomastah and a Record Keeper, Petitioner receiving 10 Rupees monthly from the sale proceeds of rejected papers until November 1856 when he ceased to receive any allowance and, it is presumed, to do any duty.

3. Petitioner complained to the Board in May 1857.

4. The whole of the arrangements reported by the Acting Collector were highly irregular but the office is not required and is omitted from the proposed Moyenzabitah. The Board cannot consequently suggest Petitioner's re-employment in that capacity and his ignorance of Telugu unfits him for any other situation on the Collector's establishment. The only question is whether the undrawn portion of the salary which has been credited to Government should be paid to him in consideration of his having performed the duties though without any formal appointment.

5. The Board think Petitioner has a reasonable claim to this indulgence and they resolve to request the sanction of Government for refunding the amount which apparently will not exceed Rupees 360. If this be conceded the Board consider that Petitioner will be treated with sufficient liberality as the office was not intended to be continued and the work of it was extremely light. Petitioner it may be remarked did not bring his position to notice until after his final removal. It is possible that his silence proceeded from some apprehension of the abolition of the office, if the circumstance of his father's death were brought prominently to the Board's notice.

6. The Acting Collector will report the amount of salary actually credited to Government. He will also state how the pay of the office is now appropriated and what are the sale proceeds of rejected papers referred to in para. 5 of his letter dated 28th July last, No. 140.

In Con. 7th Nov. 1857.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM, *Sub-Secretary.*

No. 65. ORDER THEREON, No. 413; dated 29th March 1858.

Under the peculiar circumstances of this case, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the arrangement proposed by the Board for disposing of the case of the son of the late Persian Moonshee on the establishment of the Collector of Masulipatam, who for a short time was allowed to act in his father's situation.

2. The irregularity of disbursing part of the pay of the permanent appointment to other employees, is excused in consideration of the known insufficiency of the Collector's establishment in the Masulipatam District, for the increase of which, as proposed recently by the Board, application will be made to the Government of India.

Extract Board's Proceedings dated 9th June 1857.

(Signed) H. FORBES,
Acting Secretary to Government.

3
413

No. 689.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 23d February 1858.

In Con. 23d Feb. 1858

Read letter from the Acting Collector of Masulipatam dated 26th November 1857.

(Here enter No. 220.)

To Gov. 22nd October 1835.
From Govt. 10th in con. 16th Nov. 1835.

From the reports of the Acting Collector it appears that when Petitioner's father died in August 1832 Mr. Lushington did not permanently fill up the Office he held of Persian Record Keeper and Moonshee owing it is presumed to the Board having in 1835 declared that the situation should be abolished.

2. Mr. Lushington however permitted Petitioner's son to officiate in the office but instead of passing him the full salary (30 Rupees) month by month paid him periodically portions varying from ½ to ¼, the balance being drawn by another servant or credited to Government. This arrangement continued until July 1856 when the pay of the Moonshee's office was divided between a goomastah and a record keeper, Petitioner receiving 10 Rupees monthly from the sale proceeds of rejected papers until November 1856 when he ceased to receive any allowance and, it is presumed, to do any duty.

3. Petitioner complained to the Board in May 1857.

4. The whole of the arrangements reported by the Acting Collector were highly irregular but the office is not required and is omitted from the proposed Moyenzahitah. The Board cannot consequently suggest Petitioner's re-employment in that capacity and his ignorance of Telugu unfits him for any other situation on the Collector's establishment. The only question is whether the undrawn portion of the salary which has been credited to Government should be paid to him in consideration of his having performed the duties though without any formal appointment.

5. The Board think Petitioner has a reasonable claim to this indulgence and they resolve to request the sanction of Government for refunding the amount which apparently will not exceed Rupees 360. If this be conceded the Board consider that Petitioner will be treated with sufficient liberality as the office was not intended to be continued and the work of it was extremely light. Petitioner it may be remarked did not bring his position to notice until after his final removal. It is possible that his silence proceeded from some apprehension of the abolition of the office, if the circumstance of his father's death were brought prominently to the Board's notice.

6. The Acting Collector will report the amount of salary actually credited to Government. He will also state how the pay of the office is now appropriated and what are the sale proceeds of rejected papers

In Con. 7th Nov. 1857. referred to in para 5 of his letter dated 28th July last No. 140.

(A true Extract.)

Sub-Secretary.

To

H. FORBES, Esq.,
Acting Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

Copy to Collector.

Rec. 18th Departmt.
Dy to Cons 30th March
No. 268

Extract from the Proceedings
of the Board of Revenue

Dated 23d
Rec. 26th } February 1858.

4 Encls Returned

A. 689

No 413 29th March 1858.

Submitting Letter from the
Acting Collector of Moradabad
reporting on the case of the Pensioner
Mooneer of his office, Petitioner
No 1020 of 1857, with the Board's
proceedings recommending the claim
of Petitioner to the favourable consideration of Government

Revenue Departt

No 413

A/413 4/413

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
under date the 29th March 58.

Read the following
Extract from the Proceedings
of the Board of Revenue

(Recorded under 23d Feby 1858 at No 689)

Under the peculiar
circumstances of the
case, the N.W.P. Govt
(sanction the arrange-
ment proposed by
the Bd for disposing
of the case of the
Son of the late
Pensioner Kunwar
on the Establishment
of the late Begum of Mahuli:
pension. Rs 30
Rs from the date
Dec 1857 Date
has elapsed

in his present situation.

The irregularity of
disturning part of the
pay of the permanent
appointment & other
Emoluments is stated
in consideration
of the reasons in support
of the following
Establishment. Viz
the Rank in the
District. for the
increase of which
no further security
by the Bd. application
will be made to the
Govt. of India.

16. March/58

Ld. Bd. Rough
dd. 9. June/57.

Copied to the Bengal Govt.
with the Govt.
Dis. 30

Revenue 1858 Depth
Dpt to Cons: 30th March

No. 413
Extract Mins: of Cons:
Dated 29th March/58

Ex: Rec: of the Bd of Rev Dpt: 24/3/58
No. 563. in Cons: 4th May

Sanctioning the arrangement proposed by the Board for disposing of the case of the Son of the late Peroodoo Maoonshee on the Establishment of the Collector of Masulipatam who for a short time was allowed to act in Subordinate Situations.

5/413

No. 64865

16 March 58