


Revenue Department

Minutes of Consultation

16th Feb 1858

Back No 21742.

Order No 197 of 16th Feb 1858



மாண்புமிகு பிரதி-1

செய்தியைப்பற்றி பெயர்:

செய்தியைப்பற்றிக் கருத்து -
கொடுக்கப்பட்ட நாள்

13/10/08

செய்தியைப்பற்றி
கருத்து

13/10/08

செய்தியைப்பற்றி
கருத்து

13/10/08

செய்தியைப்பற்றி
கருத்து

செய்தியைப்பற்றி

10-11-08

Fort Saint George, Revenue Consultation of 16th February 1858.

No. 41. Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue; dated 29th January 1858, No. 453.

Read letter from the Acting Collector of Tanjore
* In Consultation 29th January 1858. Dated 20th November* reporting upon Government
petition No. 1394 of 1857.

(Here enter No. 171.)

The land which forms the subject of the present reference comprizes portions of 3 distinct grants.

2. The first of these entered in the Register as No. 212, was granted A. D. 1780 by Rajah Toolajee to Petitioner's great-grand-father, and the portion of it now in dispute appears to have been alienated and to have subsequently come into Petitioner's possession by purchase and mortgage, 3 of it was in 1802 held by the descendants of the original Grantee, but whether this is true as regards the remaining one third is matter of doubt.

3. The other Grants, which are numbered respectively 353 and 354 and were in 1802 in possession of the original family, are of date 1794, and were conferred by Amersingh Rajah.

4. It is clear therefore as remarked by the Acting Collector that the land is not altogether exempt from the interference of Government, but as the transactions in reference to the land seem to have been regular, and considerable sums changed hands upon the transfer, the Board think the parties concerned, who are divided brothers, may be allowed to obtain for their claims a regular judicial decision, on the express condition that the permission is not to prejudice the interests of Government, or to be taken as a proof that the land is rightfully held on Enam tenure.

Ordered that copy of these Proceedings together with the Acting Collector's letter be laid before Government with reference to the Endorsement dated 29th June upon petition No. 1394 of 1857.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM, Sub-Secretary.

No. 42. ORDER THEREON, No. 197; dated 16th February 1858.

Ordered that the following endorsement be passed on petition No. 1394 of 1857 from Vencoba Sastry of Tennalore in Combaconum Talook Tanjore district.

"Under Clause I. Sec. 2 Reg. 4 of 1831 petitioner is permitted to prosecute in the Civil Courts his claim against his younger brother M. Pootha Sastry for possession of the land "herein referred to."

"But this order shall not in any degree be held to prejudice the possible claims of Government on the land, or to afford proof of its being rightfully held as Enam."

(Signed) H. FORBES,
Acting Secretary to Government.

Supreme Department,

1845.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Council
of the Supreme Department, dated 1845.

Before 29th June 1845. The Council of the Supreme Department, in a session held on the 29th June 1845, reported to the Government the following petition of 1845.

1845. 1845. 1845.

The Council of the Supreme Department, in a session held on the 29th June 1845, reported to the Government the following petition of 1845.

2. The first of these petitions was the petition of 1845, which was granted - A.D. 1845 by the Supreme Department to the petitioners great-grandfather and the portion of it now in dispute appears to have been exhausted and to have subsequently come into possession of the petitioners by purchase and mortgage. 1/2nd of it was in 1845 held by the descendants of the original grantee, but

whether

whether this is true or regard the re-
maining one this is matter of doubt.

2. The other grants, which are numbered respectively 353 and 354 and were in 1892 in possession of the original family, are of date 1794, and were conferred by Alauddin Khan.

4. It is clear therefore, as
announced by the Acting Collector that
the Court is not sitting, then exempted
from the interference of Government.
~~And as the Administration in reference to~~
the land seem to have been regular, on
considerable sums changed hands upon
the transfer the Board think the in-
parties concerned, who are divided
brothers, may be allowed to obtain
for their claims a regular judicial
decision on the express condition that
the permission is not to prejudice the
interests of Government or to be taken
as a proof that the land is rightfully
held.

