

Revenue Department

Minutes of Consultations

25th August 1857

Back Numbers 12 - 14

Order no. 842 dated 21st August 1857

Fort Saint George, Revenue Consultation of 25th August 1857.

No. 12. Read the following Papers.

From C. Lutchmeeragavappah Naidoo of Chittaty Polliem in Cottah Talook Nellore; to T. PYCROFT Esq., Chief Secretary to Government; dated Chittadoo 26th April 1857.

HONORED SIR,

I beg most respectfully to enclose for submission to the Right Honorable the Governor in Council my address to the Board of Revenue in the matter of my Poligar office. It is not for me to comment on the Proceedings of the Board, but I leave it entirely to His Lordship to see the justice or otherwise of the Board's decision. Simple as my request was, they have not complied with it, a perusal of the documents was all that I solicited, and it is that which I still seek for at the hands of Government.

If the precious moments of His Lordship will not permit of an examination into my claims, I most respectfully entreat that he will at least order a re-investigation of my case by the present Collector, and that if it should then appear that there is no ground for interference in the matter His Lordship in Council will at least be pleased to accede him permission to sue for the recovery of his right in the Civil Courts.

No. 1066 of 1857.

Referred to the Board of Revenue for brief report.

(By order.)

FORT ST. GEORGE,
16th May 1857.

(Signed) M. NORMAN,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

No. 13. Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue; dated 10th August 1857, No. 2665.

Read Endorsement dated 16th May 1857 upon Government Petition, No. 1066 of 1857, presented by C. Lutchmeeragavappah.

(Here enter No. .)

* In Cons. 15th Sept. 1853. Ordered that the letter dated 24th August 1853* received from the Collector of Nellore be forwarded for the information of Government with reference to the above Endorsement.

2. The Petitioner's opponent it will be seen is a descendant of the original family while Petitioner appears to be connected only by marriage, as shewn in para. 3 of his Petition No. 2 of 1856 presented to the Board, though in p. p. 9 and 10 of the same Petition the connection by blood of the former is denied while Petitioner asserts that he holds a place in the line of direct descent.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) L. FORBES, Acting Sub-Secretary.

No. 14.

ORDER THEREON, No. 842; dated 21st August 1857.

This Petition was on the 16th of May 1857, forwarded for report to the Board of Revenue and in their Proceedings quoted above, the Board refer to a letter from the Collector of Nellore dated the 24th August 1853, as containing the information on which a decision hostile to Petitioner's claim was arrived at.

2. It would appear that in giving his opinion, the Collector relied mainly upon information given by the Zemindar of Vencatagherry, and the Government are of opinion that the Petitioner's case has not received that full attention which is its due.

3. They resolve therefore to request the Board to instruct the present Acting Collector to investigate the case *de nova*, and to report upon it to the Board after fully satisfying himself of the relationship to the original family of all claimants in the case.

(Signed) H. FORBES,

Acting Secretary to Government.

* செப்புநிடுபவர் பெயர் : சி. லட்சுமீராவப்பா *
* செப்புநிடுவதற்காக எடுத்தல் .. 27.11.2006 *
* கொள்ளப்பட்ட நாள் *
* செப்புநிடு முடிந்த நாள் 15-3-2007 *
* சரிபார்க்கும் 3507 *
* மு. ந. பா. எ. *
* எய்யப்பட்ட *

No 2665

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue,
dated 10th August 1854. No 2665

Read Indorsement dated 16th May
1854 upon Government Petition No 1066 of 1854 - pre-
sented by G. Sutehneragavappah -
(Hue Enter No)

In Con 15th Sept: '53.

Ordered that the letter dated 25th August
1853 received from the Collector of Nellore be for-
warded for the information of Government with
reference to the above Indorsement.

The Petitioner's opponent it will be seen
is a descendant of the original Family while Petitioner
appears to be connected only by marriage, as shown in
para: 3 of his Petition No 2 of 1856 presented to the
Board, though in para 9 and 10 of the same Petition
the connection by blood of the former is denied while Peti-
tioner asserts that he holds a place in the line of direct
descent.

(Attn Extract)

S. L. Doreau
Acting Sub-Secretary

W. H. Forbes Esq.
Acting Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

22nd Sept

upon

1/842

Received 1000 Depositions
2000 and 2500 cups

1000 800

Extract from the Records
of the Grand Jury

Noted 10:30 August 1897
Page 1173 August 1897

Enclosed 18-1-1000
1000 7-1000

1000 2000

1000 800 1000

Nothing at all
from the 1000 1000
1000 1000 1000
1000 1000 1000
1000 1000 1000

1000

1000 1000

5
842
Revenue Dept.

N^o 842

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 21st August 1857.

Read the following papers.

From C. A. Subbaraya Gopalaiah (Here entered 25th April 1857)

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Here entered 10th August 1857 & 18th 20th 21st 1857)

At
the

This petition was
on the 16th of August forwarded
for report to the Board of Revenue
and in their proceedings quote
above the Board refer to a letter
from the Collector of Nellore
dated the 21st August 1857 as
containing the information
on which a decision hostile
to petitioner's claims was ar-
rived at.

It would appear
that in giving his opinion the
Collector relied mainly
upon

3. They resolve therefore to request the Board to instruct the present Acting Collector to investigate the case, de novo, and to report upon it to the Board after fully satisfying himself of the relationship to the original family of all claimants in the case. &c.

3 Copies to the B. & O. R. R.
with 7. Encl.
Dis. 22.

[illegible]
$$\frac{6}{842}$$

Received 1859 Sept 10th
\$10.00 and 25¢ August 1st

No 842.

Contract. Illinois: of Bond.

27th August 1877

De Mo. D^e Brouin & Co.
De Mo. D^e Brouin & Co.

C15 Aug^t "A. 18"