(1)

(3)

Revenue Department

Minutes of Consultations

4th August 18[illegible]

Back Nos. [illegible] 25 - 26

Order No. [illegible] dated 3rd August 1857

(1) 24

Inward (7)

பாதுகாப்பு பிரிவு-1

செப்பனிடுபவர் பெயர்

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட நாள் 10/06

செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த நாள் 11/07

சரிபார்க்கும் முதல் மு.தி.பர்.எ. கையொப்பம்

சரிபார்க்கும் உ.தி.பா.எ. கையொப்பம்

தொகுப்பி உதவியாளர் கையொப்பம்

6

Fort Saint George, Revenue Consultation of 4th August 1857.

No. 25. Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue ; dated 22d July 1857, No. 2468.

Read letter from the Collector of Rajahmundry dated 23d March 1857.*

* *In Consultation 22d July* 1857.

(Here enter No. 36.)

The claim which forms the subject of Petition No. 3105 of 1856† referred to the Board by endorsement dated 10th February for report, was fully enquired into and disposed of by the Board in 1856.

† *Herewith returned.*

From Rajahmundry 30th August in Cons. 7th Oct. 1856.
Proceedings to Collector 7th October 1856.

2. It was found that the lands claimed had been entered in the accounts as Jeroyaty ever since Fusly 1214, and the Petitioner's assertion that his family had enjoyed them up to Fusly 1251 was not true.

3. The claim was therefore disallowed.

(A true Extract.)
(Signed) L. FORBES, *Acting Sub-Secretary.*

No. 26. ORDER THEREON No. 774; dated 3d August 1857.

1. With these Proceedings the Board of Revenue report upon Petition 3105 of 1856, Petitioner complained that a certain enam which belonged to him up to Fusly 1252, had been since that period entered in the accounts as Jeroyaty. In reply to the call‡ for a report the Board now state that the question was fully enquired into in 1856, and disposed of by them§. On referring to the Collector's report dated 30th August 1856 No. 72, it appears that Petitioner's statement that the enam was in his possession as late as 1252 is incorrect, the fact being that it has been entered in the accounts as Jeroyaty ever since Fusly 1214. Government accordingly concur with the Board of Revenue in rejecting Petitioner's claim, and resolve that the following endorsement be made upon Petition 3105 of 1856.

‡ *Dated 10th February* 1857.

§ *Proceedings para. 2.*
Proceedings to Rajahmundry 7th October 1856.

"Upon a perusal of the proceedings in the case, Government concur with the Board in considering Petitioner's claim wholly untenable."

(Signed) H. FORBES,
Acting Secretary to Government.

6 Revenue Department.

No. 2468

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 22d July, 1857.

Read letter from the Collector of Rajahmundry dated 23d March 1857.

2 Ltr 22d July 1857.

(Here Enter No. 36)

The claim which forms the subject of Petition No 3103/1856 × herewith returned, referred to the Board by Endorsement dated 10th February for report, was fully enquired into and disposed of by the Board in 1856.

Fr. Rajahy 30 Augt
& Ltr 4 Octr 1856
Pr to Collr 4 Octr 1856.

2. It was found that the lands claimed had been entered in the accounts as Jeroyty ever since Fasly 1211. and the Petitioners assertion that his family had enjoyed them up to Fasly 1251 was not true.

3. The claim was therefore disallowed.

(A true Extract)

L. Lowry
agtd Secretary

To,
H. Forbes Esqr
agd Secretary to Government
Revenue Department.

x 2 Pkts

Rec.d 1857 Depart.
D.o to Covs 1/4 1/2 Augt.

№ 834.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Dated 22.d July 1857.
Rec.d 23.d July 1857.

12 Encls.
2 Petitions
10 Do. Do. Copy.

№ 774. 3.d August

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Rajahmundry reporting with reference to the claim which forms the subject of Petition № 3105 of 1850 that it had been entered in the accounts of Seroyty ever since Fasly 1214.-

№ 25.

C.y to Lady R.d Aug.t

(5) (6) R D

No: 774

Extract from the Minutes of Consultations
Under date the 3d July 1857

Read Ext: from the Proc:
of the Board of Rev: No 2464
of 22 July 1857.

1 With Ref to proceedings the
Board of Revenue upon Petn 3105 of 1856
Petr complained that a
certain Enam which
belonged Dhum up to
Fl 1252, had been since
that period entered in
the accounts as Jeroyatty.
In reply to the Call for a report
the Board now state that
the Question was fully en-
quired into in 1856,
and disposed of by them
upon perusal of the Collectors
report dated 30 May
1856 to 72, it appears

dated 16 Feb 1857.

Prop to 2.
Prop & Rej: 7 Oct 1856

That Pet^rs statement
that the Exam^r ~~has~~ was
~~been~~ in his possession
as late as 1852 is ~~totally~~
incorrect, ~~false~~, the fact being
that it has been entered
in the accounts as
Jersey ~~Duty~~ ever since
F^b 1846. Let accordingly
Concur with the B^d
of Revenue in rejecting
Pet^rs claim, and
resolve that the following
Endorsement be made
upon Pet^n 3105 of 1856

"Upon a perusal of
"the proceedings in the
"Case, Lords concur with
"the Board in considering
"Pet^rs claim wholly
"untenable." J.T.

2. ~~It is observed~~
~~that the date of the~~
~~Lords Endorsement calling~~

3 Copies to the Bd of Rev
with 2 Encls each
Pet^r Resp^t with 10 Docs
1 one — Rd

Revenue 1857 Septr.
Dto Cons 1st Augt.

No 774.

Extract Mins of Cons.
Dd 3d August 57.

Ordering an endorsement to the effect that on a perusal of the Proceedings in the case of Petn No 105 of 1856 from Rajahmundry Govt concur with the Board in considering the Petitioners claim wholly untenable".

No 25 & 26.

cy Govt 10 Augt