(F)
7

Revenue Department

Minutes of Consultations.

7th July 1857

Back Numbers 27-28

Order no 682 dated 6th July 1857

பாதுகாப்பு பிரிவு - 1.

செப்பனிடுபவர் பெயர்

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்
கொள்ளப்பட்ட நாள்.

செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த நாள் 7/1/07

சரிபார்க்கும்
முதல் கு. நி. பா. எ.
கையொப்பம்

ஒப்புநோக்கும்
உ. நி. பா. எ.
கையொப்பம்

தேர்ச்சி
உரியோனர்
கையொப்பம்

2

Fort Saint George, Revenue Consultation of 7th July 1857.

No. 27. Read the following letter from F. LUSHINGTON, Esq., Civil Auditor; to H. FORBES, Esq., Acting Secretary to Government; Revenue Department, dated Fort St. George; 18th June 1857, No. 240.

SIR,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extract Minutes Consultation dated 29th Ultimo, No. 510, Revenue Department, referring for my report the claim of Mr. Banbury, Acting additional Sub-Collector of North Arcot, to receive the portion of the salary of that office which remains undrawn after deducting his own deputation allowance and the absentee allowance paid to the permanent incumbent Mr. Pauncefote now in England on sick certificate.

2. In reply, I beg to state that Mr. Pauncefote having been permanently nominated to the office of additional Sub-Collector, was, on his proceeding on sick leave allowed according to the Rules, to receive during his absence a moiety of his salary, or Rupees 583-5-4 per month, while Mr. Banbury who was appointed to act for him, as well as Mr. Elliot who was ordered to act for Mr. Banbury have drawn Rupees 233-5-4 and Rupees 200 respectively, as allowed by Section XXV. of the absentee rules; thus leaving a saving to the state of Rupees 150 a month from the amount sanctioned for the additional Sub-Collector's Cutcherry.

3. The salary and deputation allowance of Mr. Pauncefote and Messrs. Banbury and Elliot having been allotted according to rule, it will rest with the Government to determine whether the services rendered by Mr. Banbury to the State are such as to warrant a departure from that rule. After a careful search on the records of this office, I have been unable to discover any case precisely analogous to the present, but in respect to the Sub-Collectorship of Masulipatam which appointment was also sanctioned originally only for two years, I find that the officer who officiated for Mr. Fraser during his temporary incumbency of the Collectorate received only the usual deputation allowance.

No. 28. ORDER THEREON, No. 682; dated 6th July 1857.

The Board submit a letter from the Collector of North Arcot, speaking in high terms of Mr. Acting Additional Sub-Collector Banbury's conduct and qualifications, giving cover to his application to be allowed to receive the portion of the salary of that office which remains undrawn. The Civil Auditor reports that the salary and allowances paid to Mr. Banbury are according to rule; and that he is unable to discover any precedent for the proposed grant.

2. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is gratified to learn that Mr. Whittingm entertains a high opinion of Mr. Banbury's usefulness, but he does not see any thing so special in the present case as to justify a deviation from the rules for the payment of deputation allowance, and cannot therefore comply with Mr. Banbury's application to draw more than the usual sum.

(Signed) H. FORBES,
Acting Secretary to Government.

№ 240.

From

F. Lushington Esqre

Civil Auditor.

To,

H. Forbes Esqre

Acting Secretary to Government

Revenue Department

Dated 18th June 1855.

Sir,

Fiscal Dept.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extract Minutes Consultation dated 29th Ultimo № 510 Revenue Department referring for my report the claim of Mr. Bamburry Acting Additional Sub Collector of North Arcot to receive the portion of the salary of that Office which remains undrawn after deducting his own deputation allowance and the Allowance paid to the permanent incumbent Mr. Paunceforte now in England on Sick Certificate

2. In reply I beg to state that Mr. Paunceforte having been permanently nominated to the Office of Additional Sub Collector, was on his proceeding on sick leave allowed according to the Rules, to receive during his absence a moiety of his salary or Rs 583-5-4 per month, while Mr. Bamburry who was appointed to act for him, as well as

as Mr Elliot who was ordered to act for Mr Bambury have drawn Rs 233-5-4 and Rs 200 respectively as allowed by Section XXV of the Absentee Rules; this leaving a saving to the State of Rs 150 a month from the amount sanctioned for the additional Sub Collection's Cutcherry -

3. The Salary and Deputation allowance of Mr Pauncefote and Messrs Bambury and Elliot having been allotted according to Rule, it will rest with the Government to determine whether the services rendered by Mr Bambury to the State are such as to warrant a departure from that Rule. After a careful search on the records of this Office I have been unable to discover any case precisely analagous to the present but in respect to the Sub-Collectorship of Masulipatam which appointment was also sanctioned originally only for two years I find that the Officer who officiated for Mr Fraser during his temporary incumbency of the Collectorate received only the usual Deputation allowance -

I have the honor to

Sir,

Your most obedt Se

Civil Auditor

Civil Auditor's Office,
Fort Saint George,
The 18th June 1857.

Cons: 2d June 1857 Nos 11-12

Rev. 1857 Sept
2d to Cons 7th July

11
VI

From,

The Civil Auditor -

To,

The Acting Secretary
to Govt
Revenue Dept

Dated 18th June
Rec'd 22nd June 1857

No 240

Nos 682 6th July

With reference to E M C dated 29th Ultimo R. D. regarding the claim of Mr Bambury for the undrawn portion of Salary of Addl Sub Coll of North Arcot, states that he is unable to discover any case precisely analagous to the present

No 27.

26th June - 2d July

R. D

N.o 682 5"

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

Under date the 6th July 1857.

Read again Extract

from the Proceedings of the

Board of Revenue dated 18th

May and recorded in Diary

to Cons: 2d June 1857 N.o 1639.

Read also the following

letter from the Civil Auditor

Here under 18th June 1857 N.o 240/

The Board submit

a letter from the Collector of

North Arcot, speaking in

high terms of Mr. Acting

additional Sub Collector

Bambury's Conduct and

qualifications, giving covers

to his application to be

allowed to receive the portion

of

of the Salary of that Office which remains undrawn. The Civil Auditor reports that the Salary and Allowances paid to Mr Bunbury are according to rule; and that he is unable to discover any precedent for the proposed grant.

2 The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is gratified to learn that Mr Whittinghom entertains a high opinion of Mr Bunbury's usefulness, but he does not see any thing so special in the present case as to justify a deviation from the rule for the payment of his deputation allowance, and cannot therefore comply with Mr Bunbury application

8.

application to draw more than the usual sum-

6c pp

3 Copies to the Bd of Com. Civ. Sy
1 — Civil Auditor. 1

Revenue 1857 Deptt.
D^o to Ours 4^th July

No 682.
Extract Mins of Ours.
D^o 6^th July 57

Observing that Govt see
no thing so Special in the present
case of Mr Banbury, Additional
Sub Collr of North Arcot, as
to justify a deviation from
the rules for the payment
of deputation allowance, &
that they cannot therefore
comply with his application
to draw more than the usual
sums.

No 27 & 28.

Rec^d 12 June — Rd 12 July