(1)
5

Revenue Department

Minutes of Consultations

7th July 1857

Back Numbers 9–10

Order no: 673 dated 2nd July 1857

673

பரத்தாப்பு பிரிவு - - 1.

செப்பனிடுபவர் பெயர்

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட நாள்.

செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த நாள் 7/1/07

சரிபார்க்கும் முதல் மு: நி. பா. அ. கையொப்பம்

சரிபார்க்கும் இ. நி. பா. எ. கையொப்பம்

அரசாட்சி உரிமையாளர் கையொப்பம்

Fort Saint George, Revenue Consultation of 7th July 1857.

No. 9. Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue; dated 26th June 1857, No. 2195.

Read letter from the Collector of Chingleput dated 21st May 1857* forwarding copy and translation of the decree in suit No. 1 of 1856, on the file of the Principal Sudder Ameen's Court dismissing the case with costs:— stating that the Plantiffs have appealed against the decision in the Civil Court, and requesting authority for filing an appeal answer, draft of which is submitted for approval, with copy of the Appeal Petition.

**In Cons. 26th June 1857.*

(Here enter No. 136.)

Board to Government 7th March 1856.
Ex. Mins. Cons. 10*th March* 1856, *No.* 279, *R. D.*

Resolved that the foregoing letter, and its enclosures, be submitted to Government, with reference to the correspondence noted in the margin.

2. The original suit was instituted by 55 weavers of Chingleput to obtain the cancelment of a Cowle granted by the Collector for 2⅜ Cawnies of lands, which they claimed as a portion of a piece of land set apart as their burning and burying ground.

3. The Principal Sudder Ameen has pronounced the claims of the Plaintiffs to be inadmissible. He states that they are not Mecrassidars, and consequently have no right to waste lands, and they have no documentary evidence of the disputed land being appropriated as burning ground. He is also of opinion that if the land in qeustion had been occupied as asserted by Plaintiffs for a lengthened period, it would have been so entered in the Pymash accounts.

4. The appeal Plaint contains a reiteration of the statements in the original Plaint with comments on the decision of the Principal Sudder Ameen, and the answer proposed by the Collector meets the various issues raised in it.

5.* The Board recommend that the Collector may be authorized to continue the defence in the Appeal suit also at the public expense.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM, *Acting Secretary.*

No. 10. ORDER THEREON, No. 673; dated 2d July 1857.

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for the defence in the first instance at the public expense of appeal Suit No. 34 of 1857, on the file of the Civil Court of Chingleput in which the Collector of that District is one of the Respondents; but will determine, when a copy of the decree is furnished whether the costs, if any be adjudged against the Collector, shall be borne by that officer or by the Government.

(Signed) H. FORBES,
Acting Secretary to Government.

from the Cancelment of a Cowle granted by the Collector for 2⅜ Cawnies of lands, which

Revenue Department.

No 2195

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 26 June, 1857.

Read letter from the Collector of Chingleput dated 21 May 1857 forwarding Copy and translation of the decree in Suit No 1 of 1856 on the file of the Principal Sudder Ameen's Court dismissing the case with Costs.- stating that the Plaintiffs have appealed against the decision in the Zilla Court, and requesting authority for filing an appeal answer, draft of which is submitted for approval, with Copy of the Appeal Petition.

(Here Enter No 136)

Resolved that the foregoing letter and its inclosures, be submitted to Government, with reference to the Correspondence noted in the margin.

The original Suit was instituted by 35 Weavers of Chingleput to obtain the cancelment of a Cowle granted by the Collector for 2½ Cawnies of lands, which

To Govt 26 January 1857

To Govt 1 March 1858.
To Govt 26 March 1858 No 19

which they claimed as a portion of a
piece of land set apart as their burning
and burying ground.

3. The Principal Sudder
Ameen has pronounced the claims of the
Plaintiffs to be inadmissible. He states
that they are not Peons-sedars, and
consequently have no right to waste lands,
and they have no documentary evidence
of the disputed land being appropriated
as a burning ground. He is also of opinion
that if the land in question had been
occupied as asserted by Plaintiffs
for a lengthened period, it would have
been so entered in the Pymash accounts.

4. The Appeal Plaint contains
a reiteration of the Statements in the
original Plaint with comments on the
decision of the Principal Sudder Ameen
and the answer put forward by the Collector
meets the various issues raised in it.

5. The Board recommend
that the Collector may be authorized
to continue the defence in the Appeal
Suit

H 51

Suit also at the public Expence.

(A true Extract)

A Stuart
Ag Secretary.

To,
H. Forbes Esqre.
Ag Secretary to Government
Revenue Department.

Revenue 1857 No 6
Dto Cause 1st July

No 746

Extract from the
Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue

Dated 26
Recd 27th June 1857
6 Encls

No 2195

No 673 2nd July

Submitting a letter
from the Collector of
Chingleput forwarding
the decree in Suit No 1 of
1856 of the Principal Sudder
Ameen's file, together with
copy of an appeal therefrom,
and draft of his proposed
answer to the same; and
recommending that the
Collector may be authorized
to conduct the defence in the
Appeal Suit also at the public expence.
With an order

No 9040

30 June — 21 July

No 673

The Right Honorable
the Governor in Council grants
authority for the defence in the
first instance at the public expence
of Appeal Suit No 36 of 1857 on the
file of the Civil Court of Chingleput
in which the Collector of that District
is one of the Respondents; but will
determine when a Copy of the decree
is furnished whether the costs, if
any be adjudged against the Col-
lector, shall be borne by that Officer
or by the Government.

J. W. St. George
2nd July 1857

Report to the Bd of Rev
with 8 Encls