(b) (c)

Revenue Department

Minutes of Consultations

2nd June 1857

Back Numbers 11-12

order no 510 dated 29th May 1857

பாதுகாப்புப்பிரிவு-1

செப்பனிடுபவர் பெயர் .. ஞ.அவமி ஒவல்

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக
எடுத்துக்கொள்ளப்பட்ட நாள் .. 07/2006

செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த நாள் .. 09/2006

சரிபார்க்கும்
முதல் மு.நி.பா.எ.
கையொப்பம்

சரிபார்க்கும்
இரண்டாம் மு.நி.பா.எ.
கையொப்பம்

ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளர்
கையொப்பம்

No. 11. Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 18th May 1857, No. 1639.

Read letter from the Collector of North Arcot dated 20th April 1857.*

In Con. 18th May 1857.

(Here enter No. 82.)

In this letter, Mr. Whittingham submits the claims of his acting additional Sub-Collector Mr. Banbury, to receive the portion of the salary of that office which remains undrawn after deducting his own deputation allowance and the absentee allowance paid to the permanent incumbent, Mr. Pauncefote, now in England on sick certificate.

2. The appointment of additional Sub-Collector was sanctioned for two years for a special purpose, Mr. Pauncefote was appointed to it on the 10th May 1856, but never took up the office as he was detained at Madura till he ultimately left the Presidency on leave, and Mr. Banbury was nominated on the same date (10th May 1856) to officiate for him.

3. Under the absentee Rules Mr. Pauncefote draws Rs. 583-5-4 monthly. Mr. Banbury receives Rupees 233-5-4, and the Acting Head Assistant officiating for the latter Gentleman Rupees 200, a balance of Rupees 150 remaining thus undrawn out of the full allowances of the additional Sub-Collectorate.

NOTE.—*These figures are supplied by Mr. Banbury.*

4. Mr. Banbury requests that he may be permitted to draw this amount, and the Board submit his claim for the consideration of Government, because it appears to them that if a departure from the letter of the rules is permissible under any circumstances, it may be allowed in a case like the present where a certain sum has been granted for the performance of a special duty, and unforeseen circumstances have prevented the person who has been actually performing the work from receiving the remuneration specially assigned for it.

5. Mr. Whittingham speaks in high terms of Mr. Banbury's conduct and qualifications, and even if his claim be deemed inadmissible, it seems to the Board but just that Government should be made aware of the position Mr. Banbury has occupied and the satisfactory manner in which he has conducted his duties.

6. The Board cannot but express their disapproval of Mr. Whittingham having assigned such onerous duties to the additional Sub-Collector.

7. It appears that Mr. Banbury has for a considerable period held charge of 5 Revenue talooks and 14 Police Divisions, besides apparently "the usual duties" of an Assistant, Mr. Whittingham thus divested himself entirely of the detail management of the Principal Division of the Collectorate and imposed on Mr. Banbury an amount of work and responsibility much in excess of what should have been assigned to an officer of his standing with a comparatively limited establishment.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM, *Acting Secretary.*

No. 12. ORDER THEREON, No. 510; dated 29th May 1857.

Ordered to be referred to the Civil Auditor for report.

(Signed) H. FORBES,
Acting Secretary to Government.

Revenue Department

No 1639

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 18th May 1857.

Read letter from the Collector of Trichinopoly dated 7th May 1857 & Northwood dated 30th April 1857.

(Here Ex No 82)

In this letter Mr Whitting submits the claims of his acting additional Sub Collector Mr Bambury to receive the portion of the Salary of that Office which remains undrawn after deducting his own Pay Allowance allowance and the absentee allowance paid to the permanent incumbent Mr Paumier who is now in England on sick Certificate

2 The appointment of additional Sub Collector was sanctioned for two years for a special purpose. Mr Paumier who was appointed first in the 16 May 1856 but never took up the Office as he was detained at Madura till he ultimately left the Presidency on leave and Mr Bambury

Banbury was nominated on the same date (10 May 1856) to officiate for him.

3. Under the above Rule (2) Mr Banbury receives Rs 333. 5. 4 monthly. Mr Banbury receives Rs 233. 5. 4 – and the acting Head assistant officiating for the latter gentleman Rs 300 a balance of Rs 150 remaining that can be drawn out of the full allowances of the additional Sub Collectorate.

Note These figures supplied by Mr Banbury.

4. Mr Banbury requests that he may be permitted to draw the amount and the Board submit his claim for the consideration of Government because it appears to them that if a departure from the letter of the rules is permissible under any circumstances, it may be allowed in a case like the present where a certain sum has been granted for the performance of a special duty, and unforeseen circumstances have prevented the person who has been actually performing the work from receiving the remuneration specially assigned for it.

Mr

5. Mr Whittingham speaks in high terms of Mr Banbury's conduct and qualifications, and even if his claim be deemed inadmissible it seems to the Board but just that Government should be made aware of the position Mr Banbury has occupied and the satisfactory manner in which he has conducted his duties.

6. The Board cannot but express their disapproval of Mr Whittingham having assigned such onerous duties to the additional Sub Collector.

7. It appears that Mr Banbury has for a considerable period held charge of 5 Revenue Talooks and 6 Police Divisions besides apparently "the usual duties" of an Assistant. Mr Whittingham does devolve himself entirely of the detail management of the Principal Division of the Collectorate and imposed on Mr Banbury an amount of work and responsibility much in excess of what should have been assigned to an Officer of his standing with a

comparatively

comparatively limited Establishment.

(A true Extract)

A. Lun
ag Secretary.

To

H. Forbes Esq.
ag Secretary to Government
Revenue Department.

Gt Manchester

No. 570

Ordered to be re-
ferred to the Board of Revenue
for report.

Fort St George
24th May 1857

Copy to the Civil Auditor Dispatch
with Despatch

510/5

Revenue 1857 Jan 28
No 2
Extract from the Proceedings
of the Board of Revenue

Dated 18th May 1857.
Rec'd 19th "
2 Inds.

From the Civil Auditor No 1032
1857 dated 15th May

Submitting with the
Board's observations thereon the
form the Collector's Report
Court relative to the claim
of Mr Bandury Ag Collector
Collector to the Provincial Court
Army of that Office, after de-
ducting his own disallowance
allowance and the Accountant
Allowance of the Permanent
recommended Mr Bourne's
and recommending the claim
to the favourable consideration
of Government.

No 1142.

Fort St George
No 570. 19th May 1857