

$\frac{1}{355}$

Revenue Department

Minutes of Consultations

21st April 1857

Back N^o 25-26

Order no 355 dated 17th April 1857

16 April 1857

355

Fort Saint George, Revenue Consultation of 21st April 1857.

No. 25. Read the following Petition.

To the Right Honorable LORD HARRIS, Governor in Council Fort St. George ; dated 14th February 1857.

The humble Petition of Vencadasala Naik
Meerassadar of Manulloor of Keevaloor talook in
Tanjore District.

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR LORDSHIP,

With due respect I beg to present this to your Lordship that I purchased 4 vaily in Nunja with Punja lands and repaired 100 coolies of lands in Peramboke lands and erected therein tiled house, &c. and enjoyed it when Narrayanasamy Naik began to lay claim upon the said lands, the decisions passed by four Collectors and by the two Courts and by the Revenue Board that the said Narrayanasamy Naik should not enjoy it, that I should enjoy. Concerning which Rengappa Naik forwarded a Memorial to the Honorable Court of Directors of United East India Company, to which an order was sent from the said Honorable Court of Directors that I may enjoy only 18 coolies of land by entering of it in the accounts by the name of the said Rengappa Naik, and that the remaining lands may be delivered in his possession. In consequence of which, I have addressed a Petition to the Honorable Court of Directors of United East India Company, and beg to enclose herewith 3 Petitions according to Rule, with 7 Documents.

I most respectfully beg your Lordship will be pleased to extend your feelings of commiseration on me the poor, and upon inspecting them condescend to forward the said Petition to the Honorable Court of Directors of United East India Company and use your Lordship's influence that my opponent may not interfere with the lands in dispute until I receive an order from the Honorable Court of Directors of United East India Company.

No. 26. ORDER THEREON, No. 355 ; dated 17th April 1857.

Ordered that the Memorial which accompanied the above address be forwarded to the Honorable Court of Directors, and that intimation of its despatch be made to the Memorialist.

(Signed) H. FORBES,
Acting Secretary to Government.

மாதுகாபு பிரிவு-1

செய்ப்பனிடுபவர் பெயர் *அ. 2229 வெம்மீ*

செய்ப்பனிடுவதற்காக *7/66*

எடுத்துக்கொள்ளப்பட்ட நாள் *8/66*

செய்ப்பனிட்டு முடித்த நாள்

6900

சரிபார்க்கும்

சரிபார்க்கும்

அ. 2229 வெம்மீ

முதல் மு.நி.பா.எ.

2ம். நி.பா.எ.

உதவியாளர்

கையொப்பம்

கையொப்பம்

கையொப்பம்

N^o 2^d Petition of Petitioner

To The Right Honorable Lord Harrison
Governor in Council
Fort St George 18th 1841

The humble Petition of Venkatasala
Naik Merasoodar of Manulloor of
Kewaloor Talook in Tanjore District

May it please your Lordship,

With due respect I beg to present
this to your Lordship that I purchased 4 vaisy in Nunga
with Punga lands and repaired 100 Coolies of lands in
Pramboke lands & erected therein tiled house &c. and
enjoy it when Narayana samy Naik began to lay
claim upon the said lands, the decessions passed by your
collectors & by the two courts & by the Revenue Board
that the said Narayana samy Naik should not enjoy it,
that I should enjoy. Concerning which Punga Ppa
Naik forwarded a memorial to the Honorable Court
of Directors of united East India Company, to which
an order was sent from the said Honorable Court
of Directors that I may enjoy only 10 Coolies of
land by entering of it in the accounts by the name of
the said Punga Ppa Naik and that the remaining

On the 10th of March 1841 a memorial was sent to the said Venkatasala Naik must have
if the claim is then the said Venkatasala Naik must have

lands may be delivered in his possession. In consequence
of which I have addressed a Petition to the Honorable
Court of Directors of United East India Company
& beg to enclose herewith 3. Petition according to Rule
with 7 Documents.

I most respectfully beg your
 Lordship will be pleased to extend your feelings
 of Commiseration on me the poor & upon inspecting
 them Comdescend to forward the said Petition to the
 Honorable Court of Directors of united East India
 Company & use your Lordships influence that
 my opponent may not interfere with the lands
 in dispute until I receive an order from the
 Honorable Court of Directors of united East India
 Company

For which act of humanity
Your Lordship's Petitioner as in
duty bound shall ever Pray

5/15/1909

14 Feb 1857

To The Honorable court of Directors

of united East India Company.

The humble Memorial of Vemcadasala
Vaik Merasadar of Marudulloor in
Keevaloor of Tanjore District.

Lambly Sheweth

That with every sentiment of Respect,
and submission I beg to present this my humble Petition
to your Honors under full confidence, that you will have
great humanity, to take my case into your serious
consideration, and give me justice.

I beg leave to state that I purchased 4. vates in
Kunga and certain Bonga lands besides this I took great
deal of trouble in repairing waste lands for the use
of threshing floor to the extent of 100 acres of land at
the expence of Rs 1000 for erecting a tiled house and
a stable and a thatched house and backyard & planted
30. coconut trees.

2. Narayanaswamy Nitch grand son of Subbappa Nitch made objection before the gentleman mentioned under that the threshing floor belongs to him - the decision made by Messrs. Henderson, Sir J. C. Montgomery Bart. Minchin, Goodwyn that I am entitled to enjoy the said lands according to the existing custom of the

Village and to the records of the Cirkar.
3. Thengappa Naik of Wotay Jattay who purchased
some lands from Narayanaswamy Naik in 1851.
the said Thengappa Naik disputed in that year regarding
the erection of my house, concerning which I presented a
petition to the Head Assistant Collector who in 1852 ordered
that I may enjoy only 40. valies of land and leave the
lands to the enjoyment of all in general - afterwards
upon my application to the Collector, he passed a decision
that I may enjoy 100 coolies of land and in the mean
time the said Thengappa Naik prevailed upon the
Burnum to his interest to give account as if he had
cultivated the said land and played tricks that he had
not lost for 8 m. and within one
which, Burnum & others were passing
account was rejected.

4. The said Thengappa Naik as it is said in the 3rd
Paragraph forwarded his memorial to your Honors setting
forth therein that he had lost his enjoyment of lands
by the unjust order of the Collector, where his Memorial
was referred to the Collector to inquire and report of it
I represented all the particulars to the Collector, as the
Collector has not informed of the true fact to your Honors
the Collector informed me that it is mentioned in the
minute of consultation under date of 5th September 1856
that I enjoy 18. coolies of land by order of the dispute
of the lands to the Memorialist and that the remaining
lands may be delivered to the Memorialist except the

135
said 18. coolies. Neg. to enclose herewith documents
from 1 to 8

5. He by whom the Memorialist obtained
claim upon the said lands is exempted from all
rights by virtue of the aforesaid documents and
decision and is not liable to possess the said lands
the said land in dispute is not belonged to him
Others. 1. 2. 3. 5. 6. documents will prove of it in the
said lands had been for the use of all Murascodars
and of the Cirkar the Purash accounts and the decree
did not show that the Memorialist has any claim
upon the said lands there is no custom to write it in
the Cirkar accounts

6. In the deeds which may be executed upon the
lands of the Village, it is not custom to put
said Memorialist but it is known that every one
should enjoy the said land in general and Memorialist
it in the same manner as my Predecessor enjoyed
but I have not enjoyed it by order of the Collector
The Collector has no reason to allow me to enjoy it, the
Memorialist says falsely that I obtained 18. coolies of
land from him who gave the Memorialist rights
it is quite contrary to the custom of the Village, the lands
in dispute was never in their possession

7. The Burnum from whom he obtained the grounds
for enjoyment and who invented it was burnished
his accounts were rejected, although
grounds may enjoyment is therein
but enjoyment for a short time is
not enjoyment is therein
not enjoyment is therein
not enjoyment is therein

FD 2108, B 1510

in the 3rd Paragraph subsequently.

8. I am lawful heir to possess the waste lands according to Regulations and to the custom of the Country it was ~~not~~ changed and also my engagement for 30 years, if it be ordered to change my engagement and enter it by the name of the Memorialist, how could I obtain justice.

9. At the time when the lands in dispute come to the notice of British, it must be delivered according to Regulation, but the remainder should be bought for paying tax for the Merasodars they all must make objection.

10. You would the property which is not in possession of the despoiler be delivered to the Memorialist.

Therefore I most respectfully beg your Honors to cancel your favorable feelings towards me the poor and upon perusing all the accompanying documents and after your order according to Regulation and render me justice.

By K. S. Sanyal
1857
Tanjore

Santhosh act of justice
I am duly bound
shall ever pray
G. D. V. S. 1857

1857/5

No 218.

6
96

Memorandum of an order sent to Mirasdar
Jahadur of Kavalur Taluk

Your Order in No 178 under date of 11th of the present month had been forwarded here setting forth therein that Gannappa Nirk agent to Audappa Nirk informed you that Venkadasala Nirk had erected an house upon Promboke lands which belongs to all in general and lodge therein and that Curnum had given accounts stating that he cultivated the said lands and that it should not be delivered to him and that upon making enquiry into the subject, Curnum stated that Venkadasala Nirk erected a house in 18 coles of land but that it is not cultivated and that as he said that he will cultivate it he, the Curnum gave account of additional cultivation for the said 18 coles of land.

With respect to the said subject, the said land is Promboke land but that there is no reason for the complainant to say that it belongs to all in general. altho it may perhaps belong to all in general. yet he who is Mirasdar of the Village erected house and lodge in but not others it is existing rule that 40. coles of land must be delivered to him according to Regulation out of which he built a house upon 18 coles of land and as such if the complainant has the said Venkadasala Nirk must have disputed at the time in which he built a house two years before but on the contrary that he began to dispute at

Margarita
22 February
1878.
(Signed) Kindred
Collector

Order sent to Sama Bener Schilder of
Kerualoor, Talook.

When the subjects of the Petition
presented by Veeroodasala Noik, Inmasoodar of Manmullor,
was enquired by order of Durjor, it is mentioned in your agree
in No. 549 of the 2nd of the present month, that the 18
coolies of land in which, Veeroodasala Noik, over took a house, is
the land of Prampole of Chikar, that it is belonged to the
share of all Inmasoodars in general, that for as much as it
agrees to and as much as Noik, has no reason to make, objection,
against it, that a like it was common to all, yet that he,
who is the Inmasoodar, of the Village, over a house, & elsewhere,
in, that it is existing Custom to possess 40 coolies of land
according to Regulation in that Village, that while there
was no dispute, at the time, when Veeroodasala Noik, ~~was~~
is not right ^{to object} two years after the erection of the house, that
it ought not to be admitted that, according to the order of Durjor,
to collect the Tax upon the report of Cusum. that ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~same~~
entered as additional Cultivation, that Veeroodasala Noik
requests to deliver to him 40 coolies of land according to the
former order, out of 350 coolies of land Prampole in order
to erect a house in 18 coolies of land in the western side that

With respect to that, while the said 350. coolies of land is Corhar, Ponnabala. Goundappa Naik agent to andappa Naik, has no right to dispute about it it comes to under stand that he ^{is} ~~is~~ ^{the} ~~the~~ land in the east side altho 40. coolies of land was delivered to the said Venkatacala Naik, yet that Goundappa Naik disputes that there is 300 coolies of land is not right, therefore according to the former order you should deliver 40. Coolies of land to Venkatacala Naik according to Regulation out of his back year in Ponnabala

Book

Collector

Plaintiff

Dependants

Homestead Mail
his brother in law

William H. West

Pharmaceutical

Approved Policy

Documents of the 14

Polonia R. Maryland

Legendre

Wishes that the subject

~~Captain the Sargall~~

except the book of 1000

Large [unclear] [unclear]

and Judge

which was mounted

The ground in dispute is common both to the Meraca Indians to the Carhar and which is Gram list the Plaintiff has no claim upon that land. Plaintiff brought this upon the ground of his enjoyment of the land in dispute with the Carhar for the use of the Meraca Indians & of the Carhar together with the San Antonio Indians common pasture & range land pasture & high roads were together without paying taxes this Plaintiff who is one of the partners of the Village brought this case as a ground for the enjoyment of the said land - it is not correct.

The witnesses on the part of the Plaintiff depose
 that the 1st Defendant & his partners have lands near
 the threshing floor in dispute & that they enjoy
 this threshing floor & that if any one cultivate
 the lands of Bramboke it is custom that he should
 enjoy it having first paid that there is no custom to state
 in the village which was executed in the village that the
 village is consisted of 4 shares that each share
 belongs to each share & that the separated share
 is in the other accounts except the lands of Bramboke
 which was mentioned as a common land.

The witnesses on the part of the Defendant depose
 that the 1st Defendant & his partners have lands near
 the threshing floor in dispute & that they enjoy
 this threshing floor & that if any one cultivate
 the lands of Bramboke it is custom that he should
 enjoy it having first paid that there is no custom to state
 in the village which was executed in the village that the
 village is consisted of 4 shares that each share
 belongs to each share & that the separated share
 is in the other accounts except the lands of Bramboke
 which was mentioned as a common land.

2/5
 Plaintiff has no other right upon the said lands than other
 Incasasars, that the Plaintiff's statement for to enjoy it
 himself is groundless the Court dismissed his Petition and
 passed decree that the Plaintiff should pay the costs of suit
 to the Defendant by means of Court.

31 October
 1844.

(Sd) Krishna Rao
 Plaintiff.

6/8/44

1/5/45

1871

8/1/6

[illegible]

10/25

[illegible]

[illegible]

Therefore the Court passed decree by dismissing the decree passed by the lower Court & by declaring that the Respondent may pay the costs of the said

2nd August
1848.

No 364.

12
1850

Translate of an order sent to Narayana Prasu
Tahsildar of Kuvaboor Talook.

The Petition in No 434 of 1850. which
was addressed to the Revenue Board by Narayanasamy
Naik grand son of Audia Ppa^{Naik} Merasadar of Manu-
bar of the said Talook is forwarded with this. It is stated
therein that Venadasala Naik forcibly erects a house
on the threshing floor which belongs to the said person,
Concerning which, it is now a long time since an order was
sent by you with direction to enquire into the subject of a complaint
which he presented to the poor about it and report of it to you
and that as no answer was sent hitherto he should not only send
the reason for the delay but also that he should send report
of it with the said Petition as soon as possible.

(Signed) J. F. Bishop
Collector.

Negapatam
16th April
1850.

No 357.

Translate of an order sent to the Munsif of Kevallur Taluk, 7/8

With respect to the order in No 192 lately sent to the Taluk, directing that Venkatasala Insh at the Village of munsur may be allowed to enjoy only 40. coories of land which had been delivered to him from the emsuro lands of the said Village, the said Venkatasala Insh made an application to the collector with yadast & other documents & upon examining them, it appears that by the order issued from the Revenue line under date of 12nd February. 1838 and 14th of May 1841. as a ground for the Complainant's enjoyment a dispute arose from a long time regarding the house which he erected & that the said Complainant should enjoy only 40 coories of land without paying his & that it is stated in the yadast that the same man from whom the said appellant, Rangappa Insh, obtained rights instituted a suit in No 46 of 1844 against the said complainant to recover to him 100. Coories of land & that this complainant who was appellant in the said number pleaded that the said 100. coories is in own enjoyment & obtained decree in his favor. that there is clear proof to

decide it regarding the enjoyment, that in order to
suppose that No. 46 of 1844 & the defendants were
parties, that it was acknowledged that Vengadasala had
had enjoyed 100. Coories of land in 1844 that after a
decree was passed in his favor in the Civil Court no
mention was made whether he left the said land or
gave it to him. that therefore it plainly proves that
Vengadasala had enjoyed the said 100 Coories of land
1844 & afterwards & that he should be allowed to enjoy
the said land

15th February
1852.

Nagapattinam

Signature Minchin
Deputy Assistant Collector

1852/2

No
64.

14
355

Order sent to Govinda Rao Tahsildar of
Keraloor

Pengappa Naik, and Venkatasala Naik, Inamholders
of the said Village disputed upon the Threshing floor
of 100. Coories of land. Pengappa Naik, sent a memorial
to the Honorable Court of Directors of United East India
Company. therefore it was examined in the extract from
the minute of consultation under date of 5. September 1853.
it is stated that except 18 coories of land which the opponent
Venkatasala Naik took possession by order of the disposal of
the land which the opponents enjoy, the whole of the remainder,
by order of the collector, the said order having been rejected, the
said land should be delivered in possession of the memorialist
& that the remainder 18 coories of land be entered in the name
of memorialist in order to dispose of the land from the
opponent the memorialist should prefer suit in the Civil Court
Therefore the both parties were sent for before Major
the particulars were informed to them, out of 100. coories of
land 82 coories of land which had been delivered by order
of the collector to the opponents should be delivered to Penga-
ppa Naik. & let me know of it

By order of him who disposed the land to Pengappa

e.g. April 15th