Revenue Department

Minutes of Consultations

1st Dec 1857

Back vols 1-4

Order no 1216 D/25 Nov 1857

பரத்தாப்பு பிரிவு - - 1 -

ப்பனிருபவர் பெயர்

ப்பனிருவதற்காக எடுத்துக்
ாள்ளப்பட்ட நாள்.

ப்பனிட்டு முடித்த நாள்

பாக்கும்
ல் மு: நி. பா. எ.
யொப்பம்

சரிபார்க்கும்
உம். நி. பா. எ.
கையொப்பம்

நிரிவச்சி
உதவியாளர்
ிகையொப்பம்

Fort Saint George, Revenue Consultation of 1st December 1857.

No. 1. Read the following letter from J. D. SIM, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue; to H. FORBES, Esq., Acting Secretary to Government Revenue Department; dated Fort Saint George, 11th September 1857, No. 3033.

SIR,

Dated 13th January in Consultation 27th April 1857, and 12th May 1857 in Consultation 11th September 1857.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward, for the orders of Government, the accompanying letters from the Acting Collector of Masulipatam, submitting a Petition from Boolaki Bagum and Noor Nissa Bagum, praying that the pension enjoyed by their late brother Meerza Mahomed Takke Khan may be conferred on them for their maintenance.

From Govt. 3d February 1772, paras. 45 and 51.
From do. 2d March 1778, para. 68.
From do. 16th do. paras. 75 and 77.

2. On the death of Hussain Ali Khan, Nawab of Masulipatam, in the year 1771, his Jagheer was resumed, and in lieu thereof, a pension of one lac of Rupees per annum was granted by Government for the support of his family and dependants. Of this sum, Rs. 87-8-0 per mensem were allowed to Mahomed Tukke Khan, great grandfather of the last incumbent under the sanction of the Chief in Council of Masulipatam in 1772.

3. Mahomed Tukke Khan was a connection of the Nawab by marriage as appears from the lists of the Nawab's relatives, which were forwarded to Government with Mr. Acting Collector Malcolm's letters of the 9th and 10th February 1795. But in the list of the Nawab's Establishment of a previous date, which received the sanction of the Chief in Council in 1778, he is entered as a servant in receipt of 70 Rupees a month.

4. On his demise, his pension, viz. Rs. 87-8 was renewed in favor of his widow. The widow died in August 1832, and the allowance was continued at the reduced rate of Rs. 60 to her grandson Meerza Mahomed Shuffee Khan under the sanction conveyed in the letter from Government bearing date 15th March 1833, No. 299, Revenue Department.

5. Meerza Mahomed Shuffee Khan died in April 1848, leaving a widow, a son and two daughters, and as these persons had no other means of subsistence, the Government were pleased to continue a moiety of his pension, or Rs. 30, per mensem to his son Meerza Mahomed Tukkee Khan for life. This person also died on the 22d October 1852 unmarried. His mother receives a small allowance from the head of the family, but the two sisters, Petitioners, are left destitute, though no doubt their maintenance was one of the objects for which the pension was granted to their deceased brother. The eldest sister is a childless widow of about 40. The other lives with her husband who has no certain income, but is occasionally employed by the present Nabab as his Vakeel.

From Acting Collector 12th May in Consultation 11th September 1857.

6. The Board concur with the Acting Collector that Petitioner's case is deserving of charitable consideration at the hands of Government; and they recommend that a pension of 10 Rs. per mensem may be granted to Boolaki Bagum, the elder sister, and 7 Rs. to Noor Nissa Bagum, the other sister from the date of the brother's demise, viz. 22d October 1852.

No. 2. From J. D. SIM, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue; to H. FORBES, Esq., Acting Secretary to Government Revenue Department; dated Fort Saint George, 5th October 1857, No. 3331.

SIR,

With reference to the Board's letter of the 11th Ultimo, No. 3033, I am directed to forward the Petition of Boolaki Bagum and Noor Nissa Bagum just received from the Acting Collector of Masulipatam.

From Masulipatam 23d September 1857.

No. 3. ORDER THEREON, No. 1215; dated 25th November 1857.

Para. 1. In 1772 a pension of one lac of Rupees per annum was granted to the family of the Nawab* of Masulipatam, and his Jagheer was resumed. Among the dependants who enjoyed a portion of this sum, was one Mahomed Tukky Khan. In a petition to the Council of Masulipatam recorded in their Minutes of Consultation dated March 2d 1778, from the eldest son of the Nawab, this individual is styled "a servant," while the Acting Collector of Masulipatam, in his letters to Government of February 9th and 10th 1795, styles him a connexion of the Nawab's by marriage. Be this as it may, he appears to have enjoyed, up to his demise, a monthly pension of Rupees 87-8. This was renewed in favor of his widow; after whose demise in 1832, a reduced allowance of Rupees 60 per mensem was granted to her grandson, and on his death, leaving a widow, son and two daughters, a pension was granted to the son Mahomed Tukky Khan for life. This son died in 1852 unmarried, leaving a mother and two sisters.

* *Who died 26th August* 1771, *Ex. Mins. Cons. Masulipatam 29th August* 1771.

Letter No. 299 *15th March* 1833.

2. The letter from the Board of Revenue recorded above, gives cover to three from the Collector of Masulipatam, submitting for the Board's orders, a petition† from these two sisters, requesting a renewal of what they term their "patrimonial" pension, for their support. The eldest sister is stated to be a widow and childless, while the other is married, and lives with her husband Mirza Tahir. The Board recommend that, as the one sister is a widow, and the husband of the other has no certain means of support, (he occasionally it is said transacts business for the Nawab as his Vakeel), a pension of 10 Rupees per mensem may be granted to the former, and 7 Rupees per month to the latter.

Dated January	13*th*	1857, *No.*		7
,, *May*	12*th*	,,	,,	70
,, *September*	23*d*	,,	,,	180

†*Dated* 12*th June* 1856.

3. The Government approve of the Board's recommendation, and will recommend that pensions of ten and seven Rupees per mensem, be granted to the sisters of the deceased, the larger sum to the widow, and the smaller to her whose husband is alive.

(Signed) H. FORBES,
Acting Secretary to Government.

Ordered in consequence that the following letter be despatched.

No. 4. From H. FORBES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Government of Fort Saint George; to the Secretary to the Government of India, dated 25th November 1857, No. 1216.

Sir,

In 1772 the Jaghire which had been up to that time enjoyed by the Nawabs of Masulipatam was resumed, and an annual pension of a lac of Rupees was granted for the support of the family and dependants. A sharer in this sum, named Mahomed Tukky Khan, is described as

3/1216

Fort Saint George, Revenue Consultation of 1st *December* 1857.

having been one of the Nawab's connexions by marriage, in a list of the recipients of the total sum, forwarded to Government by the Acting Collector of Masulipatam in 1795. He enjoyed, up to his demise, a pension of Rs. 87-8 per mensem. This was renewed in its integrity to his widow, who died in 1832, when a portion only, Rupees 60, was continued to her grandson and heir, Mahomed Jhufty Khan. This person died in April 1848, leaving a widow, a son and two daughters. As they had no other means of subsistence, the Government continued a moiety of the pension of Mahomed Jhufty Khan to his son for his life, and he demised in October 1852. His mother receives a small allowance from the head of the family; but of the two sisters, the elder one* is a childless widow, aged forty years, and has no means of subsistence, and the younger, whose husband is alive, is represented to be in indifferent circumstances, her husband having no certain means of livelihood.

* *Boolaky Bagum.*

2. Under the above mentioned circumstances, I am to request that you will lay before the Government of India the recommendation of this Government, that pensions of ten and seven Rupees per mensem may be granted to the widow Boolaky Bagum and her married sister, respectively for their lives, from the date† of the death of their brother; the allowances to lapse peremptorily at their death.

† *20th October* 1852.