No. 21. Read the following letter from L. FORBES, Esq., Acting Sub-Secretary to the Board of Revenue; to H. FORBES, Esq., Acting Secretary to Government Revenue Department, dated Fort Saint George; 15th September 1857, No. 3088.

SIR,

PARA. 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information of Government the report called for in the endorsement dated 17th August upon Petition No. 1672 of 1857.

2. The Naraganty Pallam, yielding an annual income of Rupees 10,000, formed the subject of O. S. No. 24 of 1850 on the file of the Civil Court of Chittoor, wherein Cooppoo Naid was Plaintiff and Lutchmy Devyammal the Petitioner defendant.

3. The decree went in favor of the former and the defendant appealed to the Court of Sadder Udalut. As however Cooppoo Naid, with a view to his being put in possession in conformity with the Civil Court's decision, tendered good and sufficient security, the appellant being unable to do the same, the Civil Judge called upon the Collector to transfer the Palliput to the decree holder. This was accordingly done and the estate, with the exception of 2 villages attached in satisfaction of arrears of Peshcush, was made over to Cooppoo Naid who subsequently liquidated the balance still outstanding against it.

4. In his letter dated 6th July 1857* the Collector reported the death of this individual, and the Board in their Proceedings under date 23d idem ordered that his son Vengennah Naid aged about 30, should be put in possession.

* *In Cons. 23d July 1857.*

5. The Petition is herewith returned.

No. 22. ORDER THEREON, No. 1180; dated 20th November 1857.

Resolved that the Board of Revenue be called upon to explain, under what circumstances they thought it proper to authorize the transfer of the Naraganty Pallam to Vengenna Naid, son of Cooppa Naid, without reference to Government as has been usual.

Vide letter to Board dated 10th June 1823, No. 431, and Ex. Misc. Cons. dated 27th October 1847, No. 1502.


2. The transfer of the Pallam to Cooppa Naid under the Decree of Court, ought also to have been made known to Government, but if the Board were of opinion that the judgment was a sufficient authority for the purpose, the subsequent succession of Cooppa Naidoo's son should have been allowed only after the permission of Government had been obtained in the manner hitherto customary.

3. In the 3d para. of the Board's letter, it is said that Cooppa Naid received the Pallam on tendering sufficient security, which it is to be presumed was taken by the Court or by the Court's order. The Government do not consider that the case is one in which they can interfere, and resolve to refer Petitioner to the Sudr Court which passed the decree against which she is about to appeal.

Ordered that the following endorsement be made on petition No. 1672 of 1857.

"Petitioner is referred to the Court of Sudr Udalut, and Government will not interfere in her case."

(Signed) H. FORBES,
Acting Secretary to Government.



3-
118-6

Lond. 3d Sept. 1674 N. 61
a 21 a 646.
a 29 a June last a 85 & 87.

Entered 185 Colon. Ent.
Sept. 24 1674 Lib.
at 1080

From

The Ld. Lt. & Council
Board at Jam.

To

The Ld. Secretary Lo
Gouernour &
Leo Castle

Oakly 16th
Barb. 18th } Sept. 74

Rec. 3d Nov. 3383

Submitting Their
Reply & the Collects
of Customs Charges Ins
Lubeck & Pellicia &
1672 & 1673 relating
to the Navigation
Act Essex

No 24

c 2 Read Rio Cal. 214

No 9

44 1186

-6-
1186