Recueil Répertoire

Recueil de Quittances

de 1er Oct 1757

Reçu N° 201 - 250

Quittance N° 1611 du 5e Oct 1757

No. 67 Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 26th September 1837, No. 3888.

Read letters from the Collector of Tinnevelly dated 30th August and 19th December 1836 and 15th March 1837, supplying information required by Government in their Endorsements on Paragraphs Nos. 1168-1173 and 1339 of 1836.

Other papers Nos. 670-673 and 702.

2. The Commissioner thinks that the Board are required on appeal both as to the fact and the amount of the assessment, and cannot refer to Collector to determine the latter point. The Board are of opinion that it is competent to them to refer to the Collector to report on the fact of the land under the tank having been cultivated, and the rate of assessment was calculated by the Ryotwar rule, or from the neighbouring lands.

3. From the Collector's reply to this reference it appears that the tank was formed in the year [illegible] and the Ryotwar assessment was fixed up to Fasly 1204 were [illegible] the Collector proposes to [illegible] Board are not competent [illegible] of enhancing lands assessed on Ryotwar principles, but that the authority [illegible] Government, and the Collector thinks the Board have power to [illegible] to the Government in the particular case with proposals would accede [illegible] Board are competent to do so.

4. The Board cannot agree with the Collector's construction of [illegible] Government orders [illegible] to be shown to be of an exceptional character, the Board would be further informed as to the position of the lands, the source of their supply, and [illegible] lands which water might be derived by percolation.

5. The Board cannot agree with the Collector's construction of [illegible] Government orders [illegible] to be shown to be of an exceptional character, the Board would be further informed as to the position of the lands, the source of their supply, and [illegible] lands which water might be derived by percolation.

6. The Board cannot concur in the Collector's opinion that the well which supplies water to the land of the Petitioner in Pt. 1125, is situated wholly [illegible] and was originally constructed for the use of [illegible] Government water, [illegible] which water was taken for the Nanjah lands belonging to the Petitioners in 1124 and 1340 in the Agraut Poongah Fields immediately adjoining. [illegible] were built for the purpose of raising dry and garden produce.

7. Subject to the circumstances above detailed, the Board would recommend the grant of [illegible] water from wells belonging to other Agraut Poongah lands in the neighbourhood, of the same description as the Collector in para. 7 of his letter of the 15th December 1836 reports it to be the practice in the Tinnevelly District, to allow to other villages in other talooks of the District the difference between the usual Poongah and the value of ½ of the produce which would be [illegible] Rs. 2-8-0 per Cawny (a rate of Rupees 11-2-4 per acre) and this indulgence to the land which [illegible] water from a well within 40 yards [illegible] for the occasion but existing for some [illegible] would establish an inconvenient precedent, as it is known that such wells are not unfrequently [illegible] and it would be difficult to determine when the taking of water from them was an act of necessity and when otherwise.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) J. D. SIM, *Acting Secretary.*


No. 68 Orders thereon, No. 1010; dated 6th October 1837.

1. The Government observe that the remissions which it is proposed to allow are not of a permanent character, and that the Board have consequently authority to sanction them without reference to Government.

2. Ordered that the following endorsement be made on Pattas Nos. 1168, 1173, and 1339 of 1836.

"Pattadars are informed that the Board of Revenue are empowered to pass final orders in the matter of their address."

(Signed) H. FORBES,
Acting Secretary to Government.



Queens Co Pleas
Dec 2nd 1828

Ja. Wolf

Copied, Hans. Ex. Co.
Pleas 5th Oct 37

Plaintiff in the
annexed information by Edmund
Ble. Prudhon and complained
from Immediately that Plaintiff
of Plaintiff his Executors
and final Execution for
the sum of fifteen dollars

Fo 27-28
1